		ATES DISTRICT	
1	PHILIP T. BESIROF (CA SBN 185053) PBesirof@mofo.com	STATE	
2	ALEXEI KLESTOFF (CA SBN 224016) AKlestoff@mofo.com	AND AND FRED	
3	MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 425 Market Street	IT IS SO ORDERED	
4	San Francisco, California 94105-2482 Telephone: 415.268.7000	- Qamee Water	
5	Facsimile: 415.268.7522	Z Judge James Ware	
6	Attorneys for Plaintiffs 3A ENTERTAINMENT LTD. and LABCROFT LT		
7	3A ENTERTAINMENT LTD. and LABCROFT LTD.		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	SAN JOSE DIVISION		
11			
12	3A ENTERTAINMENT LTD. and LABCROFT LTD.,	Case No. CV 08-1274 JW	
13	Plaintiffs,	ORDER VACATING CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE;	
14	V.	SETTING DEADLINE FOR	
15	CONSTANT ENTERTAINMENT, INC. and	PLAINTIFF TO FILE THEIR MOTION FOR DEFAULT	
16	PHILIP HO,	JUDGMENT	
17	Defendants.		
18			
19	Plaintiffs 3A Entertainment Ltd. and Labcroft Ltd. hereby submit their Case Management		
20	Statement and Rule 26(f) Report.		
21	1. <u>Jurisdiction and Service</u> : This Court has diversity jurisdiction over this action		
22	under 28 U.S.C. § 1332 because the matter in controversy exceeds the sum or value of \$75,000		
23	and is between citizens of California and citizens of foreign states. No issues exist regarding		
24	personal jurisdiction or venue and all parties have been served.		
25	2. <u>Facts</u> : Between 2005 and 2007, Constant Entertainment Inc. entered into several		
26	contracts with Plaintiffs for the delivery of rights to certain software games. Constant never		
27	delivered those rights, thereby breaching the contracts, and has refused to return the money paid		
28	to it by Plaintiffs.		
	CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT AND RULE 26(F) REPORT CASE NO. CV 08-1274 JW		

1	During the negotiations for a number of those contracts, Constant, through its Chief		
2	Executive Officer, Philip Ho, made false representations regarding Constant's ownership of rights		
3	to certain game titles. Plaintiffs relied on those representations in contracting with Constant, and		
4	thereby suffered damages.		
5	Because Defendants have defaulted, there are no factual issues in dispute. ¹		
6	3. <u>Legal Issues</u> : As Defendants have defaulted, there are no disputed points of law.		
7	4. <u>Motions</u> : Plaintiffs intend to request entry of default judgment against Defendants		
8	5. <u>Amendment of Pleadings</u> : Plaintiffs do not intend to amend their complaint at this		
9	time.		
10	6. <u>Evidence Preservation</u> : Plaintiffs have preserved evidence relevant to their claims		
11	and provided this information to their counsel.		
12	7. <u>Disclosures</u> : Because Defendants have defaulted, the parties have not exchanged		
13	initial disclosures.		
14	8. <u>Discovery</u> : Because Defendants have defaulted, the parties have not had a		
15	Rule 26(f) meeting and thus no discovery has been taken to date. Defendant's Rule 26(f)		
16	discovery plan is as follows.		
17	a. As Defendants have defaulted, no exchange of initial disclosures has been		
18	made or is necessary.		
19	b. Plaintiffs do not intend to seek any additional discovery from Defendants at		
20	this time.		
21	c. There are no issues relating to disclosure or discovery of electronically stored		
22	information at this time.		
23	d. There are no issues relating to claims of privilege at this time.		
24	e. No changes should be made to the limitations on discovery.		
25	9. <u>Class Actions</u> : This is not a class action.		
26 27 28	¹ Plaintiffs served their complaint on Defendants on March 12, 2008. Defendants failed to appear or otherwise respond to the complaint within the time prescribed by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and the Clerk of the Court entered their default on April 22, 2008.		
-	CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT AND RULE 26(F) REPORT CASE NO. CV 08-1274 PVT		

110.Related Cases: There are no related cases or proceedings pending before another2judge of this Court or before another court or administrative body.

11. <u>Relief</u>: As against Constant, 3A seeks \$984,482 in compensatory damages for
Constant's fraud and breach of contract, as well as punitive damages. This amount represents
money paid to Constant pursuant to the contracts at issue in this action. As against Philip Ho, 3A
seeks \$290,000 in compensatory damages for Mr. Ho's fraud, as well as punitive damages. This
amount represents money paid pursuant to contracts that Constant fraudulently induced 3A into
entering.

As against Constant, Labcroft seeks \$128,000 in compensatory damages for Constant's
fraud and breach of contract, as well as punitive damages. This amount represents money paid to
Constant pursuant to the contract at issue in this action. As against Mr. Ho, Labcroft seeks
\$128,000 in compensatory damages for Mr. Ho's fraud, punitive damages, and costs of suit. This
amount represents money paid pursuant to a contract that Constant fraudulently induced Labcroft
into entering.

15 12. <u>Settlement and ADR</u>: There are no prospects for settlement at this time. As
16 Defendants have defaulted, no ADR efforts have been made.

17 13. <u>Consent to Magistrate Judge for All Purposes</u>: Plaintiffs do not consent to have a
18 magistrate judge conduct all further proceedings.

19 14. <u>Other References</u>: This case is not suitable for reference to binding arbitration, a
 20 special master, or the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation.

21 15. <u>Narrowing of Issues</u>: As Defendants have defaulted, no issues require narrowing
22 and there is no need for stipulations of fact.

23 16. <u>Expedited Schedule</u>: Because the only remaining issue in the case is entry of
24 default judgment, Plaintiffs believe this case can be completed expeditiously.

17. <u>Scheduling</u>: As Defendants have defaulted, Plaintiffs believe that scheduling dates
for designation of experts, discovery cutoff, hearing of dispositive motions, pretrial conference,
and trial is unnecessary at this time. Plaintiffs will submit proposed dates should the Court
require them.

CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT AND RULE 26(F) REPORT CASE NO. CV 08-1274 PVT

1	18. <u>Trial</u> : Because Defendants have defaulted, trial is unnecessary.			
2	19. <u>Disclosure of Non-party Interested Entities or Persons</u> : As stated in Plaintiffs'			
3	March 4, 2008 disclosure, the following list	March 4, 2008 disclosure, the following listed parties may have a financial interest in the subject		
4	matter in controversy:			
5	Akella			
6	Digital Storm Trading, Ltd.			
7	SWT Entertainment, Ltd.			
8	OOO "Izdatelstvo Akella-1"			
9	Quadriga Capital			
10	Intel Capital			
11	Dated: August 25, 2008	PHILIP T. BESIROF		
12		ALEXEI KLESTOFF MORRISON & FOERSTER llp		
13		By:/s/ Alexei Klestoff		
14		Alexei Klestoff		
15		Attorneys for Plaintiffs 3A ENTERTAINMENT LTD. and LABCROFT LTD.		
16	*** ORDER ***			
17				
18	In light of Defendants' apparent default and Plaintiff's desire to conduct discovery solely			
19	on the issue of damages, the Case Management Conference presently scheduled for September 8,			
20	2008 is VACATED. However, to advance this case and in an effort to clear its Docket, the Court			
21	sets the following deadlines:			
22	(1) Plaintiff shall complete all discovery on or before October 27, 2008 .			
23				
24	(2) The last date for hearing on Plaintiff's anticipated motion for default judgment is			
25	December 15, 2008 at 9 a.m. Plaintiff shall obtain an entry of default from the Clerk of Court			
26	and notice the motion for default in accordance with the Civil Local Rules of the Court.			
27 28	Dated: September 4, 2008 JAMES WARE Ubied States District Judge			
	l			