E-FILING

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	KAREN JOHNSON-MCKEWAN (STATE BAR NO. 121570) NANCY E. HARRIS (STATE BAR NO. 197042) NIKKA N. RAPKIN (STATE BAR NO. 244207) ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP The Orrick Building 405 Howard Street San Francisco, CA 94105-2669 Telephone: (415) 773-5700 Facsimile: (415) 773-5759 kjohnson-mckewan@orrick.com Attorneys for Defendant GOOGLE INC. UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
12	SAN JOSE DIVISION
13	ENDA CORDADO - L
14	JENNA GODDARD, on her own behalf and on behalf of all others similarly situated,
15	DEFENDANT GOOGLE INC.'S Plaintiff, NOTICE OF REMOVAL OF
16	ACTION; DEMAND FOR JURY v. TRIAL
17	GOOGLE INC., a Delaware corporation,
18	Defendant.
.19	
20	
21	TO THE CLERK OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
22	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA:
23	
24	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332, 1441, 1446 and 1453,
25	Defendant Google Inc. ("Defendant" or "Google") hereby removes to this Honorable Court the
26	action entitled Jenna Goddard v. Google Inc., Santa Clara Superior Court Case No. 1-08-CV-
27	111658, and in support thereof states the following grounds for removal:
28	

I. INTRODUCTION

- 1. On April 30, 2008, an action was commenced in the Superior Court of the State of California, County of Santa Clara, entitled *Jenna Goddard v. Google Inc.*, Santa Clara Superior Court Case No. 1-08-CV-111658. Attached hereto as Exhibit 1 is a true and correct copy of Plaintiffs' Summons and Complaint in this action. True and correct copies of all other process, pleadings, and orders filed and served in this action are attached hereto as Exhibit 2.
- 2. Plaintiff claims she was injured when she provided her cell phone number to an allegedly fraudulent mobile subscription services website and was charged "for unwanted mobile content services in the form of 'premium text messages." Compl. ¶ 37. Plaintiff alleges the fraudulent subscription services website she used was a Google AdWords advertiser and "absent Google's provision of AdWords services to the Fraudulent Mobile Subscription Services, [plaintiff[would never have been damaged." Compl. ¶ 58. Based on these allegations, plaintiff asserts claims of violation of California Business and Professions Code section 17200, breach of contract, negligence, and aiding and abetting the alleged misconduct of mobile subscription service advertisers against Google. Compl. ¶¶ 57, 65, 71, 80.
- 3. Plaintiff purports to represent a nationwide class of similarly situated plaintiffs who "suffered damages as a result of clicking on a Google AdWords advertisement for mobile subscription services which linked to a Fraudulent Mobile Subscription Services website." Compl. ¶ 40.
- 4. Google was served with the Summons and Complaint on May 2, 2008, and this Notice of Removal is timely filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1446(b).
- 5. Copies of this Notice of Removal are being duly served upon Plaintiff's counsel and filed with the Clerk of the Superior Court of California, County of Santa Clara, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1446(d).

II. <u>JURISDICTION</u>

- 6. This Court has original jurisdiction over this civil action pursuant to the provisions set forth in the Class Action Fairness Act of 2005, 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332 and 1453 ("CAFA").
 - 7. As set forth more fully below, this case is appropriately removed pursuant to 28

U.S.C. §§ 1441 and 1332 because (1) this is a "civil action filed under . . . [a] State statute or rule of judicial procedure authorizing an action to be brought by one or more representative persons as a class action;" (2) the number of members of the proposed plaintiff class is not less than 100; (3) the matter in controversy as alleged exceeds the sum or value of \$5,000,000, exclusive of interests and costs; (4) at least one member of the class of plaintiffs is a citizen of a State different from Defendant, and (5) the Notice of Removal is timely filed.

8. The United States District Court for the Northern District of California embraces the County of Santa Clara and this case is therefore appropriately removed to this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441(e) and Local Civil Rule 3-2(e).

III. GROUNDS FOR REMOVAL

- A. The Putative Class Consists of Over 100 Members.
- 9. In her Complaint, Plaintiff purports to bring this action on behalf of herself and "[a]ll persons or entities who suffered damages as a result of clicking on a Google AdWords advertisement for mobile subscription services which linked to a Fraudulent Mobile Subscription Services website." Compl. ¶ 40.
- 10. Although Google denies that any class so described could be properly certified under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23, Plaintiff alleges that the "Class consists of at least one thousand individuals and other entities." Compl. ¶41.
- 11. Accordingly, the number of members of the proposed plaintiff class exceeds 100 members as required under 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(5)(B).
 - B. Diversity Jurisdiction Exists.
- 12. Google is incorporated in Delaware with its principal place of business in Mountain View, California, and is therefore a citizen of Delaware and California.
 - 13. Plaintiff is a citizen of the state of New Jersey.
- 14. The purported plaintiff class is a nationwide class "of at least one thousand individuals and other entities," (Compl. ¶ 39), including "[a]ll persons or entities who suffered damages as a result of clicking on a Google AdWords advertisement for mobile subscription services which linked to a Fraudulent Mobile Subscription Services website." Compl. ¶ 40.

Plaintiff further alleges that the Google search engine is "the most widely-used Internet search engine in the world" and "is a top Internet destination" (Compl. ¶ 2), indicating that the putative class is geographically diverse, hailing from throughout the United States. Accordingly, the putative class includes other members, in addition to Plaintiff, who are also citizens of neither Delaware nor California.

- 15. Because at least one member of the putative class of plaintiffs is a citizen of a State different from Defendant, this action meets the diversity requirement of 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2).
 - C. Amount in Controversy Exceeds \$5,000,000.
- 16. To remove an action to federal court under CAFA, the claims of the proposed class must exceed the sum or value of \$5,000,000, in the aggregate. 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d).
- 17. The Complaint does not allege an exact amount in controversy. Rather, in addition to other damages, the Complaint seeks to restore to the general public all property gained by the alleged wrongful conduct, including "substantially all funds" from the allegedly fraudulent mobile subscription services. Compl. ¶¶ 55, 59.
- 18. Plaintiff asserts multiple theories of liability, including claims under California Business and Professions Code section 17200, breach of contract, negligence, and aiding and abetting alleged trespass to chattels, violation of the Computer Fraud and Abuse Act (CFAA) and violation of California Business and Professions Code section 17200. Plaintiff seeks damages, restitution and disgorgement, attorney fees, and injunctive relief.
- 19. According to Plaintiff's Complaint, the Google search engine is "the most widely-used Internet search engine in the world" and "a top Internet destination." Compl. ¶ 2. Plaintiff claims Google "derives significant sums of money from mobile subscription services which advertise through Google" (Compl. ¶ 28), and further alleges that Google's conduct has "led directly to damages of an untold magnitude" (Compl. ¶ 24). Plaintiff also claims that "[a]bsent advertising through Google, the fraudulent mobile subscription services' . . . illegal revenues would drop dramatically." Compl. ¶ 13. Plaintiff alleges that she and the putative class members were each damaged by the Fraudulent Mobile Subscription Services in the form of fees

and other charges and that Google should be liable for such damages. Compl. ¶¶ 64, 65 and 71.

- 20. Plaintiff alleges that some "portion of Google's top 250 AdWords customers who are mobile content purveyors" are "Fraudulent Mobile Subscription Services" (Compl. ¶ 29), and further alleges that "many if not all of the Fraudulent Mobile Subscription Services' monthly or periodic payments to Google for the AdWords services exceed \$10,000." Compl. ¶ 54.
- 21. Although Google denies that it has any liability to Plaintiff or the putative class, the amount in controversy as alleged in the Complaint satisfies the jurisdictional minimum under CAFA. The nature and extent of plaintiff's claims, along with the magnitude of plaintiff's alleged per-class-member damages and other forms of monetary relief, combined with the potential size of the putative nationwide class, constitute an alleged amount in controversy in excess of \$5,000,000, exclusive of interest and costs.

D. Removal Is Timely.

22. Google was served with the Summons and Complaint on May 2, 2008 and did not previously receive a copy thereof. This suit is therefore timely removed within thirty days after receipt by defendant "of a copy of the initial pleading setting for the claim for relief upon which such action or proceeding is based." 28 U.S.C. § 1446(b).

E. CAFA Exceptions Do Not Apply.

- 23. The purported plaintiff class is a nationwide class, including "[a]ll persons or entities who suffered damages as a result of clicking on a Google AdWords advertisement for mobile subscription services which linked to a Fraudulent Mobile Subscription Services website." Compl. ¶ 40. Plaintiff further alleges that the Google search engine is "the most widely-used Internet search engine in the world" and "is a top Internet destination" (Compl. ¶ 2), indicating that the putative class is geographically diverse.
- 24. Accordingly, there is no indication that greater than one-third of the putative class members are citizens of California, and the exceptions of 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(3) and 1332(d)(4) do not apply.
- 25. This action does not involve or solely involve "a claim concerning a covered security as (1) a claim concerning a covered security as defined under section 16(f)(3) of the

Securities Act of 1933 (15 U.S.C. 78p (f)(3)) and section 28(f)(5)(E) of the Securities Exchange
Act of 1934 (15 U.S.C. 78bb (f)(5)(E)); (2) a claim that relates to the internal affairs or
governance of a corporation or other form of business enterprise and arises under or by virtue of
the laws of the State in which such corporation or business enterprise is incorporated or
organized; or (3) a claim that relates to the rights, duties (including fiduciary duties), and
obligations relating to or created by or pursuant to any security (as defined under section 2(a)(1)
of the Securities Act of 1933 (15 U.S.C. 77b (a)(1)) and the regulations issued thereunder). 28
U.S.C. §§ 1332(d)(9), 1453(d).

26. Accordingly, this action does not involve or solely involve a claim falling within one or more of the removal exceptions articulated in 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332(d)(9) and 1453(d).

V. <u>CONCLUSION</u>

- 27. Because this litigation involves a putative class action of over 100 class members in which the matter in controversy as alleged in the Complaint exceeds the sum or value of \$5,000,000 and at least one member of the class of plaintiffs is a citizen of a state different from Defendant, this Court has original jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d).
- 28. This Notice of Removal is properly filed in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1446(a) because the Superior Court of the State of California, County of Santa Clara, is located within this District. This action, which arose in the county of Santa Clara, is properly assigned to the San Jose Division, pursuant to Local Civil Rule 3-2(e).
- 29. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1446(d), a copy of this Notice of Removal is being filed with the Clerk of the Superior Court of the State of California, County of Santa Clara.
- 30. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1446(d), Google is providing written notice of this Notice of Removal to all adverse parties.
- 31. By filing this Notice of Removal, Google does not waive any defenses that may be available to it in this action.

∠ 0	,,,,
27	1111
28	////

V. <u>DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL</u>

Google hereby demands trial by jury in this action on all issues so triable.

Dated: May 30, 2008

KAREN JOHNSON-MCKEWAN NANCY E, HARRIS

NIKKA N, RAPKIN

ORRICK HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP

Karen Johnson-McKewan Attorneys for Defendant GOOGLE INC.

-7-

EXHIBIT 1

Afan Himmelfarb SBN # 90480 (95) 2757 Leonis Blvd. Los Angeles, CA 90058 (323) 585-8696 ahimmelfarb@kamberedelson.com COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFFS 5 I. Cao-Ngdyen 6 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNI 7 FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA В JENNA GODDARD, on her own behalf and Case No. 108 C on behalf of all others similarly situated, COMPLAINT FOR DA Plaintiff. 10 BREACH OF CONTRACT ÎNTENDED THIRD PARTY 11 GOOGLE, INC., a Delaware corporation, AIDING AND ABETTING 12 UNLAWFUL, UNFAIR AND Defendant. DECEPTIVE BUSINESS PRACTICES 13 (CAL. BUS. & PROF. CODE §17200, et seq.)] 14 DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL 15 CLASS ACTION 16 BY FAX CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT 17 Plaintiff Jenna Goddard ("Plaintiff") brings this class action complaint against 18 Defendant Google, Inc. ("Google"). Plaintiff alleges as follows upon personal knowledge as 19 to herself and her own acts and experiences, and, as to all other matters, upon information 20 and belief, including investigation conducted by her attorneys. 21 **PARTIES** 22 Plaintiff Jenna Goddard is a resident of New Jersey. Į. 23 Defendant Google, Inc. operates the most widely-used Internet search engine in the 2. 24 world. Google's search engine is a top Internet destination, and Google claims 25 publicly that it maintains the largest, most comprehensive index of websites and other 26 online content. Google generates revenue primarily by online advertising. Consumers 27 purchase targeted advertising from Google's AdWords program to promote their 28

products and services.

JURISDICTION

- 3. This Court has jurisdiction over the causes of action asserted herein pursuant to the California Constitution, Article VI, §10, because this case is a cause not given by statute to other trial courts.
- 4. This Court has jurisdiction over Defendant pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure § 410.10 because Defendant resides in and conducts business in the State of California and many of Defendant's wrongful acts arose or emanated from California.

VENUE

Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure § 395 because
 Defendant resides in this County.

CONDUCT COMPLAINED OF

- 6. This case arises from the ever-increasing computerization of cellular telephones. The cell phones used and owned by Plaintiff and the other class members are sophisticated electronics equipment and contain many (if not most) of the same capabilities and equipment as traditional desktop computers, as well as cellular radio signal processing technology.
- 7. This computerization means that most modern cellular telephones are capable of transacting commerce through a variety of functionalities, including most significantly for present purposes "premium" text message services. These services, also known as "mobile subscription services" and "mobile content" include products that range from the basic (customized ringtones for use with cell phones, sports score reports, weather alerts, stock tips, horoscope services, and the like) to those requiring more advanced capabilities (such as direct payment services, interactive radio and participatory television).
- 8. As the mobile subscription industry has grown in recent years from a small community of hobbyists and pioneers to a multi-billion dollar marketplace, it has

9.

- Given the manner in which mobile content is billed to customers, this is unfortunately an easy goal to achieve. Unlike transactions made using checks and credit cards, which require a signature or a highly private sixteen-digit credit card number, the only thing a mobile subscription service needs to charge a consumer for its products is the consumer's cellular telephone number. Once a mobile subscription service has a consumer's cell phone number, it can cause that consumer to be billed for services and products irrespective of whether the consumer actually agreed to purchase them and, in the process, cause text messages containing "premium" content to be sent to the "subscriber's" cell phone, draining that cell phone of computing resources such as data processing and storage capacity.
- 10. Armed with only a cell phone number, the mobile subscription service can simply provide that number, along with an amount to be charged, to a billing aggregator (e.g., m-Qube, Inc. ("m-Qube"). The aggregator, in turn, instructs the relevant cellular carrier to add the charge to the bill associated with that cell phone number. The charge will then appear on the consumer's cell phone bill, often with only minimal, cryptic identifying information.
- 11. Because the anti-fraud protections normally present in consumer transactions such as signatures and private credit card numbers are absent from this process, the likelihood of false charges increases enormously. And, because a substantial part of mobile subscription "sales" are effected through websites employing fraudulent methods, such as misleading, oblique, or inadequately explained pricing and consent procedures, that likelihood increases by another order of magnitude.
- 12. Mobile subscription services have powerful financial incentives to collect as many cell phone numbers as possible, but little incentive to ensure that the owners of those numbers have truly agreed to purchase their goods and services. Indeed, mobile

subscription services go to great lengths to make their marketing material as uninformative as possible about the price of services, subscription periods and cancellation procedures, all in an effort to keep consumers in the dark about their services' true cost.

Google's Role in the Scheme to Defraud

- 13. Google's advertising services are an essential step in this process. Without the ability to drive users to their websites, mobile subscription services would be unable to earn virtually any revenue, no matter how misleading or fraudulent their subscription services. Absent advertising through Google, the fraudulent mobile subscription services would have significantly fewer visitors to their websites and their illegal revenues would drop dramatically.
- 14. Google's search engine is possibly the most-visited website on Earth. Google's massive base of daily users provides an enormously powerful platform for Internet advertising. Google capitalizes on this enormous advertising potential through its AdWords program.
- 15. Both Google and its customers actively participate in the AdWords program. Google's advertiser customers draft short advertisements, or "AdWords." Advertisers then select keywords that correspond to their advertisements. Google assists its customers in drafting AdWords and selecting keywords through both live support from its AdWords Specialists and its advertising campaign optimization services.
- 16. When a user's search on Google's search engine matches the advertiser's selected keywords, Google displays the customer's AdWords as part of the result of the search. Each AdWord then, when clicked on, takes the user to the advertiser's website.
- 17. Because certain products and services are illegal or deemed by Google to be commercially unacceptable, Google has voluntarily compiled a list of products and services (ranging from anabolic steroids to weapons) it does not allow to be advertised on the Google website under virtually any circumstances.
- 18. Of the approximately 30 categories of banned products and services on this list, called

a "Content Policy," Google created an exception for mobile subscription services.

This extraordinary exception allows mobile subscription services to continue to advertise on Google if they comply with one condition: conform the content of their website (i.e., the landing page linked to such services' advertisements on Google) so as to clearly and accurately disclose a host of highly relevant information to consumers about purchasing mobile content, such as the service's price, subscription period and cancellation procedures.

- 19. Google knows mobile subscription services are loathe to provide this information for fear of scaring off potential customers, so Google set up a procedure, memorialized in contract and made known to the public on its website, to enforce this policy by disallowing advertisements for mobile subscription services that link to non-compliant websites. © Fortunately for deceptive mobile subscription services, Google has systematically declined to live up to its contractual obligations, irrespective of its public pronouncements to do so, opting instead to line its own pockets through an "anything goes" approach to the advertising and sale of mobile content.
- 20. Indeed, Google is well aware of the magnitude of problems regarding informed authorization from cellular phone subscribers that plague the mobile content industry. Google is keenly aware that mobile subscription services frequently do not disclose their billing terms and conditions clearly to cellular telephone users and even fail to obtain any authorization from cellular phone users whatsoever. Moreover, one of Google's largest mobile content advertiser customers, Azoogleads US, Inc. d/b/a Azoogle ("Azoogle"), recently settled claims brought against it by the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Florida for deceptively marketing mobile subscription services on Google and other search engines.
- 21. Google's awareness of these industry-wide problems is reflected specifically in its contract with its advertiser customers (called the "Advertising Program Terms," attached hereto as Exhibit A), including purveyors of mobile content. Google's Editorial Guidelines are incorporated by reference into its Advertising Program

pronouncements to do so, goes beyond a mere lapse in ministerial or editorial function. Rather, it amounts to a material breach of an express contract to monitor content appearing on a specific group of websites owned and operated by third-parties that has led directly to damages of an untold magnitude.

- 25. Moreover, prior to displaying those AdWords, Google routinely scans or downloads the landing pages of the websites that link to advertisements on the Google website. Consequently, Google knows (or consciously avoids knowing) whether a particular landing page complies with its Content Policy.
- 26. Driven by financial motivations, Google intentionally refuses to enforce its policies with respect to mobile subscription services. Google intentionally misleads its users by, on the one hand, making public representations that it will not allow advertising for mobile subscription services which do not "clearly and accurately" disclose relevant pricing and related information, while at the same time allowing such advertising to regularly appear on its website.
- 27. This is in stark contrast to other advertising restrictions in Google's Content Policy such as the prohibition on advertising for gambling which Google does enforce (though apparently only because it felt pressure to do so after a separate lawsuit was filed against it).
- 28. Google derives significant sums of money from mobile subscription services which advertise through Google.
- 29. On information and belief, a portion of Google's top 250 AdWords customers who are mobile content purveyors utilize landing pages that are not in compliance with Google's Advertising Program Terms ("Fraudulent Mobile Subscription Services," discussed below). © Google continues to provide the Fraudulent Mobile Subscription Services advertising under the AdWords program and continues to receive and retain fees from them, despite knowing (or consciously avoiding knowledge) that 1) their websites do not comply with its Content Policy and 2) they earn all or virtually all of their revenue through unauthorized mobile content charges to cellular telephone

accounts.

Google's Motivations for Prohibiting Mobile Subscription Fraud

- Google's advertising expressly guarantees that its mobile subscription advertisements
 will be non-deceptive for two relevant reasons.
- 31. First, Google attempts to create the appearance that its search engine is protecting users' interests.
- 32. Second, Google hopes to lull governmental agencies into falsely believing that Google is acting responsibly to prevent rampant fraud and abuse, which Google is in the unique position of either allowing to continue (and reaping vast ill-gotten financial gain for doing so) or ending almost completely.

The Facts Relating To The Named Plaintiff

- 33. In or about 2000, Plaintiff purchased new cell phone service for her personal use.
- 34. Upon renewing her cell phone service in or about 2007, Plaintiff agreed to pay her wireless carrier a set monthly fee for a period of about 24 months.
- 35. In or about December 2007, Plaintiff visited the Google website to find a ringtone provider. Plaintiff entered the keyword "ringtone" and similar variants on the Google website and clicked on one or more of the AdWords advertisements appearing along the search results.
- 36. After clicking on the AdWords, Plaintiff was taken to Fraudulent Mobile Subscription Services websites, such as those attached as Exhibit B, which failed to display the required information pursuant to Google's Advertising Program Terms.
- 37. Plaintiff entered her cell phone number on one or more of such websites, which represented the terms of such mobile content as free, complimentary or otherwise deceptively unclear. Shortly thereafter, Plaintiff's cell phone account was charged by one or more of such purveyors of mobile subscription services and their agents, including m-Qube, for unwanted mobile content services in the form of "premium" text messages.
- 38. At no time did Plaintiff authorize the purchase of these products and services, and at

no time did Plaintiff consent to such purveyors or their agents sending text messages to her cellular telephone.

39. During the relevant time period, m-Qube and other billing agents of mobile content purveyors caused Plaintiff to be charged service fees, which Plaintiff paid, in amounts not less than \$9.99 for such mobile content subscription services.

Class Certification Allegations

- 40. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of herself and all other similarly situated people as members of a Class, as defined as follows: All persons or entities who suffered damages as a result of clicking on a Google AdWords advertisement for mobile subscription services which linked to a Fraudulent Mobile Subscription Services website.
- 41. Class Numerosity: The Class consists of at least one thousand individuals and other entities, making joinder impractical, in satisfaction of Code of Civil Procedure § 382.
- 42. Class Commonality: Common questions of fact and law exist as to all Class members and predominate over the questions affecting only individual Class members. These common questions include:
 - (a) Whether Class members are third party beneficiaries of the Content Policy incorporated into Google's Advertising Terms;
 - (b) Whether Google breached its own Advertising Terms by allowing the Fraudulent Mobile Subscription Services to continue to use the AdWords program;
 - (c) Whether Google undertook a duty to protect Class members from misleading landing pages used by the Fraudulent Mobile Subscription Services;
 - (d) Whether Google breached its duty to protect Class members from misleading landing pages used by the Fraudulent Mobile Subscription Services;
 - (e) Whether these practices violate the Computer Fraud and Abuse Act (18 U.S.C. § 1030);
 - (f) Whether Google aided and abetted the commission of fraud and trespass to chattels by the Fraudulent Mobile Subscription Services;
 - (g) Whether Google knew about the practices and income of the

- (h) Whether Google consciously avoided knowing about the practices and income of the Fraudulent Mobile Subscription Services; and
- (i) Whether Plaintiff and the Class are entitled to relief, and the nature of such relief.
- 43. Class Typicality: Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of other Class members.

 The wrongful conduct of Defendant threatens the Plaintiff and other Class members with the same injury and/or damages arising out of and based upon the same transactions, made uniformly to the Plaintiff and the public.
- 44. Adequate Representation: Plaintiff will fairly and adequately represent and protect the interests of the other Class members, and has retained counsel competent and experienced in complex class actions generally and class actions specifically involving the instant subject matter. Plaintiff has no interest antagonistic to those of the Class, and Defendant has no defenses unique to Plaintiff.
 - Predominance and Superiority: This class action is appropriate for certification because class proceedings are superior to all other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy, since joinder of all members is impracticable. The damages suffered by each individual Class member will likely be relatively small, especially given the burden and expense of individual prosecution of the complex litigation necessitated by the actions of Defendant. It would be virtually impossible for the Class members to individually obtain effective relief from the misconduct of Defendant. Even if members of the Class themselves could sustain such individual litigation, it would still not be preferable to a class action, because individual litigation would increase the delay and expense to all parties due to the complex legal and factual controversies presented in this Complaint. By contrast, a class action presents far fewer management difficulties and provides the benefits of single adjudication, economy of scale, and comprehensive supervision by a single Court. Economies of time, effort, and expense will be fostered and uniformity of decisions will be ensured.

45.

46.

Policies Generally Applicable to the Class: This class action is also appropriate for certification because Defendant has acted or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to the class, thereby making appropriate final injunctive relief or corresponding declaratory relief with respect to the Class as a whole. Defendant's policies challenged herein apply and affect the Class uniformly, and Plaintiff's challenge of these policies hinges on Defendant's conduct, not on facts or law applicable only to Plaintiff.

Count I: Violation of the California Unfair Competition Law

- 47. Plaintiff incorporates by reference and realleges all paragraphs previously alleged herein.
- 48. The cellular phones used and owned by Plaintiff and the other Class members are sophisticated electronics equipment and contain many (if not most) of the same capabilities and equipment as traditional desktop computers, as well as cellular radio signal processing technology. These cellular phones are computers under the definition of 18 U.S.C. § 1030(e)(1). Further, these cellular phones are used in interstate or foreign commerce and communication, and are protected computers under the definition of 18 U.S.C. § 1030(e)(1).
- 49. The delivery of SMS (or "Short Message Service") messages to cellular telephones is performed according to industry standards. The technical protocols of these standards require that transmission of mobile subscription to a cellular phone (and the subsequent billing of that account) is not complete until the cellular phone transmits a confirmation signal. Thus, the unauthorized charges to phone numbers attributable to mobile subscription services require interactivity and access to the cellular phones of Plaintiff and the other Class members.
- 50. The Fraudulent Mobile Subscription Services so accessed the cellular phones of Plaintiff and the other Class members with the intent to defraud them out of the services' unauthorized charges, the access furthered that fraud, and the mobile subscription services obtained payment of the charges through this fraud.

51.

- In addition and/or in the alternative, the Fraudulent Mobile Subscription Services' unauthorized charges damaged the cellular phones and cellular services of Plaintiff and the other Class members. If the Fraudulent Mobile Subscription Services' unauthorized charges are not paid eventually, the cellular carriers will discontinue all services (including cellular service) to the affected cellular accounts. Because Class members must pay additional fees to maintain their cellular service, these charges impair the availability of Class members' access to and communication with their cellular service. Consequently, the Fraudulent Mobile Subscription Services' charges constitute "damage" under the definition of 18 U.S.C. § 1030(e)(8) and payment of these charges constitutes "loss" under the definition of 18 U.S.C. § 1030(e)(11).
- 52. The unauthorized charges alleged in this complaint have caused Class members, collectively over the last year, to pay more than \$5,000 in additional fees to their cellular carriers to maintain their cellular service.
- Thus, the Fraudulent Mobile Subscription Services' acts alleged above violate 18 U.S.C. § 1030(a)(4) and/or 18 U.S.C. § 1030(a)(5)(B). Moreover, the Fraudulent Mobile Subscription Services derive all or substantially all of their revenue through such violations. Hence, all or substantially all revenue of the Fraudulent Mobile Subscription Services (and consequently any funds paid by the Fraudulent Mobile Subscription Services) are derived from a "specified unlawful activity," as that term is defined in 18 U.S.C. § 1957(f)(3) and 18 U.S.C. § 1956(c)(7).
- 54. The Fraudulent Mobile Subscription Services pay Google for its AdWords services.

 On information and belief, this payment is made to a depository account held by

 Google at one or more "financial institutions" as that term is defined in 31 U.S.C. §

 5312(a). On information and belief, many if not all of the Fraudulent Mobile

 Subscription Services have paid Google for its AdWords services well in excess of

 \$10,000. Moreover, Google bills each of the Fraudulent Mobile Subscription Services

 for the AdWord services they use on a monthly or other periodic basis. On

 information and belief, many if not all of the Fraudulent Mobile Subscription Services

- monthly or periodic payments to Google for the AdWords services exceed \$10,000.

 55. Google knows (or consciously avoids knowing) that the Fraudulent Mobile Subscription Services derive all or substantially all of their revenue through unauthorized charges to users' cellular telephone accounts and therefore, all or substantially all funds from the Fraudulent Mobile Subscription Services necessarily represented criminally derived property.
- 56. Google violates 18 U.S.C. § 1957(a) by accepting payment from the Fraudulent Mobile Subscription Services for AdWords through a financial institution, when it knows that such payment represents criminally derived property, and such payment in fact represents the proceeds of a specified unlawful act.
- 57. By reason of its violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1957(a), Google's acceptance of payment from the Fraudulent Mobile Subscription Services is an unlawful business practice and constitutes unfair competition under Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200.
- Plaintiff and the other Class members have been damaged by this UCL violation because they have ultimately paid additional fees to maintain their cellular services they would not have had to pay if the Fraudulent Mobile Subscription Services had not placed unauthorized charges on their cellular accounts. Absent Google's provision of AdWords services to the Fraudulent Mobile Subscription Services, the Class members would never have been damaged by the Fraudulent Mobile Subscription Services. Absent Google's knowing violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1957(a), it would have never provided AdWords services to the Fraudulent Mobile Subscription Services, and Class members would never have provided their personal information to the Fraudulent Mobile Subscription Services (enabling them to place the unauthorized charges).
- 59. Plaintiff, on her own behalf and behalf of the other Class members, seeks an order enjoining Google's unfair competition alleged herein, and restitution of property gained by such unfair competition under the UCL (Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17203), as well as interest and attorney's fees and costs pursuant to Cal. Code Civ. Proc. § 1021.5.

Count II: Breach of Contract

- 60. Plaintiff incorporates by reference and realleges all paragraphs previously alleged herein.
- 61. The Content Policy incorporated into Google's Advertising Terms is a contract between Google and the Fraudulent Mobile Subscription Services.
- 62. Plaintiff and the other Class members are the intended third-party beneficiaries of the mutual obligations under the Content Policy alleged in Paragraphs 21 and 22. The Fraudulent Mobile Subscription Services understood the Content Policy relating to mobile subscription services was intended to protect Google users who were also cellular subscribers from unauthorized and inadequately disclosed charges.
- 63. The Fraudulent Mobile Subscription Services' landing pages do not comply with the Content Policy. Google breached its obligations, as alleged in Paragraphs 21 and 22, to only allow advertising that complied with the Content Policy.
- 64. Plaintiff and the other Class members have been damaged by Google's breach of the Content Policy because they have ultimately paid additional fees to maintain their cellular services they would not have had to pay if the Fraudulent Mobile Subscription Services had not placed unauthorized charges on their cellular accounts. Absent Google's provision of AdWords services to the Fraudulent Mobile Subscription Services, the Class members would never have been damaged by the Fraudulent Mobile Subscription Services. Absent Google's breach of the Content Policy, it would never have displayed AdWords for the Fraudulent Mobile Subscription Services' misleading landing pages, and Class members would never have provided their personal information to the Fraudulent Mobile Subscription Services (enabling them to place the unauthorized charges).
- 65. Plainitiff, on her own behalf and behalf of the other Class members, seeks damages for Google's breach of contract.

Count III: Negligence

66. Plaintiff incorporates by reference and realleges all paragraphs previously alleged herein.

- 67. Under the common law of California, Google had a duty to Class members when it voluntarily undertook to protect them from unauthorized charges by the Fraudulent Mobile Subscription Services. When it formulated, published, and enforced its Content Policy, Google expressed an intent to protect Class members from misleading landing pages used by mobile subscription services. When Google sold AdWords to the Fraudulent Mobile Subscription Services, the transaction was intended to influence Class members' mobile subscription purchasing decisions.
- 68. The risk that Google's AdWords would provide advertising for mobile subscription services that made unauthorized and inadequately disclosed charges to Google users' cellular subscription accounts was foreseeable. Google voluntarily undertook a duty to limit and prevent that risk when it adopted the provisions of its Content Policy relating to mobile subscription services.
- 69. Google breached that duty when it permitted the Fraudulent Mobile Subscription Services to advertise through its AdWords program.
- 70. Plaintiff and the other Class members have been damaged by Google's breach of its duty because they have ultimately paid additional fees to maintain their cellular services they would not have had to pay if the Fraudulent Mobile Subscription Services had not placed unauthorized charges on their cellular accounts. Absent Google's provision of AdWords services to the Fraudulent Mobile Subscription Services, the Class members would never have been damaged by the Fraudulent Mobile Subscription Services. Absent Google's breach of its Content Policy, it would have never displayed AdWords for the Fraudulent Mobile Subscription Services' misleading landing pages, and Class members would never have provided their personal information to the Fraudulent Mobile Subscription Services (enabling them to place the unauthorized charges).
- Plaintiff, on her own behalf and behalf of the other Class members, seeks damages for Google's negligence.

Count IV: Aiding and Abetting

72. Plaintiff incorporates by reference and realleges all paragraphs previously alleged

herein.

- 73. Trespass to Chattels: The Fraudulent Mobile Subscription Services intentionally and without informed consent, gained access to the cellular phones of Plaintiff and the other members of the Class, used these phones, occupied their memory, and disrupted the service for these phones.
- 74. The actions of the Fraudulent Mobile Subscription Services alleged herein imposed an additional marginal cost on Plaintiff and the other members of the Class's operation and use of their cellular phones. The Fraudulent Mobile Subscription Services interfered with Plaintiff and the members of Class's unencumbered use of their cellular phones. The function and operation of the cellular phones of Plaintiff and the other members of the Class was impaired by the unauthorized mobile content and corresponding charges placed on the cellular accounts of Plaintiff and the other members of the Class by the Fraudulent Mobile Subscription Services.
- 75. Violation of the CFAA: As alleged above, the Fraudulent Mobile Subscription Services violated the CFAA and damaged Plaintiff and the other members of the Class.
- 76. Violation of the UCL: The Fraudulent Mobile Subscription Services made misleading statements which reasonably led Plaintiff to believe that she would not be charged if she provided her cellular phone number. Specifically, the Fraudulent Mobile Subscription Services and their agents including m-Qube failed to clearly and accurately disclose the price, subscription period and cancellation procedure of their services and that Plaintiff would be charged for such mobile content services. The other Fraudulent Mobile Subscription Services made similar misrepresentations to other members of the Class.
- 77. Plaintiff and the other members of the Class were damaged because they relied on the misrepresentations by the Fraudulent Mobile Subscription Services. Specifically, Plaintiff and the other members of the Class paid more to maintain their cellular service than they would have otherwise paid, because of the unauthorized charges by

the Fraudulent Mobile Subscription Services.

- 78. The actions of the Fraudulent Mobile Subscription Services are unlawful and fraudulent business acts or practices and constitute unfair competition under Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200.
- 79. Google's Knowledge: As alleged above, the ordinary operation of the AdWords program gave Google ample opportunities to discovery that the acts of the Fraudulent Mobile Subscription Services were tortious, as alleged above. Google actually did learn and know that the Fraudulent Mobile Subscription Services committed the acts alleged above, and that such acts were tortious.
- 80. Google's Assistance: Despite its knowledge of the Fraudulent Mobile Subscription Services' tortious acts alleged above, Google continued to supply advertising to the Fraudulent Mobile Subscription Services through the AdWords advertising service.

 This advertising was an essential part of the Fraudulent Mobile Subscription Services' scheme, because they could not collect unwitting users' cellular numbers without Google driving Internet traffic towards their landing pages.
- 81. Under 18 U.S.C. § 1957(a) and/or Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200, Google had a separate and independent legal duty not to exchange advertising for funds it knew the Fraudulent Mobile Subscription Services had derived through the criminal and tortious acts alleged in this Complaint.
- 82. As a direct and proximate result of the aiding and abetting of these acts, Plaintiff and the other members of the Class have suffered injury, damage, loss, and harm, including, but not limited to, losses for payment of unauthorized mobile subscription charges appearing on their cellular telephone bills and for consumed computing services of their cellular telephones, including computer time, data processing, and storage capacity required to receive such unauthorized services. The wrongful conduct Google aided and abetted was a substantial factor in causing this harm.
- 83. Google's aiding and abetting of these wrongful acts was willful, oppressive, and in conscious disregard of Plaintiff's rights in contract, and Plaintiff is therefore entitled

	H .	•		
1	WHEREFORE Pla	intiff prays that the Court enter judgment and orders in her favor		
2				
3		An order certifying the Class, directing that this case proceed as		
4	(a)	a class action, and appointing Plaintiff and her counsel to represent the Class;		
5	(b)	Equitable and injunctive relief against Defendant, including a		
6 7		constructive trust, an accounting, and an injunction prohibiting the continued unlawful business practices alleged in Count I;		
8	(c)	Damages;		
9	(d)	Restitution and disgorgement of all ill-gotten gains unjustly		
10		obtained and retained by Defendant through acts complained of herein;		
11	(e)	An order granting reasonable attorneys' fees and costs, as well		
12		as pre and post-judgment interest at the maximum legal rate; and		
13	(f)	Such other and further relief as this Court may deem appropriate.		
14		приоричи		
15	Dated: April 30th, 2008			
16	,	Day .		
17		Ву:		
18		Alan Himmelfarb KAMBEREDELSON LLC		
19		2757 Leonis Boulevard Los Angeles, California 90058		
20		(323) 585-8696 ahimmelfarb@kamberedelson.com		
21		Jay Edelson		
22		Myles McGuire Ethan Preston		
23		KAMBEREDELSON LLC 53 West Jackson Boulevard		
24		Suite 550		
		Chicago, Illinois 60604 (312) 589-6370		
25		<u>jedelson@kamberedelson.com</u> mmcguire@kamberedelson.com		
26		cpreston@kamberedelson.com		
27				
28				

pogle Inc. Advertising Program Terms.

ess Google Inc. Advertising Program Terms ("Terms") are entered into by, as applicable, the customer signing these Terms or any docume erences these Terms or that accepts these Terms electronically ("Customer") and Google Inc. ("Google"). These Terms govern Customer rticipation in Google's advertising program(s) ("Program") and, as applicable, any insertion orders or service agreements ("IO") executed to tween the parties and/or Customer's online management of any advertising campaigns. These Terms and any applicable IO are collectively the "Agreement." Google and Customer hereby agree and acknowledge:

Policies. Program use is subject to all applicable Google and Partner policies, including without limitation the Editorial Guidelines https://www.google.com/select/guidelines.html), Google Privacy Policy (www.google.com/privacy.html) and Trademark Guidelines https://www.google.com/privacy.html) and Trademark Guidelin

The Program. Customer is solely responsible for all: (a) ad targeting options and keywords (collectively "Targets") and all ad content, ad 3 ad URLs ("Creative"), whather generated by or for Customer; and (b) web sites, services and landing pages which Creative links or direct and advertised services and products (collectively "Services"). Customer shall protect any Customer passwords and takes full responsibility stomer's own, and third party, use of any Customer eccounts. Customer understands and agrees that ads may be placed on (y) any contenwided by Google ("Google Property"), and, unless Customer opts out of such placement in the manner specified by Google, (z) any other i perty provided by a third party ("Partner") upon which Google places ads ("Partner Property"). Customer authorizes and consents to all s cements. With respect to AdWords online auction-based advertising, Google may send Customer an email notifying Customer it has 72 ht. lodification Period") to modify keywords and settings as posted. The account (as modified by Customer, or if not modified, as initially postamed approved by Customer in all respects after the Modification Period. Customer agrees that all placements of Customer's ads shall conamed to have been approved by Customer unless Customer produces contemporaneous documentary evidence showing that Customer dis th placements in the manner specified by Google. With respect to all other advertising, Customer must provide Google with all relevant Cre date set forth in that Program's applicable frequently asked questions at www.google.com ("FAQ") or as otherwise communicated by Goog stomer grants Google permission to utilize an automated software program to retrieve and analyze websites associated with the Services for I serving purposes, unless Customer specifically onts out of the evaluation in a manner specified by Google. Google may modify any of its I time without liability. Google also may modify these Terms at any time without liability, and Customer's use of the Program efter notice the ms have changed constitutes Customer's acceptance of the new Terms. Google or Partners may reject or remove any ad or Target for any son.

Cancellation. Customer may cancel advertising online through Customer's account if online cancellation functionality is available, or, if no prior written notice to Google, including without limitation electronic mail. AdWords online auction-based advertising cancelled britine will also shortly after cancellation. The cancellation of all other advertising may be subject to Program policies or Google's ability to re-schedule antory or cancel ade already in production. Cancelled ada may be published despite cancellation if cancellation of those ads occurs after a licable commitment date as set forth in advance by the Partner or Google, in which case Customer must pay for those ads. Google may calculately any IO, any of its Programs, or these Terms at any time with notice, in which case Customer will be responsible for any ads alread tions 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8, and 9 will survive any expiration or fermination of this Agreement.

words.google.com/select/TCUNhilling0806.html

Prohibited Uses: License Grant; Representations and Warranties. Customer shall not, and shall not authorize any party to: (a) general omated, fraudulent or otherwise invalid impressions, inquiries, conversions, clicks or other actions; (b) use any automated means or form of tala extraction to access, query or otherwise collect Google advertising related information from any Program website or property except as mitted by Google; or (c) advertise anything lilegal or engage in any lilegal or fraudulent business practice. Customer represents and warrant de and hereby grants Google and Partners all rights (including without limitation any copyright, trademark, patent, publicity or other rights) in vices and Targets needed for Google and Partner to operate Programs (including without limitation any rights needed to host, cache, route re, copy, modify, distribute, perform, display, reformat, excerpt, analyze, and create algorithms from and derivative works of Creative or Targinection with this Agreement ("Use"). Customer represents and warrants that (y) all Customer information is complete, correct and current; a hereunder and Customer's Creative, Targets, and Customer's Services will not violate or encourage violation of any applicable laws, regulate of conduct, or third party rights (including without limitation intellectual property rights). Violation of the foregoing may result in immediate his Agreement or customer's account without notice and may subject Customer to legal penalties and consequences.

Disclaimer and Limitation of Liability. To the fullest extent permitted by law, GOOGLE DISCLAIMS ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR LUDING WITHOUT LIMITATION FOR NONINFRINGEMENT, SATISFACTORY QUALITY, MERCHANTABILITY AND FITNESS FOR ANY RPOSE. To the fullest extent permitted by law. Google disclaims all guarantees regarding positioning, levels, quality, or timing of: (i) costs; k through rates; (iii) availability and delivery of any impressions. Creative, or Targets on any Partner Property, Google Property, or section (I ks; (v) conversions or other results for any ads or Targets; (vi) the accuracy of Partner data (e.g. reach, size of audience, demographics or a ported characteristics of audience); and (vii) the adjacency or placement of ads within a Program. Customer understands that third parties n terate impressions or clicks on Customer's ads for prohibited or improper purposes, and Customer accepts the risk of any such impressions ks. Customer's exclusive remedy, and Google's exclusive liability, for suspected invalid impressions or clicks is for Customer to make a cia and in the form of advertising credits for Google Properties within the time period required under Section 7 below. Any refunds for suspected ressions of clicks are within Google's sole discretion. EXCEPT FOR INDEMNIFICATION AMOUNTS PAYABLE TO THIRD PARTIES HER D CUSTOMER'S BREACHES OF SECTION 1, TO THE FULLEST EXTENT PERMITTED BY LAW: (a) NEITHER PARTY WILL BE LIABLE NSEQUENTIAL, SPECIAL, INDIRECT, EXEMPLARY, OR PUNITIVE DAMAGES (INCLUDING WITHOUT LIMITATION LOSS OF PROFIT VENUE, INTEREST, GOODWILL, LOSS OR CORRUPTION OF DATA OR FOR ANY LOSS OR INTERRUPTION TO CUSTOMER'S BUSI IETHER IN CONTRACT, TORT (INCLUDING WITHOUT LIMITATION NEGLIGENCE) OR ANY OTHER LEGAL THEORY, EVEN IF ADVIS E POSSIBILITY OF SUCH DAMAGES AND NOTWITHSTANDING ANY FAILURE OF ESSENTIAL PURPOSE OF ANY LIMITED REMEDY CH PARTY'S AGGREGATE LIABILITY TO THE OTHER IS LIMITED TO AMOUNTS PAID OR PAYABLE TO GOOGLE BY CUSTOMER FO TING RISE TO THE CLAIM. Except for payment obligations, neither party is liable for failure or delay resulting from a condition beyond the r trol of the party, including without limitation to acts of God, government, terrorism, natural disaster, labor conditions and power failures.

Agency.

tomer represents and warrants that (a) it is authorized to act on behalf of and has bound to this Agreement any third party for which Custom ertises (a "Principal"). (b) as between Principal and Customer, the Principal owns any rights to Program Information in connection with those Customer shall not disclose Principal's Program information to any other party without Principal's consent.

Payment

Itomer shall be responsible for all charges up to the amount of each IO, or as set in an online account, and shall pay all charges in U.S. Dolin other currency as agreed to in writing by the parties. Unless agreed to by the parties in writing, Customer shall pay all charges in accorda payment terms in the applicable IO or Program FAQ. Late payments bear interest at the rate of 1.5% per menth (or the highest rate permits as). Charges are exclusive of taxes. Customer is responsible for paying (y) all taxes, government charges, and (z) reasonable expenses an accordance collecting fate amounts. To the fullest extent permitted by law, Customer walves all claims relating to charges (including with any claims for charges based on suspected invalid clicks) unless claimed within 60 days after the charge (this does not affect Custom

vords.google.com/select/1/CUSbiffingif606.hbml

d issuer rights). Charges are solely based on Google's measurements for the applicable Program, unless otherwise agreed to in writing. To ant permitted by law, refunds (if any) are at the discretion of Google and only in the form of advertising credit for only Google Properties. No se Terms or an IO may obligate Google to extend credit to any party. Customer acknowledges and agrees that any credit card and related it ment information that Customer provides to Google may be shared by Google with companies who work on Google's behalf, such as paymaces and/or credit agencies, solely for the purposes of checking credit, effecting payment to Google and servicing Customer's account. (It is provide information in response to valid legal process, such as subposense, search warrants and court orders, or to establish or exercise it its or defend against legal claims. Google shall not be liable for any use or disclosure of such information by such third parties.

Indemnification.

itomer shall indemnify and defend Google, its Partners, egents, effiliates, and licensors from any third party claim or liability (collectively, "Li ing out of Use, Customer's Program use, Targets, Creative and Services and breach of the Agreement. Partners shall be deemed third parteclarities of the above Partner indemnity.

Miscelleneous.

E AGREEMENT MUST BE CONSTRUED AS IF BOTH PARTIES JOINTLY WROTE IT AND GOVERNED BY CALIFORNIA LAW EXCEPT NFLICTS OF LAWS PRINCIPLES. ALL CLAIMS ARISING OUT OF OR RELATING TO THIS AGREEMENT OR THE GOOGLE PROGRAI ALL BE LITIGATED EXCLUSIVELY IN THE FEDERAL OR STATE COURTS OF SANTA CLARA COUNTY, CALIFORNIA, USA, AND GO DICUSTOMER CONSENT TO PERSONAL JURISDICTION IN THOSE COURTS. The Agreement constitutes the entire and exclusive agre ween the parties with respect to the subject matter hereof, and supersades and reptaces any other agreements, terms and conditions applic ject matter hereof. No statements or promises have been relied upon in entering into this Agreement except as expressly set forth herein, a flicting or additional terms contained in any other documents (e.g. reference to a purchase order number) or oral discussions are void. Each disclose the terms or conditions of these Terms to any third party, except to its professional advisors under a strict duty of confidentiality or assary to comply with a government law, rule of regulation. Customer may grant approvals, permissions, extensions and consents by email Illications by Customer to the Agreement must be made in a writing executed by both parties. Any notices to Google must be sent to Google ertising Programs, 1600 Amphitheatre Parkway, Mountain View, CA 94043, USA, with a copy to Legal Department, via confirmed facsimile, y sent via first class or air mail or overnight courier, and are deemed given upon receipt. A waiver of any default is not a waiver of any subsi auli. Unenforceable provisions will be modified to reflect the parties' intention and only to the extent necessary to make them enforceable, a aining provisions of the Agreement will remain in full effect. Customer may not assign any of its rights hereunder and any such attempt is w Customer and Google and Partners are not legal partners or agents, but are independent contractors. In the event that these Terms or a f ire or is terminated, Google shall not be obligated to return any materials to Customer. Notice to Customer may be effected by sending an a 3) address specified in Customer's account, or by posting a message to Customer's account Interface, and is deemed received when sent (o more than 15 days after having been posted (for messages in Customer's AdWords interface).

Augi

Google Help > AdViords Help > Text ads

AdWords Advertising Policies

Search AdWords Policies

Example: pop-up or spelling policy

Search Policies

Select your ad type:

Text ads

Editorial & Format »

Content >

- · Academic Aids
- Aids to Pass Drug Tests
- Alcohol
- Anabolic Steroids
- 'Anti' and Violence
- Automated Ad Clicking
- Bulk Marketing
- Copyright
- Counterfelt Designer Goods
- · Data Entry Affiliates
- Draiers
- Drugs and Drug Paraphemalia
- e-Gald
- Fake Documents
- Fireworks and Pymtechnic Devices
- Gambling
- Hacking and Cracking
- Miracle Curas
- Mobile Subscription Services

Policy Home > Text ads > Content

Content Policy

The policies listed in this section complement our Terms and Conditions and describe Google's advertising policies with regards to products and services. These policies may apply to ads and the content of your site

Application of our policies will always involve an element of discretion and we reserve the right to reject or approve any ads. As noted in our <u>Terms & Conditions</u>, you represent and warrant that your advertisements and/or website comply with all applicable laws.

Academic Aids

Don't promote unacceptable academic airis.

Advertising is not permitted for academic aids. This includes 'test-taking' services in which someone takes an exam for someone else and academic paper-writing services providing custom/pre-written theses, dissertations, etc.

Aids to Paus Drug Tests

Don't promote aids to pass drug tests.

Advertising is not permitted for the promotion of products designed to help someone pass a drug test. This includes products such as drug cleansing shakes and urine test additives.

Alcohol

Don't prompte unacceptable alcohol products.

Restrictions on the promotion of alcohol vary based on local regulations and type of alcoholic product

Ads are not permitted to directly promote hard alcohol and liqueurs. This means that hard alcohol
cannot be promoted in ad text or be the purpose of your site (occupying a significant portion of your

- Political Advertising
- Prescription Drugs and Related Content
- Prostauton
- Scems/Phishing for Personal Information
- Sexual & Adult Content
- Solicitation of Funds
- Template Sites for Ad Networks
- Tobacco and Cigarettes
- Traffic Devices
- Weapons
- Webmaster Guidelines Violations

<u>Link »</u> <u>View all policies »</u>

Double Serving

AdWords Terms & Conditions

Google Privacy Policy

Advertising in China

Invalid Clicks

site).

- Advertisements for beer may target countries where such ads comply with local regulations.
 However, beer ads may not target the United States, U.S. territories (American Samos, Guarn, Puerto Rico and the U.S. Virgin Islands), India, Pakistan, Sri Lanka. Thailand, and Malaysia.
- Advertisements for champagne and wine are allowed everywhere that such advertisements comply
 with local regulations.
- Since we consider beer, wine, and champagne to be products intended for the sale and consumption
 by adults, ads promoting these products will be given a Non-FamilySate status.
- Please note that some jurisdictions may enforce any of these additional regulations:
 - require government permits for the advertisement of alcoholic beverages,
 - prohibit advertisements for beverages with a certain level of alcohol content.
 - and/or require certain disclaimers in advertisements for alcohol beverages.

It is the advertiser's responsibility to comply with all local laws.

Anabolic Sterolds

Don't promote anabolic steroids.

Advertising is not permitted for anabolic staroids, muscle-enhancing stacks and cycles, bodybuilding steroid supplements, and related content, prespective of an advertiser's claims of legality.

: 'Anti' and Victence

Don't promote violence or advocate against a protected group.

Ad text advocating against any organization, person, or group of people is not permitted.

: Advertisements and associated websites may not promote violence or advocate against a protected group.

A protected group is distinguished by their

- · Race or ethnic origin
- **Color**
- National origin
- Religion
- Disability

- Sex
- Age
- Veteran status
- Sexual orientation/Gender identity

Automated Ad Clicking

Don't promote automated ad clicking.

Advartising is not permitted for the promotion of automated ad clicking products and services.

Bulk Marketing

Don't promote bulk marketing products.

Advertising of bulk marketing products is not parmitted if the stated or implied use of the following products is unsolicited apam:

- · Email lists that are not opt-in
- Bulk email software
- Bulk messaging

Copyright

Don't promote copyrighted content without permission.

Copyrights are important business assets in which the copyright holder maintains exclusive rights. You must not use AdWords to promote the copyrigh or distribution of copyrighted content for which you don't have consent from the copyright holder and which is not otherwise permitted by law.

To learn more about how we handle copyright leaves within the AdWordz program, view the Digital Willennium Copyright Act and our copyright policy and procedures

Counterfeit Designer Goods

Don't advertise counterfeit designer goods.

Advertising is not permitted for products that are replicas or imitations of designer goods. A replica good contains the trademarked name or logo of a designer brand but is not made by that brand.

Data Entry Affiliates

Don't advartise for data entry affiliate programs.

Advertising is not permitted for the promotion of data entry affiliate programs. This includes ads directing users to sites that promote the creation/data entry of more ads that direct users to the same site.

There are no exceptions to this policy, which is intended to protect both advertisers and AdWords users,

Dialois

Don't promote dialer-related products.

vords.google.com/support/bin/static.py/page=guidefines.cs/810nic=9271&subtopic...

Google Ac

A dialer is a program that will cut your chosen internet connection and establish a new connection to a pramium rate number. In order to protect our users and maintain the quality of advertising we display, we don't allow ads and sules that require or solicit the use of a dialer program.

Drugs and Drug Paraphematia

Don't promote drugs and drug paraphernalia.

Advertising is not permitted for the promotion of drugs and drug paraphematia. This includes drug accessories, illegal drugs, and herbal drugs such as salvia and magic mushrooms.

e-Gold

Don't promote e-gold or related products.

Advertising is not permitted for e-gold and e-gold related content. This includes, but is not limited to, e-gold exchange, e-gold investment, and e-gold accounts.

Fake Documents

Don't promote fake documents.

Advertising is not permitted for the promotion of false documents such as take IDs, passports, social security cards, immigration papers, diplomas, and noble titles.

Fireworks and Pyrotechnic Devices

Don't promote fireworks or pyrotechnic devices.

Advertising is not permitted for the promotion of fireworks and pyrotechnic devices,

Gambling

Don't promote online gambling or related alies.

Advertising is not permitted for the promotion of online casinos and gambling activities.

This includes, but is not limited to, the following:

- sports books
- lotteries
- bingo
- poker

- · sites that provide tips, odds, and handicapping
- software facilitating online casinos and gambling
- gambling lutoring online
- · gambling related eBooks
- 'play for fun' gambling or casino games of skill including sites where the primary purpose is 'play for fun' gambling
- · affiliate sites with the primary purpose of driving traffic to online gambling sites

Hacking and Cracking

Don't promote hacking and cracking sites.

Advertising is not permitted for the promotion of hacking or cracking and tools that aid in copyright intringement. For example, we do not permit:

- Sites that provide instructions or equipment to filegally access or tamper with software, servers, or websites
- Sites or products that enable illegal access of cell phones and other communications or content delivery systems/devices
- Mod chips, such as devices that unlock copyright protection
- Producte that descramble cable and satellite signals in order to get free cable services
- Copied or backed-up version of software, CDs, or DVDs not intended for personal use.
- Products or services that circumvent digital rights management technologies or technical protection measures for copyrighted works

Miracle Cures

Don't promote miracia cures.

Advertising is not permitted for the promotion of miracle cures, such as "Cure cancer overnight"

Mobile Subscription Sarvices

Mobile subscription sites must display the required service details.

We allow the advertisement of mobile content services only when the site clearly and accurately displays price, subscription, and cancellation information. This includes, but is not limited to, sites that promote downloading ringiones, waitpaper, or text messages for pradictions, love life advice, news, personality quizzes, and/or pilver entertainment services.

If your title promotes mobile content services and requires users to enter personal information such as names or phone numbers, your site must meet the following criteria:

Prominently display these details on the page where users first enter personal information (such as s

name or phone number):

- The identification of your service as a subscription
- The price of the service
- The billing interval (such as per week or once per month)
- 2. On the first page where users enter personal data, provide a prominent opt-in checkbox or other cles mechanism indicating that the user knowingly accepts the price and subscription service. The user should not be able to proceed without opting in.
- Clearly display cancellation information (or a clearly marked link to cancellation instructions) on your ad's tanding page, the first page of your site users see after clicking on your ad.

All of the items above should be located in a prominent place on your webpage and should be easy to find, read, and understand.

Political Advertising

Political advertising is allowed.

We permit political advertisements regardless of the political views they represent. Stating disagreement with or campaigning against a candidate for public office, a political party, or public administration is generally permissible.

However, political ada most not include accusations or attacks relating to an individual's personal life, nor can they advocate against a <u>protected group</u>.

If you're soliciting political donations, your ad's landing page must clearly state that the donations are non-tax-deductible.

Political campaigns and candidates with questions about these policies are encouraged to contact our Elections Team at elections@google.com

Prescription Drugs and Related Content

The promotion of prescription drugs and related content is restricted.

Google AdWords only accepts pharmsceutical advertising from pharmacles that are based in the U.S. or Canada. Pharmscy-related ad campaigns can only target the U.S., U.S. territories (American Samea, Guam, Puerto Rico, U.S. Virgin Islands), and/or Canada. Ads for prescription drugs will not be displayed in other countries. Additionally, Google AdWords requires all ortline pharmacy advertisers and affiliates to be-amember of the PharmscyChecker Licensed Pharmacy Program. Ads III not run until a valid PharmacyChecker Identification number is provided.

Note that pet pharmacles and affiliates advertising pet prescription drugs when targeting the U S. or Canada

must also be PharmacyChecker approved.

If you meet the above requirements and want to get started, review Google's <u>online pharmacy qualification</u> <u>process</u>. If you are not granted a PharmacyChecker ID, we won't be able to run your ads. To be fair to all of our pharmacy advertisers, we make no exceptions.

Prostitution

Don't promote prostitution.

Advertising is not permitted for the promotion of prostitution

Scams/Phishing for Personal Information

Don't use phishing or other scamming tactics.

Advertising is not permitted for sites collecting sensitive personal information or money with take forms, talse claims, or unauthorized use of Google Trademarks. Examples of personal information include email addresses, user names, passwords, and/or payment information.

Sexual & Adult Content

Sexual & adult content is restricted.

Advertising is not permitted for the promotion of child pornography, any sexually suggestive content involving children, or other non-consensual sexual material. We also do not permit ads promoting teen pornography or other pornography that describes models who might be underage.

Examples include, but are not limited to, advertisements for 'teen xxx', 'teen porn', and 'school girl' pomography. Please note that Google does not allow this content regardless of whether or not a ske complies with government regulations on this kind of advertising.

While approvable adult-related ads can show in some countries, certain countries such as Germany, China Korea, and India will not show any ads categorized as 'Adult Sexual Content' such as ads with any permographic content. There may be other effects of family status on how your ads are served.

Solicitation of Funds

The solicitation of funds is restricted.

If the solicitation of funds is promoted in ad text, the ad's landing page should clearly display tax-exempt status such as 901(c)(3) status in the United States, and should state whether the donations are tax-deductible in full or in part. Other countries need to have an equivalent status (must be a registered charity or not-for-profit organization).

However, for the solicitation of <u>political</u> donations, the ad's landing page must clearly state that the donation are not tax-deductible.

Template Sites for Ad Networks

Don't promote template sites for ad networks such as AdSense.

Advertising is not permitted for the promotion of template sites for ad networks. This includes products and services that create template or pre-generated websites solely intended to profit from ads.

Tobacco and Cigarettes

Don't promote tobacco and elgaraties.

Advertising is not permitted for the promotion of tobacco or tobacco-related products, including organities, cigars, tobacco pipes, and rolling papers.

Traffic Devices

Don't promote illegal traffic devices.

Advertising is not permitted for the promotion of radar jammers, license plate covers, traffic signal changer; and related products.

Weapons

The promotion of weapons is restricted.

Advertising is not permitted for the premotion of certain weapons, such as firearms, firearm components, amountaion, ballsongs (switchbisdes), butterfly knives, and brass knuckles

Webmaster Guidelines Violations

Don't promoté unacceptable webmaster techniques.

Advertising is not permitted for the promotion of cloaking, keyword stuffing, search engine spamming, and doorway pages. These unacceptable techniques and services are described in the <u>Google Webmaster</u>. <u>Guidelines</u>. Adv and sites promoting such techniques will be disapproved.

We also recommend that you comply with the rest of the <u>Google Webmaster Guidelines</u> which suggest ber practices for web development.

©2008 Georgie - AdWords Home - AdWords Advertising Policies - Contact Lista Private Policy

ATTORIES OF DARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY ALL. S.L. S.L.		
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Normal Street Bar Alari Himmelifarb SSU 904 80 (5)	Mander, and accrease;	FOR COURT USE ONLY
		Mars & E. Daving Joseph
2757 Leonis Blvd		I FILED .
Vernon, California 90058		3/16 3/4
YELEPHONE NO.: 323-585-8696	FAX NO.: 323-585-8198	Y6.70
ATTORNEY FOR (Name): JENNA GODDARD		APR 30 2008
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF Sa		
STREET ADDRESS 101 NT 1-4 Ct 44	nia Ciara	and the second s
STREET ADDRESS: 191 N. 1st Street		Lugg Frist Edrine
MAILING ADDRESS:		CHIFF ETALL CHAMERACLERS
CITYANDZIPCODE San Jose, CA 95113	•	AUT LAICH LOTHER OF CA
BRANCH NAME DOWNTOWN	• • •	CONTY OF SALLA ELARA
CASE NAME:		—d∨ V \ /\`
1 T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T	' •	j. Cao-Ngi
Goddard v. Google,		·
CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET	Complex Case Designation	CASE MUNIER;
✓ Unlimited Limited	dombiox offer patibilities	108CV111658
	Counter Joinder	7000111000
(Amount (Amount		AUXGE:
demanded is	Filed with first appearance by defenda-	nt
exceeds \$25,000) \$25,000 or less)	(Ca). Rules of Court, rule 3.402)	DEPT;
items 1–6 beid	w must be completed (see instructions on	page 2).
1. Chack one box below for the case type that		.
Auto Tort	· · · ·	and the marks American MI to be at
		revisionally Complex Civil Litigation
Auto (22)	Breach of contract/warranty (06)	at. Rules of Court, rules 3,400-3,403)
L Uninsured motorist (46)	Rule 3.740 collections (09)	Antitrus/Trade regulation (03)
Other PI/PO/WD (Personal injury/Property	Other collections (09)	Construction defect (10)
Damage/Wrongful Dea(h) Tort		
 - '	irstrance coverage (18)	Mass tort (40)
Asbestos (04)	L Other contract (37)	Securities (litigation (28)
Product llability (24)	Real Property	Environmental/Toxic tort (30)
Medical majoractice (45)	Eminent domain/inverse	
· · · · · · ·	condemnation (14)	Insurance coverage dalms arising from the
Other PVPD/WD (23)		above listed provisionally complex case
Nort-PVPD/WD (Other) Tort	Wrongful eviction (33)	types (41)
Business tor/Unfair business practice (07)	Other real property (26)	forcement of Judgment
Civil rights (08)	Unjawfuj Detainor	Enforcement of judgment (20)
- '		
Defamation (13)	Commercial (31)	scellaneous Civil Compleint
Fraud (16)	Residential (32)	RICO (27)
Intellectual property (19)	Drugs (38)	
	— · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Other complaint (not specified above) (42)
Professional negligence (25)	Judicial Review Mi	scellaneous Civil Petition
Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35)	Asset forfeiture (05)	Partnership and corporate governance (21)
Employment	Petition re: arbitration award (11)	
Wrongful termination (36)	Will of mandate (02)	Other petition (not specified above) (43)
	<u> </u>	
Other employment (15)	Other judicial review (39)	
2. This case 📈 is 🔲 is not comp	lex under rule 3.400 of the California Rule	s of Court. If the case is complex, mark the
factors requiring exceptional judicial manag	ement:	a to account it are seen to complete their old
a. Large number of separately repres		
 b. Extensive motion prectice raising d 	ifficult or novel e. Coordination with	th related actions pending in one or more courts
Issues that will be time-consuming	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	s, states, or countries, or in a federal court
c. 🖌 Substantial amount of documentary	v evidence t. ✓ Substantiat bos	tjudgment judicial supervision
7 - Managara	71	
Remedies sought (check all that apply): a.L	✓ monetary b. ✓ nonmonetary; dec	daratory or injunctive relief C. punitive
 Number of causes of action (specify): 		
5. This case 🔽 is 🔲 is not a class	section suit.	•
 If there are any known related cases, file ar 	iu serve a nouce of related case. (You ma	y <u>use form (stri-015.)</u>
Date:		A STATE OF THE STA
A-2170 7000 YO Loo A 1 17	3 C K	
	elfurb	- Aller Early -
(TYPE OR PRINT KAME)	(SIG)	ATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY FOR PARTY)
•	NOTICE	
 Plaintiff must file this cover sheet with the file 	ist paper filad in the action or proceeding ((except small claims cases or cases filed
under the Probate Code, Family Code, or W	felfare and Institutions Code) (Cal. Rules	of Court, rule 3.220.) Fallure to file may result
in senctions.		an Annual Line Committy College to the High Institl
* File this cover sheet in addition to any cover	sheet required by local court rule	
If this case is complex under rule 3.400 et s	en of the California Dulor of Court works	The second of the same of the same of the same factor
other parties to the action or proceeding.	are exercising bodgs of Contr. And H	irrer serve e cobà er nus cover sucer ou an
a Unione this is a solunities and a series = 1 = 1	2 740 as a gamelou essa shis	hadle has been been about the second
 Unless this is a collections case under rule ! 	2.740 or a complex case, this cover sheet	will be need to: eramency brilboses cult.
Form Adopted for Nendstory Use	ONU CARE COVID CHART	Page 1 of 2 Cal. Rules of Court, rules 2,30, 3,220, 3,400–3,403, 3,740;
Author Course of Course	CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET	

Judicial Council of California CAI-010 [Rev. July 1, 2007]

American Legalism, byg, www.formula.com

INSTRUCT INS ON HOW TO COMPLETE THE . VER SHEET

To Plaintiffs and Others Filing First Papers

If you are filing a first paper (for example, a complaint) in a civil case, you must complete and file, along with your first paper, the Civil Case Cover Sheet contained on page 1. This information will be used to compile statistics about the types and numbers of cases filed. You must complete items 1 through 5 on the sheet, in item 1, you must check one box for the case type that best describes the case. If the case fits both a general and a more specific type of case listed in item 1, check the more specific one. If the case has multiple causes of action, check the box that best indicates the primary cause of action. To assist you in completing the sheet, examples of the cases that belong under each case type in Item 1 are provided below. A cover sheet must be filed only with your initial paper. You do not need to submit a cover sheet with amended papers. Failure to file a cover sheet with the first paper filed in a civil case may subject a party, its counsel, or both to sanctions under rules 2.30 and 3.220 of the California Rules of Court.

To Parties in Complex Cases

in complex cases only, parties must also use the Civil Case Cover Sheet to designate whether the case is complex. If a plaintiff believes the case is complex under rule 3.400 of the California Rules of Court, this must be indicated by completing the appropriate boxes in items 1 and 2, if a plaintiff designates a case as complex, the cover sheet must be served with the complaint on all parties to the action. A defendant may file and serve no later than the time of its first appearance a joinder in the plaintiff's designation, a counter-designation that the case is not complex, or, if the plaintiff has made no designation, a designation that the case is complex.

Auto Tort

Auto (22)-Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death Uninsured Motorist (46) (if the case involves en uninsured motorist claim subject to arbitration, chack this item instead of Auto)

Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/ Property Damage/Wrongful Death)

Asbestos (04) Asbestos Property Damage Asbestos Personal Injury/ Wrongful Death Product Liability (not asbestos or loxic/environmental) (24) Medical Malprectice (45) Medical Malpractice Physicians & Surgeons Other Professional Health Care Malpractice Other PI/PD/WD (23) Premises Liability (e.g., slip and fall)
Intenlional Bodily Injury/PD/WD
(e.g., assault, vandallsm) Intentional infliction of **Emotionel Distress** Negligent Infliction of Emotional Distress Other PI/PD/WD

Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tost Business Torl/Unfair Business

Practice (07) Civil Rights (e.g., discrimination, false arrest) (not civil harassment) (08) Defamation (e.g., slander, libel) (13)Fraud (16)
Intellectual Property (19)
Professional Negligence (25)
Legal Malpractice Other Professional Malpractice (not medical or legal)
Other Non-Pl/PD/WD Tort (35)

Employment Wrongful Termination (36) Other Employment (15)

CASE TYPES AND EXAMPLES

Contract Breach of Contract/Warranty (06) Breach of Rental/Lease Contract (not unlawful detainer or wrongful eviction)
Contract/Warranty Breach-Seller
Plaintiff (not Iraud or negligence)
Negligent Breach of Contract/
Warranty Other Breach of Contract/Warranty Collections (e.g., money owed, open book accounts) (09) Collection Case-Seller Plaintiff Other Promissory Note/Collections Case Insurance Coverage (not provisionally complex) (18) Auto Subrogation Other Coverage Other Contract (37) Contractual Fraud Other Contract Dispute

Real Property Eminent Domein/Inverse

Condemnation (14) Wrongful Eviction (33) Other Real Property (e.g., quiet tille) (26) Writ of Possession of Real Property Mortgage Foreclosura Quiet Title Other Real Property (not eminent domain, landlord/tenant, or

Uniawful Detainer

foreclosure)

Commercial (31) Residential (32) Drugs (38) (if the case involves illegal drugs, check this item; otherwise, report as Commerdal or Residential)

Judicial Review

Asset Forfeiture (05)
Petition Re: Arbitration Award (11)
Writ of Mandate (02)
Writ-Administrative Mandamus
Writ-Mandamus on Limited Court Case Matter Writ-Other Limited Court Case Review Other Judicial Review (39) Review of Health Officer Order Notice of Appeal-Labor

Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation (Cal. Rules of Court Rules 3.400-3.403)

Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03) Construction Defect (10) Claims involving Mass Tort (40) Securities Litigation (28) Environmental/Toxic Tort (30) Insurance Coverage Claims (arising from provisionally complex case type listed above)

Enforcement of Judgment

Enforcement of Judgment (20) Abstract of Judgment (Out of County)
Confession of Judgment (nondomestic relations) Sister State Judgment Administrative Agency Award (not unpaid taxes) Pelition/Certification of Entry of Judgment on Unpaid Taxes Other Enforcement of Judgment Case

Miscellaneous Civil Complaint

RICO (27) Other Complaint (not specified above) (42) Declaratory Relief Only Injunctive Relief Only (nonherassment) Mechanics Lien Other Commercial Complaint Case (non-tort/non-complex)
Other Civil Complaint (non-tort/non-complex)

Miscellaneous Civil Petition Partnership and Corporate

Governance (21) Other Petition (not specified above) Civil Harassment Workplace Violence Elder/Dependent Adult Abuse **Election Contest** Pelition for Name Change Petition for Relief from Late Claim Other Civil Petition

Commissioner Appeals

CIVIL LAWSUIT NOTICE

Superior Court of California, County of Santa Clara
191 N. First Street. San Jose. CA 95113

CASE NUMBER: 108 CV 111658

READ THIS ENTIRE FORM

<u>PLAINTIFFS</u> (the person(s) suling): Within 60 days after filing the lawsuit, you must serve each defendant with the Complaint, Summons, an Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) Information Sheet, and a copy of this Civil Lawsuit Notice, and you must file written proof of such service.

DEFENDANTS (The person(s) being sued): You must do each of the following to protect your rights:

- 1. You must file a written response to the Complaint, in the Cterk's Office of the Court, within 30 days of the date the Summons and Complaint were served on you:
- 2. You must send a copy of your written response to the plaintiff; and
- 3. You must attend the first Case Management Conference.

Warning: If you do not do these three things, you may automatically lose this case.

RULES AND FORMS: You must follow the California Rules of Court (CRC) and the Santa Clara County Superior Court Local Civil Rules and use proper forms. You can get legal Information, view the rules and get forms, free of charge, from the Self-Service Center at 99 Notre Dame Avenue, San Jose (408-882-2900 x-2926), or from:

- State Rules and Judicial Council Forms: www.courtinfo.ca.gov/forms and www.courtinfo.ca.gov/rules
- Local Rules and Forms: http://www.sccsuperiorcourt.org/civil/rule1toc.htm

For other local legal information, visit the Court's Self-Service website www.scselfservice.org and select "Civil."

<u>CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE (CMC)</u>: You must meet with the other parties and discuss the case, in person or by telephone, at least 30 calendar days before the CMC. You must also fill out, file and serve a Case Management Statement (Judicial Council form CM-110) at least 15 calendar days before the CMC.

You or your attorney must appear at the CMC. You may ask to appear by telephone - see Local Civil Rule 8.

Your Case Management Judge Is: Joseph	Huber	Departn	nent: <u>BC</u>
The 1st CMC is scheduled for: (Completed by C	lerk of Court)		
Date: 9 260	O Time: 10:00	am In Department	8C .
The next CMC is acheduled for: (Completed by			-
Date:	Time:	in Department	<u> </u>

ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION (ADR): If all parties have appeared and filed a completed ADR Stipulation Form (local form CV-5008) at least 15 days before the CMC, the Court will cancel the CMC and mail notice of an ADR Status Conference. Visit the Court's website at www.sccsuperiorcourt.org/civil/ADR/ or call the ADR Administrator (408-882-2100 x-2530) for a list of ADR providers and their qualifications, services, and fees.

WARNING: Sanctions may be imposed if you do not follow the California Rules of Court or the Local Rules of Court.

Farm	CV-5012
Rev.	02/01/08

GOOGLE, INC., a Delaware corporation,

YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF: (LO ESTÀ DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE): JENNA GODDARD, on her own behalf and on behalf of all others similarly situated

8	<u>UM-100</u>
TOR COURT USE ONLY	
APR 30 2008	UCS
CHIEF ELEC. GFFIGER/CLERK	. [
SUF LAIDR COUNT OF CA COUNT OF SANTA CLARA	
J. Cao-N	iguyen

You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this aummons and legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you, Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court clork for a fee waiver form. If you do not tile your response on time, you may

lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warming from the court.

There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right sway, if you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney referral services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.tawheipeallfornia.org), the California Courts Online Self-Halp Center (www.equrtinfo.ca.gov/selfnelp), or by contacting your local court or county bar association.

Tiena 30 DÍAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entreguen esta citación y papeles legales para presentar una respuesta por escrito en esta corte y hacer que se entregue una copia al demandante. Una carta o una ilamada telefónica no lo protegen. Su respuesta por eacrito tiene que estar en formato legal correcto el deses que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un formulario que usted puede usar pare su respuesta. Puede encontrar estas formularios de la corte y más información en el Centro de Ayude de las Cortes de Cultionia (www.courtinio.ca.gov/seifiielp/sepunoti), en la biblioteca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que la queda más cerca. Si no presentación, pida al secretario de la corta que la dá un formulario de exención de payo de cuotas. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corta le podrá quitar su sueldo, dinero y bienes sin más advertencia. Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que llama a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede llamar a un

servicio de remisión a abogados. Si no puede pagar a un abogado, es posible que cumple con los requisitos para obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un programa de servicios legales sin fines de lucro. Puede encontrar extos grupos sin fines de lucro en el sido web de California Legal Services, (www.iswhelpcalifornia.org), en al Centro de Ayude de las Cortes de California, (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/saffhelp/espanol/) o poniéndose en contacto con la corta o el colegio de abogados locales.

he name and address of the court is: (El nombre y dirección de la corte es):

Superior Court of the State of California - Santa Clara

CASE MINERELS CV1111658

191 N. First Street, San	Jose CA 95113		
(El nombre, la dirección y el n Alan Himmelfarb of KA	thone number of plaintiffs attorney, or plaintiff without an attorney, is: úmero de teléfono del abogado del demandante, o del demandante d MBEREDELSON LLC, 56N 90480 (5)	que no tiene abogado, es):	
DATE: 'APR 3 U 2008 (Fecha)	Chief Executive Officer/Clark (Secretario)	J. Cao-Nguyen	Deputy (Adjunto)
(Por proof of service of this still	nimons, use Proof of Service of Summons (form POS-010).) sta Citation use at formulario Proof of Service of Summons, (POS-010) NOTICE TO THE PERSON SERVED; You are served 1 as an Individual defendent. 2 as the person sued under the fictitious name of (specify): 3 on behalf of (specify):		
	under: CCP 416.10 (corporation) CCP 416.20 (defunct corporation) CCP 416.40 (association or partnership) other (specify): 4. by personal delivery on (date):	CCP 416.60 (minor) CCP 416.70 (conservates) CCP 416.90 (authorized per	rson) Page 1 of 1
Form Adopted for Mandatory Uses Judicial Council of California SUM-100 [Rev. January 1, 2004]	SUMMONS	Code of Civil Procedure 5	417.20, 485

EXHIBIT 2



May 9, 2008 2:58 PM KIRI TORRE

Chief Executive Officer
Superior Court of CA, County of Santa Clara
Case #1-08-CV-111656 Filing #G-8478
By R. Walker, Deputy

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA

JENNA GODDARD, on her own behalf and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

VS.

GOOGLE, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

Case No.: 1-08-CV-111658

ORDER DEEMING CASE COMPLEX

Judge:

Hon, Joseph H. Huber

Dept:

8C

Complaint Filed: April 30, 2008

WHEREAS, the Complaint was filed by Plaintiff Jenna Goddard ("Plaintiff"), individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, in the Santa Clara County Superior Court on April 30, 2008,

WHEREAS, Plaintiff filed a Civil Case Cover Sheet deeming the matter provisionally complex on April 30, 2008;

WHEREAS, the Civil Lawsuit Notice was issued by the Court on April 30, 2008, assigning the matter to Department 8C (Complex Civil Litigation), the Hon, Joseph H. Huber presiding, pending a ruling on the complexity issue;

Goddard v. Google, Inc. Santa Clara County Superior Court, Case No. 1-08-CV-1/1658 Order Deaming Case Complex

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23 24

25

26

27 28

3

5

б

7 8

9

10 11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

The Court determines that the above-referenced case is COMPLEX within the meaning of California Rules of Court 3.400. The matter remains assigned, for all purposes, including discovery and trial, to Department 8C (Complex Civil Litigation), the Hon. Joseph H. Huber presiding. The Case Management Conference remains set for September 26, 2008 at 10:00 a.m. in Department 8C.

The parties are directed to the Electronic Filing and Service Standing Order and to the Guidelines for the Complex Civil Litigation Department, copies of which may be downloaded from www.scefiling.org.

All parties are hereinafter ordered to submit to the Court's E-Filing website digital copies of all documents that were previously manually filed prior to the entry of this Order.

Pursuant to California Rules of Court, Rule 3.254, the creation and maintenance of the Master Service List shall be under the auspices of (1) Plaintiff Jenna Goddard, as the first-named party in the Complaint, and (2) the first-named party in each Cross-Complaint, if any.

The Complex Case Fee is due from all parties immediately [Government Code Sections 70616(a), 70616(b)].

Plaintiff shall serve a copy of this Order on all the parties in this matter forthwith.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: 5-9-08

Judge Joseph H. Huber