19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1 2 3 **E-FILED on** 5/13/10 4 5 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION FELTON A. SPEARS, JR. and SIDNEY No. C-08-00868 RMW SCHOLL, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, Plaintiffs, ORDER REGARDING MOTION TO SEAL v. WASHINGTON MUTUAL BANK FA, also known as Washington Mutual Bank, FIRST [Re Docket No. 188] AMERICAN EAPPRAISEIT, a Delaware corporation; and LENDER SERVICE, INC.,

Plaintiffs move to file under seal Exhibits A-O, Q, S, and U attached to the Declaration of Michael D. Braun in Support of Plaintiffs' Motion for Class Certification and certain portions of Plaintiffs' Notice of Motion, Motion for Class Certification, and Memorandum in Support which quote from these exhibits. A request to seal must establish that the document, or portions thereof, is privileged or protectable as a trade secret or otherwise entitled to protection under the law. Civ. L. R. 79-5(a). The request must be narrowly tailored to seek sealing of only sealable material. *Id.*

Having reviewed the material that plaintiffs seek to file under seal, the court has concerns about the extent of material which plaintiffs seek to file under seal. With the exception of Exhibits A and M and the portions of plaintiffs' motion for class certification that quote from these two exhibits, it appears that there is no basis for filing the remainder of the material under seal. Plaintiffs

ORDER REGARDING MOTION TO SEAL—No. C-08-00868 RMW **CCL**

Defendants.

United States District Court For the Northern District of California

1	have represented that they seek to file the designated material under seal because it has been		
2	designated "Confidential" by defendant eAppraiseIT. The court therefore orders the parties to either		
3	explain why all of the designated material is sealable or to submit a narrowly tailored request to file		
4	under seal only those portions of the documents that are sealable material. If the parties do not		
5	submit a satisfactory explanation or an appropriately narrowly tailored request within ten days, the		
6	motion to seal will be denied.		
7			
8	P . To wat		
9	DATED: 5/13/10 Kmala M Whyte RONALD M. WHYTE		
10	United States District Judge		
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

1	Notice of this document has been electronically sent to:		
2	· ·		
3 4 5	Ira Spiro James Mark Moore Janet Lindner Spielberg Joseph N. Kravec, Jr. Michael D. Braun	Ira@SpiroMoss.com mark@spiromoss.com jlspielberg@jlslp.com jnk@ssem.com service@braunlawgroup.com	
6	Counsel for Defendants:		
7	Jeffrey D. Rotenberg	jrotenberg@tpw.com	
8	Kerry Ford Cunningham Laura Jean Fowler	kerry.cunningham@dlapiper.com lfowler@mhalaw.com	
9	Patrick J. Smith David F. Gross	psmith@tpw.com	
10	Francesca Leigh Cicero	david.gross@dlapiper.com francesca.cicero@dlapiper.com	
11	Michael T. Fogarty Christopher J Clark	tfogarty@mhalaw.com cjclark@dl.com	
12	Kevin C Wallace Kris Hue Chau Man	kwallace@dl.com kman@dl.com	
13	Margaret Anne Keane Angela M. Papalaskaris	mkeane@dl.com apapalas@dl.com	
14	Jonathan Mark Lloyd Martin L. Fineman	jonathanlloyd@dwt.com martinfineman@dwt.com	
15	Sam N. Dawood Stephen Michael Rummage	samdawood@dwt.com steverummage@dwt.com	
16	16		
17	Counsel are responsible for distributing copies of this document to co-counsel that ha registered for e-filing under the court's CM/ECF program.		
18			
19			
20	Dated: <u>5/13/10</u>	CCL	
21		Chambers of Judge Whyte	
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
/.^			
28			