1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 SAN JOSE DIVISION 11 12 NICK MASTERS, Case No.: C 08-02473 PVT 13 Plaintiff, ORDER RE STIPULATED PROTECTIVE 14 ORDER v. 15 COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA, ET AL., 16 Defendants. 17 18 On June 12, 2008, the parties filed a proposed stipulated protective order to governing their 19 respective disclosures of certain confidential material in the above-captioned action. Having 20 reviewed the proposed stipulated protective order, the court finds the following deficiencies: 21 (1) the stipulated protective order fails to reference that confidential material must 22 qualify for protection under the standards developed under Rule 26(c); 23 (2) the stipulated protective order fails to provide appropriate instructions for requesting 24 confidential materials be filed under seal pursuant to Local Rule 79-5; and 25 (3) the stipulated protective order fails to include as an exhibit a sample agreement to be 26 bound by the order to be signed by anyone who is given access to confidential 27 information. 28 Additionally, the parties are advised to review the model stipulated protective order on the court's ORDER, page 1

1	website	e located at <u>www.cand.uscourts.gov.</u>	
2	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
3	Dated:	June 15, 2009	Patricia V. Trumbull
5			PATRICIA V. TRUMBULL United States Magistrate Judge
6			Office States Wagistrate Judge
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19 20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			