

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**\*\*E-Filed 9/20/2010\*\***

[SEE SIGNATURE PAGE FOR PARTIES AND COUNSEL OF RECORD]

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN JOSE DIVISION

ACER, INC., ACER AMERICA CORPORATION and GATEWAY, INC.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LIMITED, PATRIOT SCIENTIFIC CORPORATION, and ALLIACENSE LIMITED,

Defendants.

Case No. 5:08-cv-00877 JR/HRL

**STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER CONTINUING CASE SCHEDULING DATES**

**[RELATED CASES]**

HTC CORPORATION, HTC AMERICA, INC.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LIMITED, PATRIOT SCIENTIFIC CORPORATION, and ALLIACENSE LIMITED,

Defendants.

Case No. 5:08-cv-00882 JF/HRL

1 BARCO N.V., a Belgian corporation,

Case No. 5:08-cv-05398 JF/HRL

2 Plaintiff,

3 v.

4 TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LTD.,  
5 PATRIOT SCIENTIFIC CORP.,  
6 ALLIACENSE LTD.,

7 Defendants.

8 The parties in these three related cases, Acer, Inc., Acer America, Inc. and Gateway, Inc.  
9 (collectively "Acer"), Barco, N.V. ("Barco"), HTC Corp. and HTC America, Inc. (collectively  
10 "HTC") (Acer, Barco and HTC collectively "Plaintiffs"); and Technology Properties Ltd., Patriot  
11 Scientific Corp. and Alliacense, Ltd. (collectively "TPL" or "Defendants"), pursuant to Northern  
12 District of California Civil Local Rule 6-2, make this stipulated request for an order to continue  
13 case scheduling dates with reference to the following facts:

14 **WHEREAS**, on February 22, 2010 this Court entered an Order Following Case  
15 Management Conference establishing a schedule for these actions ("Scheduling Order") (Dkt.  
16 156 in no. 08-cv-0877; Dkt. 148 in no. 08-cv-0882; Dkt. 76 in no. 08-cv-5398);

17 **WHEREAS**, on May 19, 2010 this Court entered an amended scheduling order for this  
18 action ("Amended Scheduling Order") (*see, e.g.*, Dkt. 162 in case no. 08-cv-0877);

19 **WHEREAS**, on or about September 2, 2010, counsel for Defendants left voicemails with  
20 counsel for Acer and HTC, requesting a continuance of thirty (30) days for filing the joint claim  
21 construction and pre-hearing statement, currently due September 21, 2010, in order to fully meet  
22 and confer as to the many proposed claim terms to be construed;

23 **WHEREAS**, on or about September 10, 2010, counsel for Plaintiffs informed counsel for  
24 Defendants that Plaintiffs would be amenable to continuing only the due date for the joint claim  
25 construction and pre-hearing statement to October 19, 2010 without moving other dates;

26 **WHEREAS**, in response, Defendants indicated that continuing only the due date for the  
27 joint statement would eliminate the time period allowed under the local rules for Defendants to  
28 prepare their opening brief and as such, their proposal was to continue the entire remaining

1 schedule by thirty (30) days;

2 **WHEREAS**, no dates have been set for the tutorial, claim construction hearing, or trial;

3 **WHEREAS**, the parties then agreed on or about September 13, 2010 that the Scheduling  
4 Order be modified as follows;

5 **ACCORDINGLY**, it is **HEREBY STIPULATED** by and among the parties and their  
6 counsel of record that the dates in the Amended Scheduling Order be modified as follows (under  
7 the "Proposed" column):

Event	Date	
	Current	Proposed
Last day to file joint claim construction and pre-hearing statement	September 21, 2010	October 21, 2010
Claim construction discovery cut-off	October 19, 2010	November 19, 2010
Defendants' opening claim construction brief	November 2, 2010	December 2, 2010
Plaintiffs' responsive claim construction brief	November 30, 2010	January 14, 2011
Defendants' reply claim construction brief	December 14, 2010	February 4, 2011
Patent Technology Tutorial*	*If requested by the Court Approximately 20 days after reply claim construction brief	
Claim construction hearing	To be determined	
Status conference	Three weeks after the claim construction hearing	
Final infringement contentions <sup>1</sup>	30 days after the claim construction ruling	

26 <sup>1</sup> & <sup>2</sup> These two deadlines for final infringement and final invalidity contentions only apply to  
27 case nos. 5:08-cv-0877 (*Acer v. TPL*) and 5:08-cv-0882 (*HTC v. TPL*). Case no. 5:08-cv-05398  
28 (*Barco v. TPL*) was filed in December 2008 and operates under the Patent Local Rules that were  
in effect after March 2008, which do not provide for final infringement or invalidity contentions  
absent leave from Court.

Event	Date	
	Current	Proposed
Final invalidity contentions <sup>2</sup>	50 days after the claim construction ruling	
Defendants to serve willfulness documents; opinion of counsel	50 days after the claim construction ruling	
Close of fact discovery	Six months after the final invalidity contentions	
Initial expert reports	30 days after the close of fact discovery	
Rebuttal expert reports	30 days after the initial expert reports	
Close of expert discovery	Two weeks after the rebuttal expert reports	
Trial	To be determined	

Dated: September 15, 2010

FARELLA BRAUN + MARTEL LLP

By: /s/ John L. Cooper  
 John L. Cooper  
 jcooper@fbm.com  
 Stephanie Powers Skaff  
 sskaff@fbm.com  
 Eugene Y. Mar  
 emar@fbm.com  
 Farella Braun + Martel LLP  
 235 Montgomery Street  
 San Francisco, CA 94104  
 Phone: (415) 954-4400  
 Fax: (415) 954-4480

*Attorneys for Technology Properties Ltd.,  
 Patriot Scientific Corp., and Alliacense  
 Ltd.*

\_\_\_\_\_

1 Dated: September 15, 2010

KIRBY NOONAN LANCE & HOGE, LLP

2

3

By:           /s/ Charles T. Hoge            
Charles T. Hoge

4

5

Attorneys for Defendant  
PATRIOT SCIENTIFIC CORPORATION

6

7 Dated: September 15, 2010

K&L GATES LLP

8

By:           /s/ Jeffrey M. Ratinoff          

9

Jeffrey M. Ratinoff  
Jeffrey.ratinoff@klgates.com

10

K&L Gates LLP

11

630 Hansen Way

12

Palo Alto, CA 94304

13

Phone: (650) 798-6700

Fax: (650) 798-6701

*Attorneys for Acer, Inc., Acer America  
Corp. and Gateway, Inc.*

14 Dated: September 15, 2010

COOLEY LLP

15

16

By:           /s/ Kyle D. Chen          

17

Kyle D. Chen, Esq.

kyle.chen@cooley.com

18

Heidi L. Keefe, Esq.

hkeefe@cooley.com

19

Mark R. Weinstein, Esq.

mweinstein@cooley.com

20

Cooley LLP

3000 El Camino Real

21

Five Palo Alto Square, 4th Floor

Palo Alto, California 94306

22

Phone: (650) 843-5000

Fax: (650) 857-0663

*Attorneys for HTC Corporation and HTC  
America, Inc.*

23

24

25

26

27

28

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Dated: September 15, 2010

BAKER & MCKENZIE

By: /s/ Edward Runyan, Esq.

Edward Runyan, Esq.  
Edward.Runyan@bakernet.com  
Baker & McKenzie  
130 East Randolph Drive  
Chicago, IL 60601  
Phone: (312) 861-8811  
Fax: (312) 698-2341

*Attorneys for Barco, N.V.*

**PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: September 20, 2010

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
THE HONORABLE JEFFREY FOGEL  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**ATTESTATION PER GENERAL ORDER 45**

I, Eugene Mar, am the ECF User whose ID and password are being used to file this Stipulation. In compliance with General Order 45, X.B., I hereby attest that the counsel listed above have concurred with this filing.

Dated: September 15, 2010

FARELLA BRAUN + MARTEL LLP

By: /s/ Eugene Y. Mar  
John L. Cooper  
jcooper@fbm.com  
Stephanie Powers Skaff  
sskaff@fbm.com  
Eugene Y. Mar  
emar@fbm.com  
Farella Braun + Martel LLP  
235 Montgomery Street  
San Francisco, CA 94104  
Phone: (415) 954-4400  
Fax: (415) 954-4480

***Attorneys for Technology Properties Ltd.,  
Patriot Scientific Corp., and Alliacense  
Ltd.***