

1 SANJIV N. SINGH (SBN 193525)
 E-mail: ssingh@sanjivnsingh.com
 2 SANJIV N. SINGH, A PROFESSIONAL LAW CORPORATION
 388 Market St., Suite 1300
 3 San Francisco, CA 94111
 Telephone: 415-445-4622

4 MICAH R. JACOBS (SBN 174630)
 E-mail: mjacobs@jacobslawsf.com
 5 JACOBS LAW GROUP SF
 388 Market St., Suite 1300
 6 San Francisco, CA 94111
 Telephone: 415-445-4696
 7 Facsimile: 415-445-4697

8 Attorneys for Plaintiffs
 9 MANDANA D. FARHANG and M.A. MOBILE LTD.

10
 11
 12
 13
 14
 15 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 16 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 17 SAN JOSE DIVISION

18 M.A. MOBILE LTD., a limited liability
 company chartered in Dominica; and
 19 MANDANA D. FARHANG,

20 Plaintiffs,

21 v.

22 INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
 KHARAGPUR, an Indian Institute of
 23 Technology incorporated under the
 “Institutes of Technology Act, 1961”;
 24 TECHNOLOGY ENTERPRENEURSHIP
 AND TRAINING SOCIETY, an Indian
 25 society; PARTHA P. CHAKRABARTI;
 PALLAB DASGUPTA; RAKESH GUPTA;
 26 PRAVANJAN CHOUDHURY; SUBRAT
 PANDA; ANIMESH NASKAR, and DOES
 27 1 through 100, inclusive,

28 Defendants.

CASE No. C-08-02658-RMW (HRL)

**JOINT STIPULATION AND
 [] ORDER CONTINUING
 FILING DEADLINES AND HEARING
 DATE**

Date: February 10, 2012
 Time: 9:00 a.m.
 Dept: Courtroom 6, 4th Floor
 Judge: Hon. Ronald M. Whyte

1 Plaintiffs Mandana D. Farhang and M.A. Mobile Ltd. (“Plaintiffs”), and Defendant
2 Rakesh Gupta, through their undersigned counsel, hereby submit this stipulation to continue the
3 hearing on Defendant Gupta’s Motion To Set Aside Default Pursuant To Rule 55(c) (Dkt. No.
4 337) and Defendant Gupta’s Motion To Dismiss Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(2) (Dkt. No.
5 339) from February 10, 2012 at 9:00 a.m. to February 17, 2012 at 9:00 a.m.

6 WHEREAS, Plaintiffs’ counsel have professional commitments which conflict directly
7 with the original briefing and hearing schedule set for February 10, 2012;

8 WHEREAS, Plaintiffs’ counsel have conferred with Defendant’s counsel and agreed
9 upon a briefing schedule and hearing schedule, which accommodates these conflicts, and which
10 will not materially delay the disposition of the case before the Court;

11 THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED that, due to the need for additional time
12 by the Plaintiffs, Plaintiffs and Defendant Gupta, through their counsel of record agree that:

- 13 1. Plaintiffs’ Oppositions to the Motions and all supporting documentation may be
14 filed on January 27, 2012;
- 15 2. Defendant’s Replies to the Motions may be filed on February 3, 2012;
- 16 3. The hearing set for February, 10 2012, at 9:00 a.m., shall be continued to
17 February 17, 2012 at 9:00 a.m., or such other date and time as the Court may set.

18 **IT IS SO STIPULATED.**

19 DATE: January 13, 2012

ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP

20
21 /s/ Theresa A. Sutton
Theresa A. Sutton
22 Specially Appearing for
RAKESH GUPTA

24 Dated: January 13, 2012

SANJIV N. SINGH, A PROFESSIONAL LAW
CORPORATION

26 /s/ Sanjiv N. Singh
27 Sanjiv N. Singh
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
28 MANDANA D. FARHANG AND
M.A. MOBILE LTD.


1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

ORDER

GOOD CAUSE HAVING BEEN SHOWN, the hearing date for Defendant Rakesh Gupta's Motion To Set Aside Default Pursuant To Rule 55(c) (Dkt. No. 337) and Motion To Dismiss Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(2) (Dkt. No. 339), currently scheduled for February 10, 2012, shall hereby be rescheduled to February 17, 2012. Plaintiffs' Oppositions to the Motions shall be filed no later than January 27, 2012 and Defendant's Replies to the Motions shall be filed no later than February 3, 2012.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: _____



Honorable Ronald M. Whyte
United States District Judge
Northern District of California