1	SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP David W. Carpenter*	WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE AND DORR LLP		
2	Bradford A. Berenson*	Randolph D. Moss*		
_	David L. Lawson*	Samir C. Jain # 181572		
3	Edward R. McNicholas*	Brian M. Boynton # 222193		
	Eric A. Shumsky #206164	Catherine M.A. Carroll*		
4	1501 K Street, N.W.	1875 Pennsylvania Ave, N.W.		
_	Washington, DC 20005	Washington, DC 20006		
5	Tel: (202) 736-8000	Tel.: (202) 663-6000		
6	Fax: (202) 736-8711 bberenson@sidley.com	Fax: (202) 663-6363 randolph.moss@wilmerhale.com		
7				
,	PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP	MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP		
8	Bruce A. Ericson #76342	Henry Weissmann # 132418		
	Jacob R. Sorensen #209134 Marc H. Axelbaum #209855	Susan R. Szabo # 155315 Aimee A. Feinberg # 223309		
9	50 Fremont Street	355 South Grand Avenue		
	Post Office Box 7880	35th Floor		
10	San Francisco, CA 94120	Los Angeles, CA 90071		
	Tel.: (415) 983-1000	Tel.: (213) 683-9100		
11	Fax: (415) 983-1200	Fax: (213) 683-5150		
12	bruce.ericson@pillsburylaw.com	henry.weissmann@mto.com		
13	Attorneys for the AT&T Defendants	Attorneys for the Verizon Defendants		
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
15	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
16	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION			
17		MDL Dkt. No. 06-1791-VRW		
18	In re:	STIPULATION AND [ <del>PROPOSED]</del>		
	NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY	ORDER EXTENDING RESPONSE DATE		
19	TELECOMMUNICATIONS RECORDS	FOR THE TELECOMMUNICATION		
20	LITIGATION	CARRIERS		
21				
	This Document Relates To:	[Civil L.R. 6-1(b); 7-12]		
22				
23	McMurray v. Verizon Communications, Inc., et al., No. 09-cv-0131-VRW	Courtroom: 6, 17 <sup>th</sup> Floor Judge: Hon. Vaughn R. Walker		
24				
25				
26				
27				
28	Stipulation And [Proposed] Ord	der Extending Response Date For The Telecommunication Carriers		

MDL No. 06-1791-VRW

1 RECITALS

- A. On July 10, 2008, Plaintiffs Rev. Joe McMurray, et al. ("McMurray Plaintiffs"), filed
- a complaint against Defendants Cellco Partnership; Verizon Communications Inc. ("Verizon");
- 4 BellSouth Corporation, AT&T Corporation, and AT&T Inc. (collectively, "the AT&T Defendants");
- 5 George W. Bush, "individually in his executive capacity and as representative of the United States
- of America," and the National Security Agency (collectively, the "Government Defendants") in the
- 7 United States District Court for the Southern District of New York. See McMurray v. Verizon
- 8 Communications, Inc., et al., No. 08-cv-006264 (S.D.N.Y.).
- 9 B. On December 19, 2008, the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation transferred
- 10 *McMurray* to this MDL.
- 11 C. On January 21, 2009, this Court approved (a) the parties' joint stipulation providing
- that no defendant need answer or otherwise respond to the complaint in *McMurray* until March 19,
- 13 2009, and (b) Plaintiffs' dismissal without prejudice of Defendant Cellco Partnership from the
- 14 action. See Dkt. No. 550 in 06-cv-01791.
- D. On February 6, 2009, the United States filed an administrative motion to treat
- 16 McMurray as subject to the United States' pending motion to dismiss all cases against the
- 17 telecommunication carrier defendants pursuant to § 802 of the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act
- 18 of 1978 Amendments Act of 2008, Pub. L. No. 110-261, 122 Stat. 2436 ("FISAAA" or "Act"). See
- 19 Dkt. No. 557 in 06-cv-01791. The Plaintiffs opposed this motion. See Dkt. 561.
- E. On February 19, 2009, this Court denied the United States' administrative motion and
- 21 provided that the "United States shall have until April 3, 2009 to plead or otherwise respond to the
- complaint in this individual action." Dkt. No. 565 in 06-cv-01791.
- F. The parties believe that it will promote efficiency if Verizon and the AT&T
- 24 Defendants (collectively the "Telecommunication Carrier Defendants") are granted the same
- 25 extension of time within which to answer or otherwise respond to the complaint in *McMurray*.
- 26 G. Neither Plaintiffs nor Defendants intend this stipulation to waive any procedural or
- 27 substantive defenses, rights or objections, including, but not limited to, the right to challenge
- 28 personal jurisdiction over any particular Defendant.

Stipulation And [Proposed] Order Extending Response Date For The Telecommunication Carriers MDL No. 06-1791-VRW

1	STIPULATION		
2	1. The Telecommunication Carrier Defendants, the Government Defendants, and the		
3	McMurray Plaintiffs, through their undersigned counsel, hereby stipulate that the		
4	Telecommunication Carrier Defendants shall have until April 3, 2009 to file an answer or otherwise		
5	respond to the complaint in McMurray v. Verizon Communication, Inc., et al., No. 09-cv-0131-		
6	VRW.		
7	2. By entering into this Stipulation, neither Plaintiffs nor Defendants waive any		
8	procedural or substantive defenses, rights or objections, including, but not limited to, the right to		
9	challenge personal jurisdiction over any particular Defendant.		
10	Dated: February 27, 2009.		
11	SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP DAVID W. CARPENTER		
12	DAVID W. CARLENTER  DAVID L. LAWSON  BRADFORD A. BERENSON		
13	EDWARD R. McNICHOLAS 1501 K Street, N.W.		
14	Washington, D.C. 20005		
15	PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP		
16	BRUCE A. ERICSON DAVID L. ANDERSON		
17	JACOB R. SORENSEN MARC H. AXELBAUM		
18	DANIEL J. RICHERT 50 Fremont Street		
	San Francisco, CA 94120-7880		
19			
20	By <u>/s/ Marc H. Axelbaum</u> Marc H. Axelbaum		
21	Attorneys for the AT&T Defendants		
22	Anorneys for the AT&T Defendants		
23			
24			
25			

Stipulation And [Proposed] Order Extending Response Date For The Telecommunication Carriers MDL No. 06-1791-VRW

\\

\\

26

27

28

1	<b>DECLARATION PURSUANT TO GENERAL ORDER 45, § X.B</b>	
2	I, MARC H. AXELBAUM, hereby declare pursuant to General Order 45, § X.B, that I have	
3	obtained the concurrence in the filing of this document from the other signatories listed below.	
4	I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing declaration is true and correct.	
5	Executed on February 27, 2009, at San Francisco, CA.	
6	/s/Marc H. Axelbaum	
7	Marc H. Axelbaum	
8	WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE AND DORR LLP	
9	Randolph D. Moss (pro hac vice) Samir C. Jain # 181572	
10	Brian M. Boynton # 222193 Catherine M.A. Carroll (pro hac vice)	
11	1875 Pennsylvania Ave, N.W. Washington, DC 20006	
12	Tel.: (202) 663-6000 Fax: (202) 663-6363	
13	randolph.moss@wilmerhale.com	
14	By: <u>/s/ Samir C. Jain per G.O. 45</u> Samir C. Jain	
15	MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP	
16	Henry Weissmann # 132418 Susan R. Szabo # 155315	
17	Aimee A. Feinberg # 223309 355 South Grand Avenue, 35th Floor	
18	Los Angeles, CA 90071 Tel.: (213) 683-9100	
19	Fax: (213) 683-5150 henry.weissmann@mto.com	
20	Attorneys for Verizon	
21		
22	Ry: /s/ Rruce I Afran per G O 45	
23	By: <u>/s/ Bruce I. Afran per G.O. 45</u> Bruce I. Afran	
24	BRUCE I. AFRAN, Esq. 10 Braeburn Drive	
25	Princeton, NJ 08540 Telephone: (609) 924-2075	
26		
27	MAYER LAW GROUP, LLC CARL J. MAYER	
28	66 Witherspoon Street, Suite 414	

Stipulation And [Proposed] Order Extending Response Date For The Telecommunication Carriers MDL No. 06-1791-VRW

1	Princeton, NJ 08542
2	Telephone: (609) 921-8025
2	Facsimile: (609) 921-6964
3	THE LAW OFFICES OF STEVEN E.
4	SCHWARZ, ESQ., LLC
	Steven E. Schwarz, Esq.
5	2461 W. Foster Ave., #1W
6	Chicago, IL 60625
	Telephone: (773) 837-6134
7	Facsimile: (773) 837-6134
8	Attorneys for the McMurray Plaintiffs
9	
	By: <u>/s/ Paul G. Freeborne per G.O. 45</u> Paul G. Freeborne
10	Paul G. Freeborne
11	MICHAEL F. HERTZ
12	Acting Assistant Attorney General
12	DOUGLAS N. LETTER
13	Terrorism Litigation Counsel
	JOSEPH H. HUNT
14	Director, Federal Programs Branch
15	ANTHONY J. COPPOLINO
	Special Litigation Counsel PAUL G. FREEBORNE
16	Trial Attorney
17	United States Department of Justice
17	Civil Division, Federal Programs Branch
18	20 Massachusetts Avenue, NW, Rm. 7142
10	Washington, D.C. 20001
19	Phone: (202) 305-9334—Fax: (202) 616-8460
20	Email: alexander.haas@usdoj.gov
21	Attorneys for the United States of America
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

1	<del>[PROPOSED]</del> ORDER
2	Pursuant to the foregoing Stipulation, and good cause appearing, the Court
3	ORDERS the following:
4	The Telecommunication Carrier Defendants shall have until April 3, 2009 to file an
5	answer or otherwise respond to the complaint in McMurray v. Verizon Communication,
6	Inc., et al., No. 09-cv-0131-VRW. By entering into this Stipulation, neither Plaintiffs nor
7	Defendants waive any procedural or substantive defenses, rights or objections, including,
8	but not limited to, the right to challenge personal jurisdiction over any particular Defendant.
9	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.
10	Dated: March 10, 2009.
11	TES DISTRICT
12	Wan Waughn R. Walker
13	Swited States Distriction of the States Dist
14	S IT IS SO ORD
15	Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z
16	Judge Vaughn R Walker
17	
18	PRINTER OF CENT
19	151 KIC
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	