1		
2		
3		
4		<b>E-FILED on</b>
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	SAN JOSE DIVISION	
11		
12	MANDANA D. FARHANG and M.A.	No. C-08-02658 RMW
13	MOBILE,	
14	Plaintiffs,	
15	V.	ORDER REGARDING UNSERVED DEFENDANTS
16 17	INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, KHARAGPUR; TECHNOLOGY ENTREPRENEURSHIP AND TRAINING	BELLIVERIUS
18	SOCIETY; PARTHA P. CHAKRABARTI; PALLAB DASGUPTA; GURASHISH S.	
19	BRAR; RAKESH GUPTA; PRAVANJAN CHOUDHURY; SUBRAT PANDA;	
20	ANIMESH NASKAR,	
21	Defendants.	
22		
23	On June 2, 2010, the court issued an order to show cause why the unserved defendants in this	
24	case should not be dismissed for failure to prosecute. Farhang has shown sufficient cause to not	
25	have the unserved defendants dismissed at this point, but without prejudice to any newly served	
26	defendants bringing a motion to dismiss.	
27	DATED 7/0/10	Romald m white
28	DATED: 7/2/10	RONALD M. WHYTE United States District Judge
	ORDER REGARDING UNSERVED DEFENDANTS—No. C-08-02658 RMW CCL	