Patterson v. Curry	
1	
2	
3	
4	<u>*E-FILED - 8/17/10*</u>
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	TOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
11	DAVID PATTERSON, ) No. C 08-2492 RMW (PR)
12	Petitioner, ) ORDER GRANTING
13	vs. ) ORDER GRAITING ) CERTIFICATE OF VS. ) APPEALABILITY
14	BEN CURRY, Warden
15	Respondent.
16	)
17	This case has been remanded to the district court for the limited purpose of granting or
18	denying a certificate of appealability. See 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c); Fed. R. App. P. 22(b); United
19	States v. Asrar, 116 F.3d 1268, 1270 (9th Cir. 1997). Petitioner filed a notice of appeal from the
20	district court's denial of his petition for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254
21	challenging the California Board of Prison Terms ("Board") decision denying him parole.
22	Therefore, the district court is required to grant or deny a certificate of appealability in the first
23	instance. See Hayward v. Marshall, 603 F.3d 546 (9th Cir. 2010) (en banc).
24	The Court finds that jurists of reason would find it debatable whether Petitioner's right to
25	due process was violated by the Board's decision denying him parole based upon his claim that
26	there is no evidence to support the Board's finding that he is unsuitable for parole. Therefore,
27	the request for a certificate of appealability is GRANTED.
28	The Clerk shall transmit the file, including a copy of this order, to the Court of Appeals
	Order Granting Certificate of Appealability P:\pro-se\sj.rmw\hc.06\Patterson429den

Doc. 16

Ronald M. Whyte
Ronald M. Whyte
United States District Judge

Order Granting Certificate of Appealability P:\pro-se\sj.rmw\hc.06\Patterson429den