ORDER, page 1

order to show cause re dismissal for October 31, 2008 at 10:30AM. As of August 11, 2008, the date on which defendant Wal-Mart filed its separate case management conference statement, defendant Wal-Mart had not been served pursuant to Rule 4(h).² As such, plaintiff's motion is not ripe for adjudication. Accordingly, plaintiff's motion to compel is continued to November 18, 2008 at 10AM. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: October 1, 2008 Patricia V. Trumbull PATRICIA V. TRUMBULL United States Magistrate Judge

Defendant Wal-Mart previously moved to dismiss because of defective service upon the corporation. However, the district court denied the motion to dismiss and ordered proper service of the summons and complaint. To date, it appears that service has not occurred.

1	Copies of order mailed on October 1, 2008 to the following:
2	Cleophas White
3	80 Market Street
4	San Jose, CA 95113
5	EHP
6	Chambers of Magistrate Judge
7	Patricia V. Trumbull
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
2324	
24 25	
25 26	
27	
28	
20	