IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

Vesta Strategies, LLC,

Plaintiff,
v.

Robert Estupinian, et al.,

Defendants.

NO. C 07-06216 JW

ORDER DISMISSING PLAINTIFF'S
COMPLAINT; REINSTATING ENTRY OF
DEFAULTS; SETTING HEARING FOR
DEFAULT JUDGMENT

On April 6, 2009, the Court conducted a hearing on its Order to Show Cause ("OSC") as to why default should not be reinstated against Counter-Defendant Vesta Strategies, LLC and Third Party Defendant John Terzakis and why the Court's previous Order of Dismissal regarding Vesta Strategies, LLC's claims should not be reinstated. (See Docket Item No. 171.) The Court also considered the declaration submitted by the Mutual Vision Defendants regarding the amount of sanctions that should be awarded pursuant to the Court's March 20, 2009 Order. (Id.)

Neither Counter Defendant Vesta nor Third Party Defendant Terzakis filed any response to the Court OSC Order, nor did they appeared at the hearing to prosecute their action and defend the counterclaims against them. The Court had given these parties ample time to follow its Order and had previously warned that failure to do so will result in a dismissal on the merits for lack of prosecution. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b). It appears that these parties have abandoned this action. Accordingly, the Court orders as follows:

1	(1)	Pursuant to Rule 41(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff Vesta				
2		Strategi	ies, LLC's Complaint i	is dismissed with prejudice. Judgment shall be entered		
3		accordi	ngly.			
4	(2)	The Cle	erk's Entry of Default a	as to the counter-claims and third party claims against		
5		Plaintif	f Vesta Strategies, LLC	C and John Terzakis are reinstated. (See Docket Item		
6		No. 99.)			
7	(3)	(3) A hearing for the Mutual Vision Defendants' anticipated Motion for Default				
8	Judgment is set for June 1, 2009 at 9 a.m. The motion shall be filed and served					
9		accorda	ance with the Civil Loc	eal Rules of the Court.		
10	(4)	Pursuar	nt to the Court's March	a 20, 2009 Order, Vesta Strategies, LLC and John		
11		Terzaki	s shall pay the Mutual	Vision Defendants \$1,500 for fees and costs incurred		
12		as the re	esult of having to respo	ond to additional motions. Payment shall be made		
13		within 3	30 days from the date of	of this Order.		
14				James Wase		
15	Dated: Ap	April 8, 2009		JAMES WARE		
16				United States District Judge		
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28				_		

THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT COPIES OF THIS ORDER HAVE BEEN DELIVERED TO: 1 Alan Louis Martini amartini@smtlaw.com Aron J. Frakes ajfrakes@mwe.com 3 Aron J. Frakes ajfrakes@mwe.com Brian Michael O'Dea bodea@randicklaw.com Daniel E. Alberti dalberti@mwe.com 4 James Renfro Thompson thompson@scmv.com 5 Jeffrey Bugbee Harris harris@scmv.com Jeffrey Bugbee Harris harris@scmv.com 6 Kevin Richard Martin kmartin@randicklaw.com Michelle Rene Ghidotti mghidotti@atcllp.com 7 Patrick E. Guevara pguevara@randicklaw.com Paul Evans Chronis pchronis@mwe.com 8 Peter J. Drobac pdrobac@mwe.com Ronald J. Cook rjc@wsblaw.net 9 10 11 **Dated: April 8, 2009** Richard W. Wieking, Clerk 12 13 /s/ JW Chambers By:_ Elizabeth Garcia 14 **Courtroom Deputy** 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28