

1 FRANCIS M. GREGOREK (144785)  
 gregorek@whafh.com  
 2 BETSY C. MANIFOLD (182450)  
 manifold@whafh.com  
 3 RACHELE R. RICKERT (190634)  
 rickert@whafh.com  
 4 WOLF HALDENSTEIN ADLER  
 FREEMAN & HERZ LLP  
 5 750 B Street, Suite 2770  
 San Diego, CA 92101  
 6 Telephone: 619/239-4599  
 Facsimile: 619/234-4599  
 7

8 MARK C. RIFKIN (*pro hac vice*)  
 rifkin@whafh.com  
 9 ALEXANDER H. SCHMIDT (*pro hac vice*)  
 schmidt@whafh.com  
 10 MARTIN E. RESTITUYO (*pro hac vice*)  
 restituyo@whafh.com  
 11 WOLF HALDENSTEIN ADLER  
 FREEMAN & HERZ LLP  
 12 270 Madison Avenue  
 New York, NY 10016  
 13 Telephone: 212/545-4600  
 Facsimile: 212/545-4677  
 14

15 Attorneys for Plaintiff Herbert H. Kliegerman

16 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 17 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
 18 SAN JOSE DIVISION

19 IN RE APPLE & AT&TM ANTITRUST )  
 LITIGATION )  
 20 )  
 )

Master File No. C 07-05152 JW

21 \_\_\_\_\_ )  
 22 HERBERT H. KLIEGERMAN on behalf of )  
 himself and others similarly situated, )

File No. C 08-948 JSW

23 Plaintiff,

24 ) **HERBERT H. KLIEGERMAN'S**  
 ) **SUPPLEMENTAL MEMORANDUM OF**  
 ) **LAW IN FURTHER SUPPORT OF HIS**  
 ) **MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF**  
 ) **INTERIM LEAD CLASS COUNSEL**

24 v.

25 APPLE INC. and AT&T MOBILITY LLC, )  
 )

DATE: April 7, 2008

26 Defendant.

TIME: 9:00 a.m.

CRTRM: 8

JUDGE: Hon. James Ware

1 Plaintiff Herbert H. Kliegerman respectfully submits this supplemental memorandum of  
2 law in further support of his motion to appoint Wolf Haldenstein Adler Freeman & Herz LLP  
3 (“Wolf Haldenstein”) as interim lead class counsel for the Classes in these consolidated actions.

4 **I. ARGUMENT**

5 **A. Wolf Haldenstein Is Best Qualified To Fairly And**  
6 **Adequately Represent The Interests Of The Classes**

7 When competing law firms seek to be appointed as interim lead class counsel, the Court  
8 must appoint the firm that is best qualified to represent the interests of the class. Fed. R. Civ. P.  
9 23(g)(2)(B) (“...the court must appoint the applicant best able to represent the interests of the  
10 class.”). The papers submitted in support of the competing motions for appointment of interim  
11 lead class counsel convincingly demonstrate that Wolf Haldenstein is the firm best able to conduct  
12 this litigation on behalf of the Classes. None of the other firms seeking appointment as interim  
13 lead class counsel can match Wolf Haldenstein’s lengthy experience, none of them can match  
14 Wolf Haldenstein’s demonstrated leadership capabilities, and none of them can match Wolf  
15 Haldenstein’s extensive resources with which to conduct the litigation.

16 As Plaintiff Kliegerman already explained in his opening brief, Wolf Haldenstein is fully  
17 able and committed to staff and finance this action. As a national law firm with offices in New  
18 York, San Diego, and Chicago, Wolf Haldenstein is widely recognized for its successful  
19 prosecution of many of the largest and most complex class actions in courts throughout the United  
20 States. Many of those cases were large antitrust class actions similar to this litigation. With more  
21 than 50 attorneys practicing in all areas of the law, including 35 attorneys and 10 paralegals in its  
22 Class Action Litigation Group, Wolf Haldenstein has more resources to devote to this case than all  
23 of the other moving firms combined. *See* Wolf Haldenstein’s firm resume (attached as Exhibit 1  
24 to the Affidavit of Alexander H. Schmidt in Support of Plaintiff Kliegerman’s Cross-Motion for  
25 Appointment of Interim Lead Class Counsel, filed with the Court on March 3, 2008). Wolf  
26 Haldenstein is so undeniably qualified to conduct this litigation on behalf of the Classes that none  
27 of the other movants have even attempted to argue otherwise.

28 Moreover, Wolf Haldenstein already has expended considerable resources to identify,  
investigate, and plead claims on behalf of the Classes in this action, and it will continue to do so.

1 Wolf Haldenstein's efforts to date include drafting Plaintiff Kliegerman's Amended Complaint,  
2 that was filed in the Southern District of New York on November 16, 2007, communicating with  
3 defense counsel for both Apple and AT&T, entering into a stipulation with both defendants  
4 extending their time to appear, move or otherwise respond, and consenting to the transfer of the  
5 case to this Court. Additionally, in an effort to conserve judicial resources, Plaintiff Kliegerman  
6 moved to have his Action related to the instant Action. Thus, Wolf Haldenstein has demonstrated  
7 its commitment to the efficient and successful prosecution of this action on behalf of the Classes.

8 **B. The Other Movants Are Not As Well**  
9 **Qualified To Serve As Interim Lead Class Counsel**

10 By sharp contrast, the other firms seeking appointment as interim lead class counsel have  
11 comparatively little antitrust class action experience, fewer resources, and cannot boast nearly the  
12 same history of success as Wolf Haldenstein.

13 **1. The Law Office of Damian Fernandez Is Not As Well**  
14 **Qualified To Serve As Interim Lead Class Counsel**

15 The moving papers demonstrate that the Law Office of Damian Fernandez does not have  
16 the same experience or nearly the same resources as Wolf Haldenstein, and therefore should not be  
17 appointed interim lead class counsel in this action.

18 The Law Office of Damian Fernandez, which relies on the services of only one attorney –  
19 Mr. Fernandez himself – is out of its element in the area of complex class action litigation that is  
20 required to prosecute the instant action. Mr. Fernandez, who graduated law school in 1999, has  
21 only 8 years of litigation experience. He does not claim to have *any* experience in class actions,  
22 complex litigation, or antitrust actions. Furthermore, given his status as a solo practitioner, it is all  
23 but certain that Mr. Fernandez does not have sufficient resources to prosecute this Action against  
24 the formidable legal team that defendants have hired to work on this case.

25 Mr. Fernandez had moved with the law firm of Girard Gibbs as interim co-lead class  
26 counsel. Girard Gibbs – which did not appear in the case before moving for appointment as  
27 interim co-lead class counsel with Mr. Fernandez and did nothing in the case other than move for  
28 appointment as interim co-lead class counsel – has withdrawn or soon will withdraw as counsel.  
Therefore, Mr. Fernandez cannot rely upon them to assist him in the prosecution of the Action.

1   **2.      Max Folkenflik Is Not As Well Qualified To**  
2   **Serve As Interim Lead Class Counsel**

3   Max Folkenflik, of the two-person law firm of Folkenflik & McGerity, also lacks both the  
4 experience and the resources necessary to adequately represent the Classes of plaintiffs in this  
5 case.

6   It is clear that Mr. Folkenflik, with only a two-person office located in New York City,  
7 does not have the resources necessary to take on the sophisticated legal team being proffered by  
8 the defendants in California in this case. Certainly, Mr. Folkenflik's small firm does not have  
9 nearly the same level of professional or support staff capabilities, or infrastructure as Wolf  
10 Haldenstein. In addition to lacking the resources necessary to prosecute this action, Mr.  
11 Folkenflik proffers inadequate evidence of the relevant experience necessary to be appointed  
12 interim lead class counsel.

13   Specifically, in his motion to be appointed interim lead class counsel, Mr. Folkenflik  
14 purports to have significant technological, legal, and complex litigation experience. The bulk of  
15 his experience in the area of antitrust litigation comes from working on three cases while he was  
16 an associate at Cravath, Swaine and Moore, approximately 25 years ago. Declaration of Max  
17 Folkenflik in Support of Motion to Appoint Max Folkenflik As Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs and the  
18 Putative Class, ¶12, filed on March 3, 2008. Mr. Folkenflik does not claim any antitrust  
19 experience, and certainly no leadership roles in any antitrust litigation, for the past 25 years.

20   Additionally, the majority of Mr. Folkenflik's "technological" and "complex litigation"  
21 experience comes from his role in the case of *Hoffman v. American Express*, No. 2001-22881  
22 (Cal. Super. Ct., Alameda County, filed 2001), a case which is currently still pending in Alameda  
23 County, California. As proof of his experience in these areas, Mr. Folkenflik offers testimonials  
24 from Joseph Caruso and Ronald M. Sabraw to vouch for his experience. Mr. Caruso, who is  
25 obviously conflicted by the fact that he is currently assisting Mr. Folkenflik in the prosecution of  
26 this Action, asserts that Mr. Folkenflik has significant technological expertise. Declaration of  
27 Joseph Caruso in Support of Motion to Appoint Max Folkenflik as Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs and  
28 the Putative Class, ¶1, filed on March 3, 2008. While Mr. Folkenflik may have some  
technological expertise, he seeks appointment as interim lead class *counsel*. Apart from the

1 conflicted position Mr. Caruso occupies, his affidavit sheds no light on Mr. Folkenflick's ability to  
2 serve in that legal capacity. Moreover, the technological expertise that Mr. Folkenflick claims to  
3 have consists largely of his work on cases that involved significant document databases. As such,  
4 his technological expertise is no greater than Wolf Haldenstein's own experience in cases  
5 involving databases with tens (or even hundreds) of millions of pages of documents and other  
6 data.

7 Mr. Folkenflick also offers the affidavit of Former Judge Sabraw, a former state court  
8 judge who presided over the *Hoffman* case, No. 2001-22881, which is still pending. See  
9 Declaration of Ronald M. Sabraw in Support of Motion to Appoint Max Folkenflik as Lead  
10 Counsel for Plaintiffs and Putative Class, ¶2, filed on March 3, 2008. His Declaration is, to say  
11 the least, highly unusual. Former Judge Sabraw attests to Mr. Folkenflik's skill in one case.  
12 However much success Mr. Folkenflik ultimately may achieve for the class in *Hoffman v.*  
13 *American Express*, the opinion of a former judge of his performance in that lone case does not  
14 begin to approach Wolf Haldenstein's successful record over the past forty years. While Mr.  
15 Folkenflik has submitted an affidavit from a former judge in one case, Wolf Haldenstein has  
16 submitted more than a dozen opinions by judges acting in their official capacity praising the firm  
17 for its skillful and effective advocacy on behalf of numerous classes of victims of antitrust  
18 violations, securities fraud, and other corporate wrongdoing.

19 Neither 25-year old antitrust experience as an associate at a large law firm nor one  
20 technologically demanding case that is still pending in the California state court makes Mr.  
21 Folkenflik the most qualified interim lead class counsel. He has neither the resources nor the  
22 experience that Wolf Haldenstein has, and thus should not be appointed as interim lead class  
23 counsel in this action.

## 24 **II. CONCLUSION**

25 For these additional reasons, Plaintiff Kliegerman respectfully requests that the Court grant  
26 the motion to appoint Wolf Haldenstein as interim lead class counsel and to deny the competing  
27 cross-motions of Mr. Fernandez and Gerard Gibbs and of Mr. Folkenflik for appointment as  
28 interim lead class counsel.

1 DATED: March 17, 2008

2 Respectfully Submitted,  
3 WOLF HALDENSTEIN ADLER  
4 FREEMAN & HERZ LLP  
5 FRANCIS M. GREGOREK  
6 BETSY C. MANIFOLD  
7 RACHELE R. RICKERT  
8 MARISA C. LIVESAY

9   
10 \_\_\_\_\_  
11 FRANCIS M. GREGOREK

12 Symphony Towers  
13 750 B. Street, Suite 2770  
14 San Diego, California 92101  
15 Telephone: 619/239-4599  
16 Facsimile: 619/234-4599  
17 gregorek@whafh.com  
18 manifold@whafh.com  
19 rickert@whafh.com  
20 livesay@whafh.com

21 WOLF HALDENSTEIN ADLER  
22 FREEMAN & HERZ LLP  
23 MARK C. RIFKIN (*pro hac vice*)  
24 ALEXANDER H. SCHMIDT (*pro hac vice*)  
25 MARTIN E. RESTITUYO (*pro hac vice*)  
26 270 Madison Avenue  
27 New York, New York 10016  
28 Telephone: 212/545-4600  
Facsimile: 212/545-4677  
rifkin@whafh.com  
schmidt@whafh.com  
restituyo@whafh.com

RANDALL S. NEWMAN, P.C.  
RANDALL S. NEWMAN  
The Trump Building  
40 Wall Street, 61st Floor  
New York, New York 10005  
Telephone: 212/797-3737  
Facsimile: 212/797-3172  
rsn@randallnewman.net

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

SHABEL & DENITTIS, P.C.  
STEPHEN P. DENITTIS (*pro hac vice*)  
NORMAN SHABEL (*pro hac vice*)  
5 Greentree Centre, Suite 302  
Marlton, New Jersey 08053  
Telephone: 856/797-9951  
Facsimile: 856/797-9978  
sdenittis@shabeldenittis.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff Herbert H. Kliegerman

APPLE:15917

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**DECLARATION OF SERVICE**

I, MARTA STASIK, the undersigned, declare:

1. That declarant is and was, at all times herein mentioned, a citizen of the United States and a resident of the County of San Diego, over the age of 18 years, and not a party to or interested in the within action; that declarant's business address is 750 B Street, Suite 2770, San Diego, California 92101.

2. That on March 17, 2008, declarant served the following document

**HERBERT H. KLIEGERMAN'S SUPPLEMENTAL MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN FURTHER SUPPORT OF HIS MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF INTERIM LEAD CLASS COUNSEL**

via the CM/ECF system to the parties listed on the attached service list.

3. That there is regular communication between the parties.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 17th day of March 2008, at San Diego, California.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
MARTA STASIK



COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFFS

Francis M. Gregorek  
Betsy C. Manifold  
Rachele R. Rickert  
Marisa C. Livesay  
WOLF HALDENSTEIN ADLER  
FREEMAN & HERZ LLP  
750 B Street, Suite 2770  
San Diego, CA 92101  
619/239-4599  
619/234-4599 (fax)

Mark C. Rifkin  
Alexander H. Schmidt  
WOLF HALDENSTEIN ADLER  
FREEMAN & HERZ LLP  
270 Madison Ave.  
New York, NY 10016  
212/545-4600  
212/545-4653 (fax)  
rifkin@whafh.com  
schmidt@whafh.com

Randall S. Newman  
NEWMAN & ASSOCIATES, P.C.  
The Trump Building  
40 Wall Street, 61st Floor  
New York, NY 10005  
212/797-3737  
212/797-3172 (fax)  
rsn@randallnewman.net

Stephen P. Denittis  
Norman Shabel  
SHABEL & DENITTIS, P.C.  
5 Greentree Centre, Suite 302  
Marlton, NJ 08053  
856/797-9951  
856/797-9978 (fax)  
sdenittis@shabeldenittis.com

**Counsel for Plaintiff Herbert H. Kliegerman**

Damian R. Fernandez  
LAW OFFICE OF DAMIAN R. FERNANDEZ  
14510 Big Basin Way  
Suite A, PMB 285  
Saratoga, CA 95070-6091  
408/355-3021  
408/904-7391 (fax)  
Damianfernandez@gmail.com

Geoffrey A. Munroe  
Elizabeth C. Pritzker  
Aaron M. Sheanin  
GIRARD GIBBS LLP  
601 California Street, 14th Floor  
San Francisco, CA 94108  
415/981-4800  
415/981-4846 (fax)  
gam@girardgibbs.com  
ecp@girardgibbs.com  
ams@girardgibbs.com

M. Van Smith  
LAW OFFICES OF V. MAN SMITH  
14510 Big Basin Way  
Suite A, PMB 285  
Saratoga, CA 95070-6091  
408/355-3021  
408/904-7391 (fax)  
mvsmith@sbcglobal.net

**Counsel for Plaintiffs Michael G. Lee, Dennis V. Macasaddu, Mark G. Morikawa, Vincent Scotti and Timothy Smith**

COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFFS

Max Folkenflik  
Margaret McGerity  
FOLKENFLIK & MCGERITY  
1500 Broadway, 21st Floor  
New York, NY 10036  
212/757-0400  
212/757-2010 (fax)  
max@fmlaw.net

H. Tim Hoffman  
Arthur W. Lazear  
Morgan M. Mack  
HOFFMAN & LAZEAR  
180 Grand Avenue, Suite 1550  
Oakland, CA 94612  
510/763-5700  
hth@hoffmanandlazeare.com  
awl@hoffmanandlazeare.com  
mmm@hoffmanandlazeare.com

**Counsel for Plaintiffs Paul Holman  
and Lucy Rivello**

COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANTS

Hanno F. Kaiser  
LATHAM & WATKINS, LLP  
885 Third Avenue, Suite 1000  
New York, NY 10022  
212/906-1252  
212/751-4864 (fax)  
Hanno.kaiser@lw.com

Adrian F. Davis  
Alfred C. Pfeiffer, Jr.  
Daniel M. Wall  
Christopher S. Yates  
LATHAM WATKINS, LLP  
505 Montgomery Street, Suite 1900  
San Francisco, CA 94111  
415/391-0600  
adrian.davis@lw.com  
al.pfeiffer@lw.com  
dan.wall@lw.com  
chris.yates@lw.com

**Counsel for Defendant Apple, Inc.**

David E. Crowe  
Daniel A. Sasse  
CROWELL AND MORING LLP  
3 Park Plaza, 20th Floor  
Irvine, CA 92614-8505  
949/263-8400  
949/263-8414 (fax)  
dcrowe@crowell.com  
dsasse@crowell.com

Jeffrey W. Howard  
Christopher E. Ondeck  
William R. Smith  
CROWELL AND MORING LLP  
1001 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, DC 20004-2595  
202/624-2500  
202/628-5116 (fax)  
jhoward@crowell.com  
condeck@crowell.com  
wrsmith@crowell.com

**Counsel for Defendant AT&T Mobility, LLC**

COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANTS

Donald M. Falk  
MAYER BROWN LLP  
Two Palo Alto Square, Suite 300  
3000 El Camino Real  
Palo Alto, CA 94306-2112  
650/331-2030  
650/331-2060 (fax)  
dfalk@mayerbrown.com

Archis A. Parasharami  
MAYER BROWN LLP  
1909 K Street NW  
Washington, DC 20006  
202/263-33287  
aparasharami@mayerbrown.com

**Counsel for Defendant AT&T Mobility, LLC**