CCL

1						
2						
3						
4	E-FILED on					
5						
6						
7						
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
9	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA					
10	SAN JOSE DIVISION					
11						
12 13	MANDANA D. FARHANG and M.A. MOBILE,	No. C-08-02658 RMW				
14	Plaintiffs,					
15	v.	ORDER GRANTING IN PART AND				
16	INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, KHARAGPUR; TECHNOLOGY	DENYING IN PART MOTION TO SEAL				
17	ENTREPRENEURSHIP AND TRAINING SOCIETY; PARTHA P. CHAKRABARTI;					
18	PALLAB DASGUPTA; GURASHISH S. BRAR; RAKESH GUPTA; PRAVANJAN	[Re Docket No. 146]				
19	CHOUDHURY; SUBRAT PANDA; ANIMESH NASKAR,					
20	Defendants.					
21						
22 23	Plaintiffs move to file under seal Exhibits S1 to S6 to the Third Amended Complaint. A					
24	request to seal must establish that the document, or portions thereof, is privileged or protectable as a					
25	trade secret or otherwise entitled to protection under the law. Civ. L. R. 79-5(a). The request must					
26	be narrowly tailored to seek sealing of only sealable material. <i>Id</i> .					
27	Having reviewed the material that plaintiffs seek to file under seal, the court does not see a					
28	basis for sealing Exhibit S6 and thus denies the motion to seal with respect to this exhibit. The					

ORDER GRANTING IN PART AND DENYING IN PART MOTION TO SEAL—No. C-08-02658 RMW

Dockets.Justia.com

1	motion to fi	le Exhibits S1 to	S5 under seal is gra	anted. Pursuant to Local R	ule 79-5(e), plaint	tiffs	
2	have 4 days to resubmit the documents in a manner that conforms to this order.						
3							
4				0 -			
5	DATED:	7/22/10		Konald m white			
6				RONALD M. WHYT: United States District	E Judge		
7							
8							
9							
10							
11							
12							
13							
14							
15							
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							
26							
27							
28							