Rotroff v. Mayberg

Doc. 8

1	motion does not meet the requirements for recusal. Petitioner's argument stems from a previous
2	ruling denying his case. After the denial, petitioner appealed the court's decision, which was
3	subsequently affirmed by the Ninth Circuit. The court's adverse ruling is not an adequate basis
4	for recusal. See Leslie v. Grupo ICA, 198 F.3d 1152, 1160 (9th Cir. 1999); see also United
5	States v. Holland, 501 F.3d 1120, 1123 (9th Cir. 2007) ("[I]n the absence of a legitimate reason
6	to recuse himself, a judge has a duty to sit in judgment in all cases coming before him.").
7	Therefore, petitioner's motion to disqualify the court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 455 is DENIED.
8	This order terminates docket no. 3.
9	IT IS SO ORDERED.
10	DATED: _12/11/08 Konald M. Whyte
11	United States District Judge
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	