

E-FILED on 6/2/10IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISIONMANDANA D. FARHANG and M.A.
MOBILE,

Plaintiffs,

v.

INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY,
KHARAGPUR; TECHNOLOGY
ENTREPRENEURSHIP AND TRAINING
SOCIETY; PARTHA P. CHAKRABARTI;
PALLAB DASGUPTA; GURASHISH S.
BRAR; RAKESH GUPTA; PRAVANJAN
CHOUDHURY; SUBRAT PANDA;
ANIMESH NASKAR,

Defendants.

No. C-08-02658 RMW


ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

The original complaint in this action was filed on May 27, 2008. Two years have passed, and the following defendants still have not been served: Technology Entrepreneurship and Training Society, Partha P. Chakrabarti, Pallab Dasgupta, Rakesh Gupta, Pravanjan Choudhury, Subrat Panda, and Animesh Naskar. The court therefore orders plaintiffs to appear at 9:00 a.m. on July 2, 2010 and show cause why the unserved defendants should not be dismissed for failure to prosecute. Plaintiffs must file a declaration by June 22, 2010 showing good cause why this case should not be dismissed against the unserved defendants for failure to prosecute. Good cause must include a

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE—No. C-08-02658 RMW
CCL

1 showing that plaintiffs have undertaken all steps required to accomplish service under the Hague
2 Convention. Absent a showing of good cause, the unserved defendants will be dismissed from this
3 action.

4
5 DATED: 6/2/10


RONALD M. WHYTE
United States District Judge

Counsel for Plaintiff:

Counsel for Defendant IIT:

Counsel are responsible for distributing copies of this document to co-counsel that have not registered for e-filing under the court's CM/ECF program.

CCL
Chambers of Judge Whyte