United States District Court For the Northern District of California

1			
2			
3			
4		E-FILED on <u>4/1/10</u>	
5			
6			
7			
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	SAN JOSE DIVISION		
11			
12	DAVID ALMEIDA, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	No. C-08-02088 RMW	
13	Plaintiff,		
14	V.	ORDER DISMISSING COMPLAINT FOR LACK OF STANDING	
15	GOOGLE, INC., a Delaware Corporation; and		
16	DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,		
17	Defendants.	[Re Docket No. 39]	
18			
19			
20	Defendant Google, Inc. ("Google") moves to dismiss plaintiff David Almeida ("Almeida")'s		
21	complaint for lack of subject matter jurisdiction. For the reasons set forth below, the court grants the		
22	motion.		
23 24	I. ANALYSIS		
24 25	Almeida alleges that he and others like him were defrauded by a misleading registration		
23 26	process for Google's AdWords advertising program. Compl. ¶¶ 11-16. In particular, Almeida		
20	alleges that the registration process is misleading because Google does not inform advertisers that if they leave a particular field (the CPC Content Bid Input field) blank, they will nonetheless be		
27	charged for content ads on third party websites. <i>Id.</i> at ¶ 5. Almeida enrolled in AdWords in		
20	enarged for content add on third party websites. Ia . at $\parallel 5$. Annelda enfonce in Adwords in		
	ORDER DISMISSING COMPLAINT FOR LACK OF STANDING—No. C-08-02088 RMW CCL		
		Dockets.Justia.com	

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

November 2006. *Id.* at ¶ 15. According to Google's verified interrogatory responses, however,
Google only began using a CPC Content Bid Input field as part of the registration process in or
around October 2007, almost a year after Almeida allegedly signed up for AdWords. Dkt. No. 28
Exs. D, J.

Based on these facts, it appears that Almeida lacks standing to bring suit. For this reason, the court denied without prejudice plaintiff's motion for leave to amend and required plaintiff to submit evidence sufficient to create an inference that he has standing before renewing his motion to amend. Nov. 13, 2009 Order at 5. Almeida has not submitted any evidence to show that he has standing. In fact, plaintiff does not oppose this motion to dismiss, except that it contends dismissal should be without prejudice. Since plaintiff has failed to establish standing to bring suit, the court lacks subject matter jurisdiction over his claims and must dismiss the suit. *Cetacean Cmty. v. Bush*, 386 F.3d 1169, 1174 (9th Cir. 2004).

13 The only question that remains is whether dismissal should be with or without prejudice. 14 Generally, dismissal for lack of subject matter jurisdiction should be without prejudice because "a 15 judge ordering a dismissal based upon lack of subject matter jurisdiction 'retains no power to make 16 judgments relating to the merits of the case." Wages v. Internal Revenue Service, 915 F.2d 1230, 1234 (9th Cir. 1990) (quoting Cook v. Peter Kiewit Sons Co., 775 F.2d 1030, 1035 (9th Cir. 1985)). 17 18 However, when dismissal is based on plaintiff's lack of standing, and it is "plainly unlikely that the 19 plaintiff [will be] able to cure the standing problem," dismissal with prejudice has been found 20 appropriate. Nat'l Licensing Ass'n, LLC v. Inland Joseph Fruit Co., 361 F. Supp. 2d 1244, 1258 21 (E.D. Wash. 2004) (quoting H.R. Techs., Inc. v. Astechnologies, Inc., 275 F.3d 1378, 1385 (Fed. Cir. 22 2002)). In this case, it is plainly unlikely that Almeida will be able to cure his lack of standing. 23 Hence, the court finds that dismissal with prejudice is appropriate.

II. ORDER

For the foregoing reasons, the court dismisses Almeida's complaint with prejudice.

27 28 DATED:

24

25

26

la M. Whyte

RONALD M. WHYTE United States District Judge

ORDER DISMISSING COMPLAINT FOR LACK OF STANDING—No. C-08-02088 RMW CCL 2

4/1/10

1	Notice of this document has been sent to:	
2	Counsel for Plaintiff:	
3 4	Alfredo Torrijosat@kbklawyers.comBrian Stephen Kabateckbsk@kbklawyers.comMichael Vincent Stortims@kbklawyers.com	
5	5 Counsel for Defendant:	
6 7 8 9	Daralyn J. Durieddurie@durietangri.comDavid Jason Silbertdjs@kvn.comRyan Marshall Kentrkent@durietangri.comAlyse Deborah Bertenthalabertenthal@kvn.comChrista M. Andersoncanderson@kvn.comLeo Patrick Nortonlnorton@cooley.comRebekah Leigh Punakrpunak@kvn.com	
10		
11		
12	registered for e-filing under the court's CM/ECF program.	
13	Dated: 4/1/10 CCL	
14	Chambers of Judge Whyte	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19 20		
20 21		
21		
22		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	ORDER DISMISSING COMPLAINT FOR LACK OF STANDING—No. C-08-02088 RMW CCL 3	