| 1 | RICHARD DOYLE, City Attorney (#88625) | STATES DISTRICT COL | | | |
|----|--|---------------------------|--|--|--|
| 2 | GEORGE RIOS, Assistant City Attorney (77908) ROBERT FABELA, Sr. Deputy City Attorney (148098) Office of the City Attorney 200 East Santa Clara Street | | | | |
| 3 | | | | | |
| 4 | San José, California 95113-1905 Telephone Number: (408) 535-1900 Facsimile Number: (408) 998-3131 | Z Judge James Ware | | | |
| 5 | Facsimile Number: (408) 998-3131 E-Mail Address: cao.main@sanjoseca.gov | Judge Ju | | | |
| 6 | Attorneys for CITY OF SAN JOSE | | | | |
| 7 | DISTRICT OF | | | | |
| 8 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | | | | |
| 9 | NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | | | | |
| 10 | SAN JOSE DIVISION | | | | |
| 11 | | | | | |
| 12 | JEFFREY WELCH, GLEN BISHIP, MITCHELL WITSINSKI, ROBERT CULBERTSON and other employees | Case Number: C08-02132 JW | | | |
| 13 | CULBERTSON and other employees similarly situated, | STIPULATION AND PROFUSED | | | |
| 14 | Plaintiffs, | MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE | | | |
| 15 | | | | | |
| 16 | V. | | | | |
| 17 | CITY OF SAN JOSE, | | | | |
| 18 | Defendants. | | | | |
| 19 | STIPULATION | | | | |
| 20 | This case involves the claim by certain plaintiff firefighters that the City of San Jose | | | | |
| 21 | has not made overtime payments to plaintiffs pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act. This | | | | |
| 22 | case has been related to <i>Diaz, et al. v. City of San Jose</i> , case number C07-06424 JW, which | | | | |
| 23 | also involves FLSA claims by certain firefighters against the City of San Jose. | | | | |
| 24 | In the related <i>Diaz</i> matter, the Court appointed Thomas Gosselin, Esq. as the early | | | | |
| 25 | neutral evaluator in this matter. The parties engaged in an ENE session before Mr. Gosselin | | | | |
| 26 | on July 21, 2008. At the end of session, it was determined that it would be most efficient for | | | | |
| 27 | the parties and the court, and would more likely result in the informal resolution of this | | | | |
| 28 | | | | | |
| | CITY OF SAN JOSE'S ANSWER TO COMPLAINT | 1 C07-06424 JW | | | |
| | | 501475 | | | |

matter, were the parties to engage in another ENE session with Mr. Gosselin after having a
 reasonable opportunity to further study the scope of the damages being claimed by Plaintiffs
 under the Fair Labor Standards Act. The parties have also agreed to attempt to address this
 related case, *Welch v. City of San Jose*, at the second ENE.

The parties have now agreed with Mr. Gosselin to conduct the next phase of the ENE session, which will include both the *Diaz* and the *Welch* matter, on September 18, 2008.

The Case Management Conference in this case is currently scheduled for September 8, 2008, ten days prior to the scheduled ENE. The parties agree that it would be more efficient and productive for the Case Management Conference to occur after the ENE session on September 18. After having consulted with court staff on available alternative dates, the parties have agreed to a new Case Management Conference date of October 20, 2008, pending approval from this Court.

WYLIE, MCBRIDE, PLATTEN & RENNER

Dated: August 22, 2008

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

By: <u>/s/ Carol L. Koenig</u> CAROL L. KOENIG

Attorney for Plaintiffs, JUAN DIAZ, KEITH KEESLING, CHRISTOPHER MURPHY, GARY WEEKLEY, and KAREN ALLEN

RICHARD DOYLE, City Attorney

Dated: August 22, 2008

By: <u>/s/ Robert Fabela</u> ROBERT FABELA Sr. Deputy City Attorney

Attorney for Defendant CITY OF SAN JOSE

ATTESTMENT OF CONCURRENCE PER GENERAL ORDER 45 FOR FILING:
I attest that concurrence in the filing of this document by the signatories, Robert
Fabela and Carol L. Koenig, has been obtained, and that a record of the concurrence shall
be maintained at the Office of the City Attorney.

2

CITY OF SAN JOSE'S ANSWER TO COMPLAINT

| 1 | Date: August 22, 2008 | By: <u>/s/ Robert Fabe</u> ROBERT FABEL | ela |
|----------|-----------------------------|--|------------------------|
| 2 | | ROBERT FABEL | _A |
| 3 | | | |
| 4 | | | |
| 5 | | | |
| 6 | | | |
| 7 | | | |
| 8 | | | |
| 9 | | | |
| 10 | | | |
| 11 | | | |
| 12 | | | |
| 13 | | | |
| 14 | | | |
| 15 | | | |
| 16 | | | |
| 17 | | | |
| 18 | | | |
| 19 | | | |
| 20 21 | | | |
| 21 | | | |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | | | |
| 26 | | | |
| 27 | | | |
| 28 | | | |
| | | 3 | |
| | CITY OF SAN JOSE'S ANSWER T | O COMPLAINT | C07-06424 JW 501475 |
| | | | |

| 1 | I ROPUSED ORDER | | | |
|----------|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Case Management Conference in this matter shall | | | |
| 3 | be continued to October 20, 2008, at 10:00 a.m. The last day to file a Rule 26(f) Report and | | | |
| 4 | Case Management Statement shall be continued to October 10, 2008. | | | |
| 5 | | | | |
| 6 | Dated: September 4, 2008 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE | | | |
| 7 | $ $ | | | |
| 8 9 | | | | |
| 10 | | | | |
| 11 | | | | |
| 12 | | | | |
| 13 | | | | |
| 14 | | | | |
| 15 | | | | |
| 16 | | | | |
| 17 | | | | |
| 18 | | | | |
| 19 | | | | |
| 20 | | | | |
| 21 | | | | |
| 22 | | | | |
| 23 24 | | | | |
| 24 25 | | | | |
| 26 | | | | |
| 27 | | | | |
| 28 | | | | |
| | 4 | | | |
| | CITY OF SAN JOSE'S ANSWER TO COMPLAINT C07-06424 JW 501475 | | | |
| | | | | |