

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

E-FILED 06-18-2010

NOT FOR CITATION
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

HALO ELECTRONICS, INC.,

No. C07-06222 RMW (HRL)

Plaintiff,

v.

ORDER RE PLAINTIFF’S MOTION TO SEAL

BEL FUSE INC., E & E MAGNETIC PRODUCTS LIMITED, ELEC & ELTEK (USA) CORPORATION, WURTH ELECTRONICS MIDCOM, INC., WURTH ELEKTRONIK GMBH & CO. KG, and XFMRS, INC.,

[Re: Docket No. 211]

Defendants.

Plaintiff Halo Electronics, Inc. (“Halo”) moves to seal portions of (1) Halo’s Motion to Compel Interrogatory Answers and Production of Documents from Defendant Bel Fuse, Inc. (“Bel Fuse”), (2) the supporting declaration of Michael J. Pape, and (3) Exhibits 1-5, 7-10, 12-19, and 23-25 of the Pape Declaration. Halo says that sealing is warranted because the subject information has been designated for “Attorneys Eyes Only” by defendant Bel Fuse. Bel Fuse, however, has failed to file declaration(s) establishing that the information is sealable. As such, the information is now subject to being made part of the public record pursuant to Civil Local Rule 79-5(d). Nevertheless, Bel Fuse will be given an additional opportunity to explain why sealing is required.

Accordingly, **no later than June 22, 2010**, Bel Fuse shall file declaration(s) from

1 appropriate person(s) showing why sealing is warranted. Bel Fuse is advised that the mere
2 designation of information pursuant to a stipulation or blanket protective order will not justify
3 sealing. Instead, Bel Fuse must establish that the subject documents (or portions of thereof) are
4 privileged or protectable as a trade secret or otherwise entitled to protection under the law. CIV.
5 L. R. 79-5(a).

6 SO ORDERED.

7 Dated: June 18, 2010

8 
9 _____
10 HOWARD R. LLYTY
11 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 5:07-cv-06222-RMW Notice has been electronically mailed to:
2 A. Neal Seth nseth@bakerlaw.com, nseth@bakerlaw.com
3 Andrew P. Nemiroff anemiroff@cozen.com, jcipriani@cozen.com, rbrenner@cozen.com
4 Christopher Todd Norris todd.norris@bullivant.com, sanfranciscodocketing@bullivant.com
5 David Moorman drmoorman@maginot.com
6 Emily Rita Frank efrank@bakerlaw.com
7 Harold C. Moore hcmoore@maginot.com
8 John Cameron Adkisson jca@fr.com, mla@fr.com
9 Joshua L. Raskin JRaskin@WOLFBLOCK.com
10 Juanita R. Brooks brooks@fr.com, gonzales@fr.com, srodriguez@fr.com
11 Kent Andrew Lambert klambert@bakerdonelson.com, lhunter@bakerdonelson.com,
12 psigmon@bakerdonelson.com
13 Limin Zheng zheng@fr.com, horsley@fr.com
14 Martin C. Fliesler mcf@fdml.com, cakinselledge@fdml.com, etf@fdml.com,
15 jgeringson@fdml.com, mme@fdml.com
16 Martin G. Raskin mraskin@cozen.com, jcipriani@cozen.com, rbrenner@cozen.com
17 Michael J Kane kane@fr.com, skarboe@fr.com, stenen@fr.com
18 Michael J. Pape pape@fr.com, mla@fr.com
19 Michael Joseph Powell mpowell@bakerdonelson.com, pburks@bakerdonelson.com
20 Rex Hwang rhwang@fdml.com, cakinselledge@fdml.com
21 Samuel F Miller smiller@bakerdonelson.com
22 Sarah Katherine Casey skcasey@bakerdonelson.com
23 Terry John Mollica tjm@cmlawoffices.com
24 William R. Woodford woodford@fr.com, skarboe@fr.com, stenen@fr.com

25 Counsel are responsible for distributing copies of this document to co-counsel who have not
26 registered for e-filing under the court's CM/ECF program.
27
28