EXHIBIT D

1 JEFFREY FRANKLIN AIT,

of lawful age, the Witness herein, called for

Discovery Examination by the Defendants, being by

me first duly sworn, as hereinafter certified,

deposed and said as follows:

EXAMINATION

7 BY MR. WALSH:

2

3

4

5

6

8

15

- Q. Good morning, Mr. Ait.
- 9 A. Good morning.
- 10 Q. Mr. Ait, my name is Tom Walsh. We met a few
 11 minutes ago when you walked into the room. I
 12 represent Google and AOL in a lawsuit that's been
 13 brought by the Software Rights Archive in the
 14 Eastern District of Texas by my clients.

Do you understand that?

- 16 | A. I do.
- 17 Q. To my knowledge, you and I never met before today. Does that square with your recollection?
- 19 A. It does.
- 20 Q. Have you ever given a deposition before?
- 21 A. I have.
- 22 Q. Can you tell me, to the best of your ability,
- even if it's an approximation, actually how many
- 24 times you believe you have been deposed?
- 25 A. 15, 20 times.

- alleged wrong doings you would have allegedly undertaken while at the company?
- 3 A. No.
- Q. Companies may have gone under and as a result you may have left the company but it had nothing to
- do with any of your performance?
- 7 A. No.
- 8 Q. We get now to the time at DeltaPoint. How long
 9 were you at DeltaPoint and then the company that
 10 it became, Site?
- 11 A. Up and through its bankruptcy and dissolution.
- 12 O. In 2004?
- 13 A. I believe that's true.
- 14 Q. So during that entire time you were the CEO of

 DeltaPoint which later became Site?
- 16 A. Once we began the bankruptcy proceedings I became the Responsible Party not necessarily the CEO.
- 18 Q. Is it fair to say that your CEO duties ended when the bankruptcy occurred?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. And that's the duties as the CEO of Site?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. I may ask some follow-up questions like that.
- 24 I'm just trying to make it clear for the record.
- You are doing a very good job of communicating

1 with me, so I'm not at all confusing about that.

Is it fair to say you ceased being CEO -I'm going to ask you about Site and then Slash,
you ceased being CEO when you became the
Responsible Person when the bankruptcy was filed
for Site; correct?

7 A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

- 8 Q. You ceased being the CEO of Slash when Slash was
 9 merged into Site about the 2000 time frame?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Do you remember when, I'm sure we have it, but do
- 12 you recall generally when the bankruptcy was
- filed by Site?
- 14 A. 1999, 2000 Februaryish time frame, I believe.
- 15 Q. So the bankruptcy took several years to conclude?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. I have never been involved in a bankruptcy, I'll
- confess to you, at least not directly involved.
- When you become a Responsible Person for a
- 20 bankruptcy, does that basically become a
- 21 full-time job?
- 22 A. It does not.
- 23 Q. It's not occupying all of your working hours?
- 24 A. It is not.
- 25 Q. So once the bankruptcy occurred for Site and you

1 may be required by the Bankruptcy Court in 2 connection with the Final Decree." 3 I read that correctly; correct? 4 A. Yes. 5 0. "The responsible person shall be discharged from 6 all duties and responsibilities of the plan upon 7 the issuance of the final decree"; correct? 8 Α. Sure. 9 Q. And you know for a fact, Mr. Ait, that the final 10 decree has been issued in the Bankruptcy Court? 11 Α. It has or it is my belief that it has. 12 0. It's my belief that it has as well. I just don't 13 have it in front of me to put it in front of you. 14 But you would agree with me pursuant to 15 this plan of reorganization in Exhibit 21, upon 16 the final decree being signed by the Bankruptcy 17 Court, you ceased to be the Responsible Person 18 for Site; correct? 19 That's true. Α. 20 I said I didn't have it handy but my colleague 21 does. 22 Α. Okay. 23 24 (Thereupon, Ait Exhibit No. 23 25 was marked)

1

Q. Mr. Ait, I'm handing you what's been marked as
Deposition Exhibit No. 23. Do you have that
handy in front of you now?

- 5 A. I do.
- Q. Would you agree with me, Mr. Ait, that the Final
 Decree was in fact signed on January 6, 2004 by
 the United States Bankruptcy Court for the San
 Jose Division of the United States Bankruptcy
 Court in the Northern District of California.
- 11 A. Uh-huh.
- 12 Q. You agree; correct?
- 13 A. I agree.
- Q. So pursuant to Paragraph F of Exhibit 21, the
 Responsible Person shall be discharged from all
 duties and responsibilities of the plan upon the
 issuance of the Final Decree, your role as
 Responsible Person ended on January 6th of 2004;
 correct, Mr. Ait?
- MR. KAPLAN: Objection to form.
- 21 Q. You may answer, sir.
- 22 A. I assume.
- Q. Mr. Ait, it took almost five years for this
 bankruptcy to be closed or from sometime in 1999
 until January of 2004, so almost five years;

- is that someone else's writing?
- 2 A. It's not mine.
- Q. So when I said the writing on the document, I was meaning on the actual Secretary of State form,
- 5 you understood that; right?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. And you said to Victor Hardy, "Please accept this
 8 e-mail as my permission to remove the company
 9 from its suspended status by executing and
 10 putting into effect the attached document"; is
 11 that correct, Mr. Ait?
- 12 A. I did send that e-mail, yes.
- Q. What authority did you believe you had on July 30th of 2008 to do this for Site?
- 15 A. The fact that the company was in a suspended
 16 state and that the dissolution that I had
 17 previously been told had been affected was not
 18 actually affected so the corporation was not
 19 dissolved.
- Q. But, Mr. Ait, your duties as a Responsible Person had ended back in January of 2004; correct? We have already seen that today?
- 23 MR. KAPLAN: Objection to form.
- A. I didn't even think about that when I did this.

 My whole goal was to try to comply with the

Judge's Order to dissolve the company and I was as surprised as anyone to find out it was in a suspended state and not a dissolved state.

Q. Has it been dissolved today?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

24

25

A. I have been in contact with the Franchise Tax

Board and I'm trying to file those papers with

the state of California.

Federally it has been dissolved and I don't know whether it was my legal team who didn't do the right thing or whether the state of California has not got all the right paperwork in place.

- 13 Q. So, as of today, to your knowledge, Site has not been dissolved?
- 15 A. It has not as of this date because there is

 16 certain tax implications that I do not have money

 17 to pay since there is nothing in the company?
- 18 Q. When you say it has been federally dissolved,
 19 what did you mean by that?
- 20 A. It is my understanding that upon filing a final tax document with the federal government, the federal government then refers to it as being final.

That final tax document was filed in the state of California. However, the state of

Q. What did Mr. Hardy tell you when he said he was going to send you this document?

MR. KAPLAN: Just a moment.

MR. WALSH: This is August of 2008.

MR. KAPLAN: Fine. Go ahead.

- A. He just said, as a matter of support, that he would ask me to sign the assignment of patents if that is what I had actually intended to happen just to clarify that it was my intent for that assignment to have taken place.
- 11 Q. Did you check -- do you see Schedule A on that document?
- 13 A. I did.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- 14 Q. You checked those patent numbers against some
 15 independent record to make sure those are the
 16 right patents numbers to include?
- 17 A. I did not.
- 18 Q. Did you check with anyone to make sure those were
 19 the patent numbers that should be listed on
 20 Schedule A?
- 21 A. I did not.
- 22 Q. You took Mr. Hardy's word for it that those were the right patents to be listed?
- 24 | A. I did.
- 25 Q. You signed this document, Deposition Exhibit 29,

- as the chief executive officer of Site; correct?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. But I think you agreed with me earlier, didn't
- 4 you, Mr. Ait, that you ceased being chief
- 5 executive officer of Site when it went into
- 6 bankruptcy?
- 7 A. That's true.
- 8 Q. You also signed it as the president and chief
- 9 executive officer of Slash; correct?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And you told me earlier that Slash ceased to
- exist when it merged into DeltaPoint in 2000;
- 13 correct?
- 14 A. That's true.
- 15 | Q. On the second page of this document, Mr. Ait --
- let's start on the first page.
- 17 You see about the sixth Whereas clause
- 18 down?
- 19 A. "Whereas the Site entities have ratified"?
- 20 Q. Yes. This is the 2005 assignment that you saw
- 21 for the first time when Mr. Wolff sent it to you
- 22 in July of 2008; correct?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. Give me one second, Mr. Ait. I want to make sure
- 25 I'm not getting confused, which I may be.

agreed to ratify it.

- Q. Would you agree with me, Mr. Ait, that you simply did not have the authority in August of 2008, on behalf of Site or Slash, to do any ratification of any of the prior assignment to Mr. Egger or purported assignment to Mr. Egger since your duties as Responsible Person had ended almost four years ago?
- A. Perhaps. I mean, I don't know that to be true or not. Since the company was not dissolved, I don't know what my Responsible Party position is.
- Q. Don't you think it would be a good idea, to the extent you are going to be involved with any sort of further action with respect to these, for you, as the former Responsible Person, to go into the Bankruptcy Court and see what the Bankruptcy Court has to say about this?

MR. KAPLAN: Objection to form.

- 19 A. Perhaps.
 - Q. Let me finish up a line of questioning here in just a second and then we can take a break. If you could go a couple more minute, Mr. Ait.

(Thereupon, Ait Exhibit No. 30 was marked)

	1 STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA :
	2 : SS: CERTIFICATE
	3 COUNTY OF HORRY :
'	I, Rita Rodriguez, a Notary Public for South
1	5 Carolina, do hereby certify that the within named
	6 witness, , was by me first duly sworn to
1	testify the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the
1	truth in the cause aforesaid:
1	That the testimony then given was reduced by me
10	the presence of said witness,
11	distributed onto a computer under my
12	and chartener to regoing is a true and correct
13	section of the testimony so given as aforesaid.
14	a do runcher certify that this deposition was
15	and place as specified in the
16	deposition, and that I am not a relative,
17	or otherwise
18	and the baccome of this action.
19	in withdeb whereof, I have hereunto set my hand
20	my dear of office at myrtle Beach, South
21	Carolina this day of ,
22	
00	Rita Rodrigues
23	RITA RODRIGUEZ, Notary Public
24	for South Carolina.
24	
^ -	My Commission expires October 4, 2010.
25	