Halo Electronics, Inc. v. Bel Fuse Inc. et al

Doc. 360

3

5

EVENT

Final Infringement

Contentions

Final Invalidity

Contentions

Defendants'

Disclosure of

Reliance on Advice of Counsel and

Production of Opinion

Fact Discovery Cut-Off

Final Date for

Motions to Compel

Fact Discovery

Deadline for Expert

Reports for Issues

1516

17 18

19

2021

2223

2425

2627

28

on which the
Parties Bear the

On November 16, 2010, the Court issued its Claim Construction Order (Dkt No. 357).

The parties met and conferred with regard to a proposed case schedule. The parties were

HALO PROPOSED

DATES

January 27, 2011

February 16, 2011

February 16, 2011

May 10, 2011

May 17, 2011

June 10, 2011

DEFENDANTS

PROPOSED DATES

January 27, 2011

February 16, 2011

February 16, 2011

unable to reach an agreement on certain dates by which the present case shall proceed. The parties

hereby present their respective proposed dates for the Court's consideration and adoption:

RELEVANT LOCAL

Rule¹ or Court's

STANDING ORDER

No later than 30 days

after Claim

Construction Ruling (Patent L.R. 3-6(a))

No later than 50 days

after Claim Construction Ruling

(Patent L.R. 3-6(b))

No later than 50 days

after Claim

Construction Ruling

(Patent L.R. 3-8)

No more than 7 days

after cut-off (Civ. L.R. 37-3)

Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-11, the parties respectfully request that the Court issue an Order

setting the case schedule through trial. In the alternative, the parties respectfully request that the

Court set a date for a Case Management Conference.

The applicable Patent Local Rules are the Patent Local Rules instituted on January 1, 2001, because this case was filed prior to March 1, 2008.

1

JOINT STIPULATED ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION FOR AN ORDER SETTING CASE SCHEDULE THROUGH TRIAL, OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE, FOR A CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE Case No. 07-6222 RMW

Burden			
Deadline for Rebuttal Expert Reports		July 1, 2011	
Expert Discovery Cut-Off		July 26, 2011	
Final Date for Motions to Compel Expert Discovery	No later than 7 days after cut-off (Civ. L.R. 37-3)	August 2, 2011	
Deadline for Filing Dispositive Motions		August 19, 2011	
Hearing on Dispositive Motions and	CMC*	September 23, 2011	
Last Day to Contact the Court to Discuss Procedures If the Parties Wish to Mail a Questionnaire to Perspective Jurors	No later than 45 days before the Pretrial Conference (Standing Order)	September 26, 2011	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
Last Day for Counsel to Meet and Confer in Person or by Phone re Pretrial Requirements	No later than 15 days before the Pretrial Conference (Standing Order)	October 26, 2011	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
Trial Briefs; Motions in Limine; Daubert Motions; Discovery Designations; Proposed Voir Dire Questions; JOINTLY Prepared Proposed Jury Instructions; JOINTLY Prepared Proposed Verdict Form; JOINT Pretrial	No later than 10 court days before the Pretrial Conference (Standing Order)	October 27, 2011	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

JOINT STIPULATED ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION FOR AN ORDER SETTING CASE SCHEDULE THROUGH TRIAL, OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE, FOR A CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE Case No. 07-6222 RMW

1	Statement			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 8 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	Last Day to Meet and Confer Regarding Objections to Proposed Voir Dire, Proposed Verdict Forms, or Authenticity or Admissibility of any Trial Exhibits	Any objections to the proposed voir dire, proposed verdict forms, or the authenticity or admissibility of any trial exhibits must be accompanied by a certification that counsel conferred with opposing counsel regarding such objections (Standing Order)	November 1, 2011	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Oppositions to Motions in Limine; Oppositions to Daubert Motions; Objections to Use of Deposition Excerpts or Other Discovery Responses; Counter- Designations; Objections to Proposed Voir Dire, Proposed Verdict Forms, or Authenticity or Admissibility of any Trial Exhibits	No later than 5 court days before the Pretrial Conference (Standing Order)	November 3, 2011	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
9	Objections to Counter- Designations	The day before the Pretrial Conference (Standing Order)	November 9, 2011	xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx
1 2 3	Pretrial Conference	The Court holds pretrial conferences on Thursdays at 2:00 p.m., and 20 days before trial (Court's Calendar)	November 10, 2011, at 2:00 p.m.	XXXXXXXXXXXX XXXXXXXXX
5 6	Trial	Jury Selections on Mondays at 1:30 p.m. (Court's Calendar)	Starting on December 5, 2011 at 1:00 p.m.	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

JOINT STIPULATED ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION FOR AN ORDER SETTING CASE SCHEDULE THROUGH TRIAL, OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE, FOR A CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE New Crest 10. 07-6222 RMW

1		Respectfully submitted,				
2	D-4-d.	FIGH & DIGHADDOON D.C				
3	Dated: February 4, 2011	FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.				
4		By: <i>/s/ Michael J. Kane</i> Michael J. Kane				
5		Attorneys for Plaintiff HALO, INC.				
6		mile, n.e.				
7	Dated:February 4, 2011_	COZEN O'CONNOR				
8		By:/s/ Andrew P. Nemiroff Andrew P. Nemiroff				
10		Attorneys for Defendant/Counterclaim-Plaintiff BEL FUSE, INC.				
11		BEET COE, INC.				
12						
13	Dated:February 4, 2011_	MAGINOT, MOORE & BECK LLP				
14		By:/s/ Harold C. Moore Harold C. Moore				
15		Attorneys for Defendant XMFRS, INC.				
16						
17						
18	Pursuant to the Northern District	of California Electronic Filing Procedures and General				
19	Order No. 45, I attest that concurrence in	n the filing of this document has been obtained from				
20	counsel for the parties, the signatories listed above.					
21						
22	Dated: February 4, 2011	FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.				
23		By: /s/ Limin Zheng				
24		Limin Zheng Attorney for Plaintiff				
25		HALO, INC.				
26						
27		4				
28		JOINT STIPULATED ADMINISTRATIVE MOTIC FOR AN ORDER SETTING CASE SCHEDUI				

1	[] ORDER
2	Good cause having been shown, the Court grants the parties' administrative request and
3	adopts the case schedule proposed by Halo.
4	
5	IT IS SO ORDERED.
6	
7	Dated: 3/23/11 Nonald M. Whyte
8	HONORABLE RONALD M. WHYTE
9	United States District Judge
10	*Although the Court anticipates that the schedule proposed by Halo is realistic, the Court will re- evaluate the remaining schedule at the September 23, 2011 Case Management Conference.
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16 17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	5
28	JOINT STIPULATED ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION FOR AN ORDER SETTING CASE SCHEDULL