

1 KEITH E. EGGLETON, State Bar No. 159842
 RODNEY G. STRICKLAND, JR., State Bar No. 161934
 2 ANTHONY J WEIBELL, State Bar No. 238850
 WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI, P.C.
 3 650 Page Mill Road
 Palo Alto, CA 94304-1050
 4 Telephone: (650) 493-9300
 Facsimile: (650) 565-5100
 5 Email: keggleton@wsgr.com;
 rstrickland@wsgr.com; aweibell@wsgr.com

6 *Attorneys for Defendant*
 7 RUDOLPH TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

8
 9
 10
 11
 12
 13
 14
 15
 16
 17
 18
 19
 20
 21
 22
 23
 24
 25
 26
 27
 28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 SAN JOSE DIVISION

MEHRDAD NIKOONAHAD,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 v.)
)
 RUDOLPH TECHNOLOGIES, INC. and)
 DOES 1-25, inclusive,)
)
 Defendants.)

CASE NO.: C 08-2290 JF (PVT)
**STIPULATED REQUEST AND ORDER
 SHORTENING TIME FOR
 HEARING ON DEFENDANT'S
 MOTION TO EXTEND THE
 DURATION OF PLAINTIFF'S
 DEPOSITION**

STIPULATED REQUEST AND ORDER
 SHORTENING TIME FOR HEARING ON DEFT'S
 MOT. TO EXTEND DURATION OF PLTF. DEPO
 CASE No. C 08-2290 JF (PVT)

1 Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 6-2 and the Standing Order for Discovery Practice in All
2 Cases Referred to Magistrate Judge Patricia V. Trumbull for Discovery, the parties submit the
3 following stipulated request to shorten the time for hearing defendant Rudolph Technologies,
4 Inc.'s ("Rudolph") Motion to Extend the Duration of Plaintiff's Deposition. As Rudolph's
5 separate motion explains, Rudolph requests the Court to permit a 14-hour (two days) deposition
6 rather than the 7-hour (one day) deposition permitted by the rules without agreement of the
7 parties or court order. This stipulated request for a shortened briefing schedule is supported by
8 the accompanying Declaration of Rodney G. Strickland, Jr. filed herewith:

9 **STIPULATED REQUEST**

10 WHEREAS, on or about April 30, plaintiff's deposition was noticed for June 1-2, 2010;

11 WHEREAS, on May 28, 2010, plaintiff's deposition was postponed due to a dispute
12 between the parties regarding the appropriate duration of the deposition;

13 WHEREAS, pursuant Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(d), Rudolph has filed concurrently herewith a
14 Motion to Extend the Duration of Plaintiff's Deposition ("the Motion");

15 WHEREAS, plaintiff intends to oppose the Motion;

16 WHEREAS, fact discovery in this matter closes on August 2, 2010;

17 WHEREAS, in light of the fact discovery cut-off, the parties' need for additional
18 discovery following plaintiff's deposition, the parties' desire to resolve the issue presented by the
19 Motion promptly, and the parties' other scheduling concerns, the parties agree that the Motion
20 should be resolved on a shortened schedule and at the Court's earliest convenience;

21 WHEREAS, Rudolph's counsel has been informed by the Court's clerk that the Court is
22 available on June 15, 2010, to hear this motion in the event that this request is granted; and

23 WHEREAS, the parties have rescheduled plaintiff's deposition for June 23-24, 2010, and
24 therefore a hearing on June 15, 2010, would allow the Motion to be resolved prior to the new
25 date for plaintiff's deposition.

26 NOW THEREFORE, the parties hereto, through their undersigned counsel, stipulate and
27 agree, and hereby request a shortened hearing schedule on the Motion as follows:

