

1 I. NEEL CHATTERJEE (STATE BAR NO. 173985)
 nchatterjee@orrick.com
 2 THERESA A. SUTTON (STATE BAR NO. 211857)
 tsutton@orrick.com
 3 NITIN GAMBHIR (STATE BAR NO. 259906)
 ngambhir@orrick.com
 4 ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP
 1000 Marsh Road
 5 Menlo Park, CA 94025
 Telephone: 650-614-7400
 6 Facsimile: 650-614-7401

E-FILED - 9/24/09

7 Attorneys for Defendant
8 INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY KHARAGPUR

9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 10 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 11 SAN JOSE DIVISION

13 MANDANA D. FARHANG,

14 Plaintiff,

15 v.

16 INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
 KHARAGPUR; TECHNOLOGY
 17 ENTREPRENEURSHIP AND TRAINING
 SOCIETY; PARTHA P. CHAKRABARTI;
 18 PALLAB DASGUPTA; PRAVANJAN
 CHOUDHRY; SUBRAT PANDA;
 19 ANIMESH NASKAR; and DOES 1-100,,

20 Defendants.

Case No. C-08-02658-RMW (HRL)

**STIPULATION AND ORDER EXTENDING TIME TO
 FILE REPLY BRIEF AND
 CONTINUING HEARING ON
 MOTION TO DISMISS**

Date: September 25, 2009
 Time: 9:00 A.M.
 Judge: Honorable Ronald M. Whyte

1 Defendant Indian Institute of Technology, Kharagpur through its undersigned counsel,
2 and Plaintiff *pro se* Mandana D. Farhang, hereby submit this Stipulation and [Proposed] Order
3 Extending Time to File Reply Brief and Continuing Hearing on Motion to Dismiss.

4 **WHEREAS**, on July 23, 2009, IIT filed its Motion to Dismiss the First Amended
5 Complaint for Lack of Personal Jurisdiction, Lack of Subject Matter Jurisdiction, and Pursuant to
6 the Doctrine of Forum Non-Conveniens. The hearing is scheduled for September 25, 2009, 9:00
7 A.M.;

8 **WHEREAS**, on August 31, 2009, Ms. Farhang filed her Opposition to IIT's Motion;

9 **WHEREAS**, Ms. Farhang agrees to extend the time, until Monday, September 14, 2009,
10 for IIT to file and serve its Reply in support of its Motion in exchange for IIT's agreement to
11 continue the hearing on said Motion until October 30, 2009.

12 **WHEREAS**, this stipulation does not constitute consent by IIT to this Court's
13 jurisdiction, nor waiver of IIT's sovereign immunity.

14 **IT IS SO STIPULATED.**

15 Dated: September 11, 2009

ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP

16 _____
17 /s/ Theresa A. Sutton /s/

18 Theresa A. Sutton
19 Attorneys for Defendants
20 INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
21 KHARAGPUR

22 Dated: September 11, 2009

23 /s/ Mandana Farhang /s/
24 Mandana Farhang
25 Plaintiff, Appearing *pro se*

26 **Filer's Attestation:** Pursuant to General Order No. 45, §X(B), I attest under penalty of
27 perjury that concurrence in the filing of the document has been obtained from its signatory.

28 Dated: September 11, 2009

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Theresa A. Sutton /s/
Theresa A. Sutton

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

[ORDER]

GOOD CAUSE HAVING BEEN SHOWN, the hearing date for IIT's Motion to Dismiss the First Amended Complaint for Lack of Personal Jurisdiction, Lack of Subject Matter Jurisdiction, and Pursuant to the Doctrine of Forum Non-Conveniens, currently scheduled for September 25, 2009, shall hereby be rescheduled to October 30, 2009. IIT's Reply memorandum in support of its Motion shall be filed no later than Monday, September 14, 2009.

*The Court also continues the Case Management Conference to October 30, 2009 @ 10:30 am.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 9/24/09



Honorable Ronald M. Whyte
United States District Judge
Northern District of California

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that this document(s) filed through the ECF system will be sent electronically to the registered participants as identified on the Notice of Electronic Filing (NEF) and paper copies will be sent to those indicated as non registered participants on September 11, 2009.

Dated: September 11, 2009

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Theresa A. Sutton /s/
Theresa A. Sutton