

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISIONFELTON A. SPEARS, JR. and
SIDNEY SCHOLL, on behalf of themselves
and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

FIRST AMERICAN EAPPRAISEIT
(a/k/a eAppraiseIT, LLC),
a Delaware limited liability company,

Defendant.

Case No. 5-08-CV-00868-RMW

**ORDER RE: LETTER FROM JENNY
MERRIS RE: SEALING**

[Re Docket No. 451]

Following the court's order on sealing, Dkt. No. 444, non-party JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., filed a letter with the court providing further specific information that two exhibits (Exhibit 12 Declaration of Brian C. Berggren in Support of Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Bifurcation, Dkt. No. 406-7; Exhibit 50 of the Declaration of Justin N. Owens in Support of Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment and Motion for Class Decertification, Dkt. No. 390-19) contain confidential information related to individual appraisals (such as third-party borrowers' names, addresses, etc.). Chase suggests that redacting the odd-numbered pages of the two exhibits will be sufficient to redact this information. Accordingly, the court requests that submitting party EAppraiseIT refile the two exhibits with the odd-numbered pages redacted within 7 days. *See* Civil Local Rule 79-5(f)(3).

1 In the future, all sealing motions and declarations must comply with Civil Local Rule
2 79-5, which requires narrowly tailored sealing requests. The parties must specifically identify
3 confidential information that is sealable in their motions, not after the court has reviewed the
4 sealing motions multiple times.

5
6 SO ORDERED.

7
8
9 Dated: October 2, 2014


Ronald M. Whyte
United States District Judge