484974.01

1 2 3 4 5 6	KEKER & VAN NEST, LLP CHRISTA M. ANDERSON - #184325 DAVID J. SILBERT - #173128 REBEKAH PUNAK - #248588 710 Sansome Street San Francisco, CA 94111-1704 Telephone: (415) 391-5400 Facsimile: (415) 397-7188 Attorneys for Defendant GOOGLE INC.		
7 8	UNITED STATES	S DISTRICT COURT	
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	SAN JOSE DIVISION		
11			
12	DAVID ALMEIDA, individually and on	Case No. C 08-02088 RMW	
13	behalf of all others similarly situated,	DEFENDANT GOOGLE INC.'S	
14	Plaintiff,	SUPPLEMENTAL MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO DISMISS	
15		[Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(1)]	
16	GOOGLE INC., a Delaware corporation; and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,	Date: April 2, 2010 Time: 9:00 a.m. Dept: 6	
17	Defendants.	Dept: 6 Judge: Hon. Ronald M. Whyte	
18		Date Comp. Filed: April 22, 2008	
19		Trial Date: None set.	
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
		ENDANT GOOGLE INC.'S MOTION TO DISMISS	
	CASE NO. C 08-02088 RMW Dockets.Justia.cor		

1	Google submits this supplemental memorandum to apprise the Court of the parties'	
2	efforts to stipulate to the dismissal of this action. The parties have met and conferred but have	
3	been unable to agree on the terms of a stipulation. Accordingly, Google requests that the Court	
4	dismiss the action pursuant to Google's motion. Further, for the reasons stated in its moving	
5	papers, Google requests that the dismissal be with prejudice, as Almeida now acknowledges that	
6	he never used the allegedly misleading interface that is the subject of the action, even though he	
7	falsely alleged in his Complaint that he did. <i>See</i> Complaint (Doc. 1) at ¶ 15; P.'s Mtn. for Leave	
8	to Amend (Doc. 27), at 1:9-11.	
9	Yesterday, Almeida sent a letter to the Court requesting that Google's motion to dismiss	
10	be submitted without oral argument, or alternatively, that Almeida's counsel be permitted to	
11	appear telephonically. As Google has already stated, it would be pleased to submit this matter	
12	without oral argument. If the Court wishes to hear argument, Google's counsel will appear in	
13	person, but Google does not object to Almeida's counsel appearing by telephone.	
14		
15	Respectfully submitted	
16	Dated: April 1, 2010 KEKER & VAN NEST, LLP	
17		
18		
19	By: <u>/s/ David J. Silbert</u> DAVID J. SILBERT	
20	Attorneys for Defendant GOOGLE INC.	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	1	
	SUPP. MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANT GOOGLE INC.'S MOTION TO DISMISS CASE NO. C 08-02088 RMW	

484974.01