

United States District Court  
For the Northern District of California

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

E-filed on: 7/23/10

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN JOSE DIVISION

SANDRA J. FEE,

Plaintiff,

v.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, CALIFORNIA  
HIGHWAY PATROL, OFFICER B. RIOUX,  
OFFICER VOORHEES, et al.

Defendants.

No. C-08-01549 RMW

ORDER RE APPLICATION TO PROCEED  
WITHOUT PREPAYING FEES OR COSTS

Plaintiff Sandra Fee has filed an application for leave to proceed in forma pauperis, presumably for the purposes of appeal. While the application appears to meet the financial hardship standard to justify waiving costs, the application does not fully comply with Rule 24 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure. Under Rule 24, in addition to proof of financial hardship, the affidavit must also claim an entitlement to relief or redress and must state the issues that the party intends to present on appeal. Neither requirement is met by the present application, and accordingly, the application is denied as incomplete. Plaintiff may resubmit her application together with the missing information.

DATED: 7/22/10



RONALD M. WHYTE  
United States District Judge

ORDER RE APPLICATION TO PROCEED WITHOUT PREPAYING FEES OR COSTS  
No. C-08-1549 RMW  
TER