

1 JEFFREY L. BLEICH (SBN 144340)
 ROHIT K. SINGLA (SBN 213057)
 2 VICTORIA L. BOESCH (SBN 228561)
 MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP
 3 560 Mission Street
 Twenty-Seventh Floor
 4 San Francisco, CA 94105-2907
 Telephone: (415) 512-4000
 5 Facsimile: (415) 512-4077
 E-Mail: *Jeff.Bleich@mto.com; Rohit.Singla@mto.com;*
 6 *Victoria.Boesch@mto.com*

7 Attorneys for Plaintiff
 APPLIED MATERIALS, INC.

8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 10 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

12 APPLIED MATERIALS, INC.,
 13 Plaintiff,
 14 vs.
 15 MULTI METRIXS, LLC,
 16 Defendant.

CASE NO. C-06-7372 MHP

**DECLARATION OF ROHIT K. SINGLA
 IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF APPLIED
 MATERIALS, INC.'S MOTION FOR
 ATTORNEY FEES**

**NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION
 FOR ATTORNEY FEES AND PROPOSED
 ORDER FILED CONCURRENTLY**

Judge: Hon. Marilyn H. Patel
 Date: January 26, 2009
 Time: 2:00 p.m.
 Courtroom: 15, 18th Floor

[EXHIBITS A & C FILED UNDER SEAL]

23 I, Rohit K. Singla, declare:

24 1. I am an attorney duly licensed to practice in the State of California and in the
 25 United States District Court for the Northern District of California. I am a partner in the firm of
 26 Munger, Tolles & Olson LLP ("Munger Tolles"), counsel of record for Plaintiff Applied
 27 Materials, Inc. ("Applied") in this litigation. I was one of the lawyers at the firm with principal
 28 responsibility for the trial and pre-trial proceedings in this litigation. This declaration is made in

1 support of Applied's Motion for Attorney Fees. The following facts are within my personal
2 knowledge based upon my representation of Applied in this litigation. I would be competent to
3 testify to the facts stated herein if called to do so.

4 2. Attached as Exhibit A to this declaration is a true and correct copy of an excerpt
5 from the transcript of the deposition of Boris Kesil taken on September 6, 2007.

6 3. Attached as Exhibit B to this declaration is a true and correct copy of Defendant
7 MultiMetrix's Second Supplemental Objections and Responses to Applied's First Set of
8 Interrogatories.

9 4. Attached as Exhibit C to this declaration is a true and correct copy of an excerpt of
10 the report submitted by A. J. Nichols, the Special Master appointed by the Court to retrieve
11 documents from MultiMetrix's.

12 5. I personally reviewed the Special Master's index of files and observed that, at least
13 based on the names of the files in the index, it appeared the Special Master had found hundreds of
14 responsive and relevant documents on Elik Gershenzon's personal computer that had not been
15 produced in discovery in this litigation.

16 6. Munger Tolles has performed legal services in the above-captioned matter and
17 these services were billed to Applied and paid by Applied. Services were provided by firm
18 attorneys including myself, Jeffrey L. Bleich, Peter A. Detre, Martin D. Bern, Daniel Beck, Erin
19 C. Dougherty and Victoria L. Boesch. (Mr. Beck and Ms. Dougherty are no longer with the
20 firm.) Additional services were performed by a legal assistant, Bonnie M. Follett, who worked
21 under the direction of attorneys. Litigation Support Specialist Shannon Bales also worked on the
22 matter under the direction of firm attorneys.

23 7. The legal services provided to Applied were billed on an hourly basis using the
24 firm's customary hourly rates for the services provided. Hourly rates were generally increased
25 for all clients in Jan. 2007 and Jan. 2008. Records of the time billed to Applied were kept in the
26 firm's customary manner, through its electronic timekeeping and accounting systems.

27 8. The hourly rates charged to Applied for the services of individuals mentioned in
28 Paragraph 6 above are as follows:

Name	2006	2007	2008
Jeffrey L. Bleich (partner)	\$575	\$600	\$650
Peter A. Detre (partner)	\$460	\$500	
Rohit K. Singla (partner)		\$470	\$525
Martin D. Bern (partner)			\$550
Daniel Beck (associate)	\$395	\$425	
Erin C. Dougherty (associate)		\$275	\$350
Victoria L. Boesch (associate)			\$420
Bonnie M. Follett (paralegal)		\$195	\$200
Shannon Bales (litig. support)			\$295

9. These rates were actually paid by Applied in connection with this matter.

10. Based upon my experience, including experience litigating fee issues in cases in this district, the rates charged by Munger Tolles, including the rates charged in this case, are lower than the majority of our peer firms with lawyers of similar experience and credentials.

11. Attached as Exhibit D to this declaration is a true and correct copy of an excerpt of the American Intellectual Property Law Association's 2007 Report of the Economic Survey showing the following average hourly billing rates for private firm intellectual property lawyers working in San Francisco in **2006**: Partners \$469, Associates \$337.¹ As the AIPLA data includes patent prosecutors, who typically bill at a lower rate than litigators, these 2006 figures strongly support the reasonableness of the rates billed by Munger Tolles in this matter.

12. Attached as Exhibit E to this declaration is a true and correct copy of a chart submitted by Morrison & Foerster in connection with a motion for attorney fees in another patent case with which I am involved (*Abbott v. Roche Diagnostics Corp. et al.*, Case No. C 05 3117 JF, United States District Court for the Northern District of California). Below is a comparison between the Morrison & Foerster hourly billing rates and Munger Tolles' rates in this case. Again, the data supports the reasonableness of Munger Tolles' rates.

¹ The Federal Circuit has held that the AIPLA report may be used to determine reasonable rates for patent litigation. *See, e.g., View Eng'g, Inc. v. Robotic Vision Sys., Inc.*, 208 F.3d 981, 987-88 (Fed. Cir. 2000) (affirming a fee request reduction based on a difference between the submitted fees and the fees stated in the AIPLA survey); *Mathis v. Spears*, 857 F.2d 749, 755-56 (Fed. Cir. 1988) (affirming a fee request because the rates corresponded to those stated in the AIPLA survey).

Timekeeper Category		2006	2007	2008
Partners:	M&F	\$460-\$700	\$545-\$750	\$546-\$745
	MTO	\$460-\$575	\$470-\$600	\$525-\$650
Associates:	M&F	\$235-\$470	\$270-\$530	\$332-\$532
	MTO	\$395	\$275-\$425	\$350-\$420
Paralegals:	M&F	\$125-\$195	\$135-\$205	\$128-\$242
	MTO	————	\$195	\$200
Lit. Support:	M&F	\$200	\$225	\$228
	MTO	————	————	\$295

13. Jeffrey L. Bleich is a litigation partner in Munger Tolles’ San Francisco office. He received his J.D. from Berkeley Law (Boalt Hall) and served as a law clerk to Judge Abner J. Mikva, U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit; Chief Justice William H. Rehnquist, U.S. Supreme Court and Judge Howard Holzmann, Iran-U.S. Claims Tribunal at The Hague. Mr. Bleich also served as the 2007-2008 President of the State Bar of California. In connection with this litigation, Mr. Bleich participated in all aspects of trial preparation beginning in October 2006 and participated in the trial. From the inception of the litigation until the Court issued its ruling on Inequitable Conduct, Mr. Bleich worked 391.30 hours on the litigation (167.8 hours on pre-trial proceedings and discovery, 110.90 hours on trial preparation, 48.70 hours during trial, and 63.90 hours on post-trial proceedings) that were billed to and paid for by Applied.

14. Peter A. Detre is a litigation partner in Munger Tolles’ San Francisco office. He received his J.D. from Yale School of Law and served as a law clerk to Judge Kimba M. Wood, U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York. In connection with this litigation, Mr. Detre worked on pre-trial proceedings (including drafting pleadings, conducting research and overseeing discovery) from October 2006 until September 2007. During this timeframe, Mr. Detre worked 169.40 hours on pre-trial proceedings and discovery in the litigation that were billed to and paid for by Applied.

15. I (Rohit K. Singla) am a litigation partner in Munger Tolles’ San Francisco office. I received my J.D. from Harvard School of Law and served as a law clerk to Judge Alfred T. Goodwin, U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. Beginning in late June 2007, I participated in all aspects of pre-trial proceedings and discovery, trial preparation, trial and post-

1 trial proceedings. From June 2007 until the Court issued its ruling on Inequitable Conduct, I
2 worked 781.70 hours on the litigation (260.50 hours on pre-trial proceedings and discovery, 268.0
3 hours on trial preparation, 60.20 hours during trial, and 194.0 hours on post-trial proceedings) that
4 were billed to and paid for by Applied.

5 16. Martin D. Bern is a litigation partner in Munger Tolles' San Francisco office. He
6 received his J.D. from Berkeley Law (Boalt Hall) and served as a law clerk to Judge J. Gifford
7 Wallace, U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit and to Judge Charles A. Legge, U.S. District
8 Court for the Northern District of California. In February and March of 2008, Mr. Bern stepped
9 in to assist with the trial preparation for this case, including drafting outlines for direct and cross
10 examinations. Mr. Bern worked 66.50 hours on trial preparation that were billed to and paid for
11 by Applied.

12 17. Daniel Beck was formerly an associate in Munger Tolles' Los Angeles office. He
13 received his J.D. from Yale School of Law. From October 2006 through August 2007, Mr. Beck
14 worked on trial preparation for this case including witness interviews, document production, legal
15 research and drafting discovery and discovery responses. During this timeframe, Mr. Beck
16 worked 202.10 hours on pre-trial proceedings and discovery for the litigation that were billed to
17 and paid for by Applied.

18 18. Erin Dougherty was formerly an associate in Munger Tolles' San Francisco office.
19 She received her J.D. from Columbia University School of Law and served as a law clerk to
20 Judge Sidney R. Thomas, U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. From March 2007 through
21 March 2008, Ms. Dougherty participated in trial preparation for this case (including drafting
22 pleadings, taking and defending depositions and responding to discovery). She also participated
23 in the trial itself, including the examination of a witness at trial. During the year she worked on
24 the case, Ms. Dougherty spent 791.10 hours on the litigation (360.90 hours on pre-trial
25 proceedings and discovery, 374.0 hours on trial preparation, 50.50 hours during trial, and 5.70
26 hours on post-trial proceedings) that were billed to and paid for by Applied.

27 19. Victoria L. Boesch is an associate in Munger Tolles San Francisco office. She
28 received her J.D. from Berkeley Law (Boalt Hall) and served as a law clerk to Judge A. Wallace

1 Tashima, U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. Beginning in late February 2008, Ms.
2 Boesch worked on trial preparation and post-trial briefing for this case. From February 2008 until
3 the Court issued its ruling on Inequitable Conduct, Ms. Boesch worked 297.00 hours on the
4 litigation (53.7 hours on trial preparation and 243.3 hours on post-trial proceedings) that were
5 billed to and paid for by Applied.

6 20. Bonnie Follett is a paralegal in Munger Tolles' San Francisco office. Beginning in
7 April 2007, Ms. Follett performed work on this case involving document organization and
8 management in connection with document production, the receipt of documents in discovery and
9 trial preparation. From April 2007 until the Court issued its ruling on Inequitable Conduct, Ms.
10 Follett worked 486.50 hours on the litigation (175.50 hours on pre-trial proceedings and
11 discovery, 157.80 hours on trial preparation, 35.40 hours during trial, and 117.80 hours on post-
12 trial proceedings) that were billed to and paid for by Applied.

13 21. Shannon Bales is an Automated Litigation Specialist in Munger Tolles' Los
14 Angeles office who specializes in trial support. Beginning in late February 2008, Mr. Bales
15 provided technical support for the trial team in connection with trial presentations and post-trial
16 proceedings. From February 2008 through April 2008, Mr. Bales worked 202.20 hours on the
17 litigation 110.50 hours on trial preparation, 58.50 hours during trial, and 33.20 hours on post-trial
18 proceedings) that were billed to and paid for by Applied.

19 22. From the inception of the litigation until the Court issued its ruling on Inequitable
20 Conduct, Munger Tolles incurred \$52,932.44 in costs on behalf of Applied in connection with
21 this matter. These costs included copying and telephone charges, travel expenses, research
22 expenses, filing and service fees, meals, deposition expenses, translation services and transcripts.
23 The costs were billed to and paid by Applied.

24 23. The fees and costs previously discussed in this declaration can be summarized as
25 follows:

26 ///
27 ///
28 ///

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Name	Hours	Amount Paid
Jeffrey L. Bleich	391.30	\$245,605.00
Peter A. Detre	169.40	\$82,612.00
Rohit K. Singla	781.70	\$396,120.00
Martin D. Bern	66.50	\$36,575.00
Daniel Beck	202.10	\$83,453.50
Erin C. Dougherty	791.10	\$249,817.50
Victoria L. Boesch	297.00	\$124,740.00
Bonnie M. Follett	486.50	\$96,422.50
Shannon Bales	202.20	\$59,649.00
Costs		\$52,932.44
Total		\$1,427,926.94

24. The above-described work was performed (and the above-described costs were incurred) solely for the purpose of pursuing this matter on behalf of Applied.

25. The services performed and the attorney’s fees and expenses detailed in this declaration were all reasonably necessary to represent the interests of Applied in this lawsuit. Applied had a reasonable expectation that if it prevailed in this matter, its fees and expenses to pursue this dispute with defendant would be recoverable as provided by statute.

26. I did not meet and confer with counsel for MultiMetrixs regarding the motion that accompanies this declaration because it is my understanding that MultiMetrixs currently does not have counsel representing it in connection with this litigation.

I declare under the penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 3rd day of December 2008, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ Rohit K. Singla
Rohit K. Singla

Exhibit D

AIPLA

**Report of the
Economic Survey**

2007

**Prepared Under Direction of
Law Practice Management Committee**

**American Intellectual Property Law Association
241 18th Street South, Suite 700
Arlington, Virginia 22202
www.aipla.org**

AIPLA

REPORT OF THE ECONOMIC SURVEY 2007

**PREPARED UNDER DIRECTION OF THE
AMERICAN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW ASSOCIATION
LAW PRACTICE MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE**

**KEVIN ALAN WOLFF, CHAIR
ASHOK K. MANNAVA, VICE CHAIR**

July 2007



4 RESEARCH PLACE, SUITE 220
ROCKVILLE, MARYLAND 20850
TEL: (240) 268-1262
ARI@ASSOCIATIONRESEARCH.COM

Average hourly billing rate in 2006 (Q29)

Private Firm, Partner

		What was your average hourly billing rate in 2006?				
		Number of Individuals	Mean (Average)	First Quartile 25%	Median (Midpoint)	Third Quartile 75%
All Individuals		827	\$390	\$300	\$380	\$475
Years of Intellectual Property Law Experience	Fewer than 5	6	\$192	\$144	\$200	\$231
	5-6	30	\$281	\$239	\$250	\$311
	7-9	120	\$327	\$260	\$308	\$400
	10-14	226	\$371	\$295	\$370	\$450
	15-24	237	\$407	\$313	\$400	\$495
	25-34	142	\$446	\$340	\$430	\$529
	35 or More	58	\$458	\$369	\$460	\$546
Location	Boston CMSA	46	\$512	\$436	\$503	\$575
	NYC CMSA	50	\$453	\$390	\$443	\$541
	Philadelphia CMSA	26	\$405	\$344	\$400	\$429
	Washington, DC CMSA	125	\$435	\$360	\$430	\$505
	Other East	41	\$306	\$250	\$300	\$345
	Metro Southeast	35	\$381	\$325	\$375	\$435
	Other Southeast	16	\$279	\$206	\$290	\$348
	Chicago CMSA	64	\$398	\$326	\$380	\$450
	Minne.-St. Paul PMSA	38	\$367	\$300	\$375	\$429
	Other Central	147	\$298	\$250	\$295	\$330
	Texas	62	\$407	\$340	\$400	\$486
	Los Angeles CMSA	37	\$461	\$330	\$485	\$553
	San Francisco CMSA	49	\$469	\$393	\$460	\$530
	Other West	80	\$344	\$271	\$330	\$403
IP Technical Specialization (>=50%)	Biotechnology	61	\$413	\$315	\$425	\$490
	Chemical	102	\$375	\$299	\$370	\$440
	Computer Hardware	15	\$422	\$350	\$400	\$545
	Computer Software	57	\$395	\$310	\$400	\$483
	Electrical	79	\$405	\$315	\$400	\$475
	Mechanical	177	\$337	\$275	\$315	\$398
	Other areas	9	\$446	\$320	\$425	\$588
Age	Younger than 35	17	\$287	\$225	\$275	\$323
	35-39	119	\$338	\$250	\$320	\$420
	40-44	191	\$367	\$280	\$350	\$440
	45-49	166	\$382	\$295	\$379	\$450
	50-54	120	\$416	\$340	\$400	\$494
	55-59	97	\$437	\$328	\$425	\$508
	60 or Older	110	\$444	\$338	\$448	\$541
Gender	Male	726	\$392	\$300	\$380	\$475
	Female	94	\$368	\$284	\$365	\$450
Highest Non-Law Degree	Bachelor's Degree	538	\$388	\$295	\$375	\$475
	Master's Degree	187	\$398	\$305	\$395	\$490
	Doctorate Degree	54	\$378	\$300	\$383	\$466
Ethnicity	White/Caucasian	744	\$392	\$300	\$385	\$479
	Hispanic/Latino	7	\$350	\$225	\$330	\$500
	Asian/Pacific Islander	29	\$353	\$250	\$330	\$453
	Blended	3	\$243	NA	\$240	NA
	Other	16	\$348	\$300	\$328	\$401
Full-time Intellectual Property lawyers and agents in the firm or corporation	1-2	59	\$278	\$225	\$255	\$325
	3-5	95	\$318	\$250	\$315	\$375
	6-10	81	\$322	\$258	\$315	\$355
	11-25	174	\$343	\$275	\$330	\$400
	26-50	115	\$409	\$325	\$400	\$490
	51-100	158	\$453	\$380	\$450	\$511
	101-150	50	\$502	\$429	\$493	\$571
More than 150	84	\$507	\$435	\$500	\$579	

Average hourly billing rate in 2006 (Q29)

Private Firm, Associate

		What was your average hourly billing rate in 2006?				
		Number of Individuals	Mean (Average)	First Quartile 25%	Median (Midpoint)	Third Quartile 75%
All Individuals		481	\$262	\$200	\$245	\$315
Years of Intellectual Property Law Experience	Fewer than 5	269	\$226	\$180	\$210	\$260
	5-6	99	\$303	\$240	\$300	\$355
	7-9	71	\$326	\$260	\$315	\$395
	10-14	21	\$340	\$268	\$300	\$413
	15-24	5	\$324	\$218	\$285	\$450
Location	Boston CMSA	25	\$310	\$235	\$320	\$353
	NYC CMSA	27	\$341	\$260	\$330	\$425
	Philadelphia CMSA	12	\$242	\$216	\$245	\$289
	Washington, DC CMSA	80	\$297	\$240	\$300	\$348
	Other East	23	\$216	\$160	\$208	\$260
	Metro Southeast	14	\$246	\$218	\$228	\$291
	Other Southeast	6	\$248	\$203	\$243	\$293
	Chicago CMSA	28	\$250	\$221	\$245	\$279
	Minne.-St. Paul PMSA	28	\$207	\$175	\$195	\$233
	Other Central	85	\$210	\$165	\$190	\$248
	Texas	33	\$288	\$235	\$295	\$335
	Los Angeles CMSA	18	\$300	\$254	\$298	\$328
	San Francisco CMSA	24	\$337	\$258	\$318	\$413
	Other West	72	\$240	\$180	\$210	\$269
IP Technical Specialization (>=50%)	Biotechnology	55	\$281	\$220	\$275	\$330
	Chemical	68	\$273	\$200	\$260	\$350
	Computer Hardware	11	\$320	\$230	\$300	\$425
	Computer Software	40	\$270	\$216	\$250	\$324
	Electrical	62	\$264	\$210	\$250	\$303
	Mechanical	90	\$239	\$180	\$215	\$293
	Other areas	10	\$311	\$200	\$345	\$371
Age	Younger than 35	219	\$238	\$180	\$225	\$285
	35-39	127	\$277	\$215	\$265	\$335
	40-44	77	\$289	\$228	\$270	\$335
	45-49	35	\$317	\$225	\$310	\$410
	50-54	11	\$249	\$175	\$235	\$300
	55-59	8	\$202	\$176	\$185	\$185
	60 or Older	3	\$288	NA	\$325	NA
Gender	Male	368	\$266	\$200	\$250	\$315
	Female	110	\$249	\$185	\$225	\$313
Highest Non-Law Degree	Bachelor's Degree	268	\$254	\$185	\$235	\$300
	Master's Degree	119	\$266	\$215	\$255	\$315
	Doctorate Degree	72	\$281	\$210	\$262	\$340
Ethnicity	White/Caucasian	412	\$257	\$200	\$240	\$309
	Black/African American	5	\$258	\$183	\$235	\$345
	Hispanic/Latino	9	\$311	\$263	\$315	\$328
	Asian/Pacific Islander	31	\$279	\$210	\$260	\$325
	Blended	4	\$393	NA	\$408	NA
	Other	7	\$304	\$245	\$285	\$325
Full-time Intellectual Property lawyers and agents in the firm or corporation	1-2	15	\$229	\$175	\$200	\$270
	3-5	35	\$196	\$170	\$195	\$210
	6-10	42	\$225	\$175	\$225	\$276
	11-25	98	\$230	\$180	\$215	\$261
	26-50	97	\$266	\$210	\$260	\$305
	51-100	87	\$291	\$225	\$290	\$350
	101-150	34	\$310	\$240	\$298	\$371
More than 150	57	\$319	\$250	\$320	\$380	

Exhibit E

Abbott v. Roche Bayer #59958-1
Time Recap Report by Timekeeper and Year

Tk No.	Description	Title	2005 Rate	2005			2006			2007			2008 Rate		2008 Billed Hours		2008 Fees Billed	Total Hours	Total Value
				Billed Hours	2005 Fees Billed	2006 Rate	Billed Hours	2006 Fees Billed	2007 Rate	2007 Billed Hours	2007 Fees Billed	2008 Std Rate	(w/ 5% discount)	(thru 9/18/08)	2008 Billed				
7269	Barlett, Jason R.	Partner	\$425	0	\$ -	\$460	1326.75	\$ 614,328	\$545	1937.75	\$ 1,048,321	\$575	\$546.25	1,121	\$ 609,234	4,385.50	\$ 2,271,883		
295	Krevans, Rachel	Partner	\$650	82	\$ 53,300	\$700	322.5	\$ 225,750	\$750	652	\$ 486,638	\$785	\$745.75	634	\$ 469,615	1,690.50	\$ 1,235,302		
3960	Overson, Wesley	Partner	\$565	206.75	\$ 116,814	\$600	632.5	\$ 379,500	\$675	1677.25	\$ 1,119,724	\$700	\$665.00	1,002.25	\$ 662,941	3,518.75	\$ 2,278,978		
Subtotal - Partners				288.75	\$ 170,114		2,281.75	\$ 1,219,578		4,267.00	\$ 2,654,682			2,757.25	\$ 1,741,790	9,594.75	\$ 5,786,163		
10296	Guerra, Marcelo O.	Associate	\$225	210.5	\$ 47,363	\$285	1,322.75	\$ 375,701	\$375	1940.5	\$ 714,441	\$445	\$422.75	947.5	\$ 398,626	4,421.25	\$ 1,536,130		
10260	Ho, Brian	Associate	\$225	372.25	\$ 83,756	\$285	1,411.25	\$ 402,206	\$360	1168.25	\$ 416,043	\$430	\$408.50	384.75	\$ 155,750	3,336.50	\$ 1,057,756		
8691	Jorjani, Parisa	Associate	\$395	0	\$ -	\$440	0	\$ -	\$530	1569.5	\$ 818,810	\$560	\$532.00	822.25	\$ 434,854	2,391.75	\$ 1,253,664		
11563	Pikler, Jason A.	Associate	\$195	0	\$ -	\$235	0	\$ -	\$270	1377	\$ 367,585	\$350	\$332.50	794.50	\$ 262,726	2,171.50	\$ 630,311		
11555	Edgar, Jared D.	Associate	\$195	0	\$ -	\$235	258	\$ 60,630	\$270	1077.25	\$ 285,674	-	-	0	\$ -	1,335.25	\$ 346,304		
10245	Hartwig, Johanna K.	Associate	\$225	67	\$ 15,075	\$285	760.25	\$ 216,671	\$375	487	\$ 179,878	\$445	\$422.75	0	\$ -	1,314.25	\$ 411,624		
7299	Pugh, Louis H.	Associate	\$435	554	\$ 240,990	\$470	237	\$ 111,390	-	0	\$ -	-	-	0	\$ -	791.00	\$ 352,380		
10258	Cary-Sadler, Tel B.	Associate	\$225	0	\$ -	\$285	317	\$ 90,345	\$375	268.25	\$ 100,369	-	-	0	\$ -	585.25	\$ 190,714		
99784	Lobo, Bethany	Associate	-	0	\$ -	-	0	\$ -	\$270	0	\$ -	\$350	\$332.50	524.75	\$ 173,281	524.75	\$ 173,281		
8932	Klestoff, Alexei	Associate	\$295	0	\$ -	\$360	0	\$ -	\$460	0	\$ -	\$520	\$494.00	425	\$ 208,034	425.00	\$ 208,034		
Subtotal - Associates				1,203.75	\$ 387,184		4,306.25	\$ 1,256,944		7,887.75	\$ 2,882,799			3,898.75	\$ 1,633,271	17,296.50	\$ 6,160,198		
7160	Meier, Christopher M.	Snr Paralegal	\$185	0	\$ -	\$195	0	\$ -	\$205	325	\$ 66,625	\$255	\$242.25	937	\$ 225,704	1,262.00	\$ 292,329		
11785	Bhaumik, Nishan	Paralegal	-	0	\$ -	\$130	0	\$ -	\$135	843.75	\$ 113,906	\$185	\$175.75	708	\$ 123,695	1,551.75	\$ 237,601		
7471	Pooni, Vikashni S.	Paralegal	\$160	0	\$ -	\$170	241.75	\$ 41,098	\$180	1,132.75	\$ 202,059	\$205	\$194.75	0	\$ -	1,374.50	\$ 243,157		
13495	Kintzer, Geoffrey	Paralegal	-	0	\$ -	-	0	\$ -	\$160	515.5	\$ 82,480	\$175	\$166.25	727	\$ 120,117	1,242.50	\$ 202,597		
13971	Nowakowski, Monika M.	Paralegal	-	0	\$ -	-	0	\$ -	-	0	\$ -	\$190	\$180.50	695	\$ 124,698	695.00	\$ 124,698		
12629	Adam, Rachel W.	Paralegal	-	0	\$ -	\$125	0	\$ -	\$135	467.25	\$ 61,833	\$135	\$128.25	0	\$ -	467.25	\$ 61,833		
11820	Menchaca, Katherine M.	Paralegal	\$120	0	\$ -	\$130	0	\$ -	\$145	0	\$ -	\$190	\$180.50	336.75	\$ 60,253	336.75	\$ 60,253		
Subtotal - Paralegals				0	\$ -		241.75	\$ 41,098		3,284.25	\$ 526,904			3,403.75	\$ 654,467	6,929.75	\$ 1,222,469		
12206	Rnjak, Bojana A.	Temp Attorney	-	0	\$ -	\$175	1,500.25	\$ 262,544	\$181	1,208.75	\$ 211,570	\$195	\$185.25	0	\$ -	2,709.00	\$ 474,113		
12831	Hom, Richard	Temp Attorney	-	0	\$ -	\$165	381.25	\$ 62,906	\$195	2,167.25	\$ 370,934	\$195	\$185.25	39.25	\$ 7,219	2,587.75	\$ 441,060		
12227	Liao, Kevin	Temp Attorney	-	0	\$ -	\$175	487.5	\$ 85,313	-	0	\$ -	-	-	0	\$ -	487.50	\$ 85,313		
12494	Mercer, Michael D.	Practice Support	-	0	\$ -	\$200	0	\$ -	\$225	56.5	\$ 11,813	\$240	\$228.00	155	\$ 34,925	211.25	\$ 46,738		
12859	Silverman, Robert N.	Practice Support	-	0	\$ -	\$200	0	\$ -	\$225	256.25	\$ 57,656	\$240	\$228.00	28	\$ 6,312	284.25	\$ 63,969		
4735	Wu, Frank	Legal Analyst	\$340	0	\$ -	\$350	239.25	\$ 83,738	\$370	0	\$ -	\$425	\$403.75	0	\$ -	239.25	\$ 83,738		
11055	Rao, Swathi K.	Legal Analyst	\$185	25	\$ 4,625	\$200	131.5	\$ 26,300	-	0	\$ -	-	-	0	\$ -	156.50	\$ 30,925		
12465	Hajos, Brigitte A.	Legal Analyst	-	0	\$ -	\$275	0	\$ -	\$315	95.25	\$ 29,374	\$375	\$356.25	0	\$ -	95.25	\$ 29,374		
Subtotal - Others				25	\$ 4,625		2,739.75	\$ 520,800		3,784.00	\$ 681,347			222	\$ 48,457	6,770.75	\$ 1,255,228		
Grand Total				1,517.50	\$ 561,923		9,570	\$ 3,038,419		19,223	\$ 6,745,732			10,281.75	\$ 4,077,985	40,592	\$ 14,424,058		