1 2 \*\*E-Filed 10/25/10\*\* 3 4 5 6 7 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 SAN JOSE DIVISION 11 Case Number C 07-5740 JF (RS) 12 CHIN-LI MOU, ORDER¹ FOLLOWING DEBTOR'S Plaintiff, 13 **EXAMINATION** 14 v. CITY OF SAN JOSE, et al., 15 Defendants. 16 17 On September 29, 2009, the Court granted Defendants' motion for summary judgment. 18 19 On October 22, 2009, the Clerk of the Court taxed costs in the amount of \$1,029.90. On April 13, 2010, the Court overruled Plaintiff's objections to the bill of costs. 20 On April 19, 2010, Plaintiff, proceeding pro se as she has since summary judgment was 21 granted, sent the Court a copy of her 2009 federal income tax return to support her argument that 22 she is unable to pay costs. In an order dated April 26, 2010, the Court indicated that in light of 23 Plaintiffs' pro se status, it would treat Plaintiff's April 19 communication as a motion for 24 reconsideration, and it requested response from Defendants. On June 6, the Court ordered 25 Plaintiff to appear for a Debtor's Examination, deferring its decision on Plaintiff's motion for 26 27 28 <sup>1</sup> This disposition is not designated for publication in the official reports.

1	reconsideration pending the outcome of the examination.
2	The examination took place on September 1, 2010. On October 6, Defendants requested
3	the court set a briefing schedule. Good cause therefore appearing,
4	On or before November 8, 2010, Defendants shall file and serve a brief not to exceed five
5	(5) pages in length, as to Plaintiff's ability to pay costs.
6	On or before November 22, 2010, Plaintiff shall file and serve a reply brief, not to exceed
7	five (5) pages in length.
8	The matter thereafter will be submitted without oral argument.
9	
10	IT IS SO ORDERED.
11	
12	DATED: 10/25/2010 JEREMY FOGEL
13	United States Dist lct Judge
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

28