

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

<p>ACER, INC., ACER AMERICA CORPORATION and GATEWAY, INC.,</p> <p>Plaintiffs,</p> <p>v.</p> <p>TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LTD., PATRIOT SCIENTIFIC CORPORATION, ALLIACENSE LTD.,</p> <p>Defendants.</p>	<p>Case No. 5:08-cv-00877 PSG</p>
<p>HTC CORPORATION, HTC AMERICA, INC.,</p> <p>Plaintiffs,</p> <p>v.</p> <p>TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LTD., PATRIOT SCIENTIFIC CORPORATION, ALLIACENSE LTD.,</p> <p>Defendants.</p>	<p>Case No. 5:08-cv-00882 PSG</p>


ORDER INVITING BRIEFING RE: EFFECT OF AUTOMATIC STAY
(Re: Docket No. 425, 427, 428)

1 On March 21, 2013, Technology Properties Ltd., (“TPL”) notified Acer, Inc., et al
2 (“Acer”), HTC Corp., et al (“HTC”) and the court that it had filed for Chapter 11 bankruptcy.¹ The
3 court issued an order staying the case pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 362 and requiring the parties to
4 submit status updates every 90 days.² TPL submitted two more notices in which it suggested that
5 its counterclaims could continue against Acer and HTC and that the cases against Patriot Scientific
6 Corp. and Alliacense Ltd. may proceed if they can be separated from the TPL case.³

7 The court now invites briefing from the parties on this issue. No later than Friday, April 5,
8 2013, the parties each shall submit letter briefs no longer than five pages explaining how this case
9 should proceed in light of TPL’s bankruptcy filing.

10 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

11 Dated: March 27, 2013

12 

13 PAUL S. GREWAL
14 United States Magistrate Judge

15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

¹ See Docket No. 425.

27 ² See Docket No. 426.

28 ³ See Docket Nos. 427, 428.