

1 Claude M. Stern (CA Bar No. 96737)  
 Jennifer A. Kash (CA Bar No. 203679)  
 2 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART  
 OLIVER & HEDGES, LLP  
 3 555 Twin Dolphin Drive, Suite 560  
 Redwood Shores, CA 94065  
 4 Telephone: (650) 801-5000  
 Facsimile: (650) 801-5100  
 5 Email: claudestern@quinnemanuel.com  
 Email:jenniferkash@quinnemanuel.com  
 6  
 7

8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
 10 SAN JOSE DIVISION

11 GOOGLE INC., AOL LLC, YAHOO! INC.,  
 IAC SEARCH & MEDIA, INC., and  
 12 LYCOS, INC.,

13 Plaintiffs,

14 v.

15 L. DANIEL EGGER,  
 SOFTWARE RIGHTS ARCHIVE, LLC, and  
 16 SITE TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,

17 Defendants.  
 18  
 19  
 20

CASE NO. CV 08-03172-RMW

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING  
 PLAINTIFFS' ADMINISTRATIVE  
 MOTION FOR CONTINUANCE OF THE  
 BRIEFING AND HEARING SCHEDULE  
 FOR DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO  
 DISMISS, TRANSFER OR STAY AND  
 PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO STRIKE**

1 Having considered Plaintiffs' Administrative Motion for Continuance of the Briefing and  
 2 Hearing Schedule for Defendants' Motion to Dismiss, Transfer or Stay and Plaintiffs' Motion to  
 3 Strike, the opposition thereto by Defendants L. Daniel Egger, Software Rights Archive, LLC and  
 4 Site Technologies, Inc., and all supporting papers,

5 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED as follows: Plaintiffs' Administrative Motion is GRANTED.

6 The Court hereby enters the following briefing and hearing schedule for Defendants'  
 7 Motion to Dismiss, Transfer or Stay Under the First-To-File Rule, Under Rule 12(b)(2) For Lack  
 8 of Personal Jurisdiction, and Under Rule 12(b)(1) For Lack of Subject Matter Jurisdiction (Dkt.  
 9 No. 42) (hereinafter "Defendants' Motion to Dismiss, Transfer or Stay") and Plaintiffs' Motion to  
 10 Strike Site Technologies, Inc.'s Motion to Dismiss, Transfer or Stay (Dkt. No. 64) (hereinafter  
 11 "Plaintiffs' Motion to Strike"):

Event	Old Deadline	New Deadline
Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss, Transfer or Stay  Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion to Strike	May 1, 2009	30 days after the entry of an Order <u>granting</u> Plaintiffs' Cross-Motion to Compel  <u>or</u>  5 business days after the entry of an Order <u>denying</u> Plaintiffs' Cross-Motion to Compel
Defendants' Reply in Support of Their Motion to Dismiss, Transfer or Stay  Plaintiffs' Reply in Support of Their Motion to Strike	May 8, 2009	7 days after the filing of Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss, Transfer or Stay
Hearing on (i) Defendants' Motion to Dismiss, Transfer or Stay; and (ii) Plaintiffs' Motion to Strike	May 22, 2009	60 days after the entry of an Order <u>granting</u> Plaintiffs' Cross-Motion to Compel, <u>or</u> on the earliest date thereafter that is convenient for the Court  30 days after the entry of an Order <u>denying</u> Plaintiffs' Cross-Motion to Compel, <u>or</u> on the earliest date thereafter that is convenient for the Court

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

SO ORDERED this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2009.

\_\_\_\_\_  
THE HONORABLE RONALD M. WHYTE  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE