

1 JON A. HEABERLIN (SBN 199810)
RANKIN, LANDSNESS, LAHDE,
2 **SERVERIAN & STOCK**
 96 No. Third Street, Suite 500
 3 San Jose, California 95112-7709
 (408) 293-0463
 4 E-mail: JHeaberlin@rlss.com

5 Attorneys for Defendants
 MIKE SEADLER, ALEX TORKE,
 6 ALAN WOLF, STEVEN MAJOROS, TYSON SHEARER,
 TRAVIS NIESEN, MARTIN OVER,
 7 DAN WINTER, DEREK RUSH, CITY OF SANTA CLARA,
 and MARK SCHALLER

8
 9 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
 10 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
 11 **SAN JOSE DIVISION**

12 NANCY NAVA,

13 Plaintiff,

14 vs.

15 MIKE SEADLER, individually and in
 his capacity as a Santa Clara police
 16 officer; CLYDE CHENG, individually
 and in his capacity as a Santa Clara
 17 police officer; ALEX TORKE,
 individually and in his capacity as a
 18 Santa Clara police officer; A. WOLF,
 individually and in his capacity as a
 19 Santa Clara police officer; S.
 MAJOROS, individually and in his
 20 capacity as a Santa Clara police
 officer; T. SHEARER, individually
 21 and in his capacity as a Santa Clara
 police officer; J. FANUCCHI,
 22 individually and in his capacity as a
 Santa Clara police officer; T.
 23 NIESEN, individually and in his
 capacity as a Santa Clara police
 24 officer; M. OVER, individually and in
 his capacity as a Santa Clara police
 25 officer; DAN WINTER, individually
 and in his capacity as a Santa Clara
 26 police officer; A. LANGE, individually
 and in his capacity as a Santa Clara
 27 police officer; R. CIRAULO,
 individually and in his capacity as a
 28 Santa Clara police officer; J.
 MASTILOCK, individually and in his
 capacity as a Santa Clara police

Case No. C 08-3066 PSG

**STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER
 REGARDING THE ENTRY INTO AND USE
 OF ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT IN THE
 COURTROOM**

Trial Date: August 22, 2011

Magistrate Judge Paul S. Grewal

1 officer; A. LAYTON, individually and)
 in his capacity as a Santa Clara)
 2 police officer; J. GREEN, individually)
 and in his capacity as a Santa Clara)
 3 police officer; D. RUSH, individually)
 and in his capacity as a Santa Clara)
 4 police officer; F. SAUNDERS,)
 individually and in his capacity as a)
 5 Santa Clara police officer; D.)
 MACHADO, individually and in his)
 6 capacity as a Santa Clara police)
 officer; J. OLIVER, individually and in)
 7 his capacity as a Santa Clara police)
 officer; B. STERKEL, individually and)
 8 in his capacity as a Santa Clara)
 police officer; JOHN DOE and)
 9 RICHARD ROE, individually and in)
 their capacities as Santa Clara police)
 10 officers, the true names and exact)
 numbers of whom are unknown at)
 11 this time; CITY OF SANTA CLARA,)
 a municipal corporation,)
 12)
 13 Defendants.)
 14 _____)

15 The parties hereby submit to the Court a list of the electronic equipment to be used
 16 during trial and request the Court enter an Order permitting the following electronic and
 17 audio-visual equipment to be used to provide for the display of depositions,
 18 demonstratives, exhibits and graphics - all of which will aid the Court and Jury in reviewing
 19 the presentations and evidence submitted during trial:

- 20 1) One Canon Video Visualizer (Commonly referred to as an “Elmo”);
- 21 2) One LCD Projector;
- 22 3) Three Laptop computers;
- 23 4) Two External Hard Drives;
- 24 5) Two Small Tables;
- 25 6) Two tri-pods;
- 26 7) Two platen tops for tri-pods;
- 27 8) A set of portable stereo speakers;
- 28 9) Large tripod projection screen; and

1 10) A large bag with various cables, power cords, power strips, and other items
2 for cable safety.

3 The above listed equipment will be brought into the courtroom and operated by
4 Andrew Lloyd, of Litigation Media Group, LLC, and its designated agents.

5 The Court has inherent power to manage the proceedings for it and its courtrooms.
6 See United States v. Grace, 526 F.3d 499, 509 (9th Cir. 2008) (“Judges exercise
7 substantial discretion over what happens *inside* the courtroom. [Citations] We have
8 accepted that ‘[a]ll federal courts are vested with inherent powers enabling them to
9 manage their cases and courtrooms effectively...’ [Citations].”)

10 The parties are working together to coordinate the shared use of the equipment
11 (excluding the laptops). The parties jointly request that the Court grant this Application
12 and enter an order permitting Andrew Lloyd of Litigation Media Group, LLC, and its
13 designated agents, to bring and use the above listed equipment into the Courtroom on
14 August 19, 2011.

15 LAW OFFICES OF ANTHONY
16 BOSKOVICH

17 DATED: /s/ August 17, 2011

/s/ Anthony Boskovich
18 ANTHONY BOSKOVICH
Attorneys for Plaintiff

19 Dated: August 17, 2011

20 RANKIN, LANDSNESS, LAHDE,
SERVERIAN & STOCK

21 By: /s/ Jon A. Heaberlin
22 JON A. HEABERLIN
Attorney for Defendants

23 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

24
25
26 DATED: August 18, 2011

Paul S. Auer
27 MAGISTRATE JUDGE OF THE
28 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT