

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

E-FILED 1/14/08

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

CHIN-LI MOU,

 Plaintiff,

v.

CITY OF SAN JOSE,

 Defendant.

NO. C 07-05740 RS

**ORDER GRANTING
APPLICATION TO PROCEED *IN
FORMA PAUPERIS* AND
DENYING REQUEST FOR
APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL**

Good cause appearing, IT IS ORDERED that the application to proceed *in forma pauperis* is GRANTED. As it appears that the Clerk has already issued summons and that plaintiff arranged for service of summons and complaint, and that defendant has answered, service by the U.S. Marshall will not be ordered.

Plaintiff also moves for appointment of counsel 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-5(f)(1). That code section governs the appointment of counsel in actions involving employment discrimination. Here, plaintiff has alleged a claim involving discrimination, but not in the context of her employment. Accordingly, there appears to be no basis upon which appointment of counsel to represent her would

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

1 be appropriate and the request is therefore denied.

2

3

4 IT IS SO ORDERED.

5 Dated: January 14, 2008

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

ORDER
C 07-05740 RS


RICHARD SEEBORG
United States Magistrate Judge

1 **THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT NOTICE OF THIS ORDER HAS BEEN GIVEN TO:**

2 Robert Baker Burchfiel CAO.Main@ci.sj.ca.us

3 **AND A COPY OF THIS ORDER WAS MAILED TO:**

4 Chin-Li Mou
4141 Boneso Circle
5 San Jose, CA 95134

6

7 Counsel are responsible for distributing copies of this document to co-counsel who have not
8 registered for e-filing under the Court's CM/ECF program.

9 **Dated: 1/14/08**

Richard W. Wieking, Clerk

10

By: Chambers

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

ORDER
C 07-05740 RS