Google Inc. et al v. Egger et al

Doc 74

1	The Court has considered the Motion to Quash Plaintiffs' 30(b)(6) Notice of Deposition
2	brought by Defendant Software Rights Archive, LLC ("SRA"), the Cross-Motion to Compel
3	Production of Documents brought by Plaintiffs Google Inc., AOL LLC, Yahoo! Inc., IAC Search
4	& Media, Inc., and Lycos, Inc. (collectively "Plaintiffs"), all supporting papers and responsive
5	pleadings, and the arguments of counsel heard on February 27, 2009.
6	GOOD CAUSE APPEARING THEREFOR, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED as follows:
7	1. Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel is GRANTED. SRA is ordered to produce
8	immediately all non-privileged documents responsive to each request in Plaintiffs' First and
9	Second Set of Requests for Production, and SRA may not withhold responsive documents on the
10	purported ground that these documents are irrelevant to personal jurisdiction.
11	2. SRA's Motion to Quash is DENIED. Defendant SRA shall produce a corporate
12	representative to testify on the topics in Plaintiffs' Notice of 30(b)(6) Deposition within 10 days of
13	the date of this order. Plaintiffs shall have the opportunity to submit supplemental briefing on
14	Defendants' motion to transfer, dismiss, or stay within 10 days of the deposition.
15	
16	
17	IT IS SO ORDERED.
18	
19	SO ORDERED this day of, 2009.
20	
21	THE HONORABLE RONALD M. WHYTE
22	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	