

Feedback  
SI

1 ROBERT C. SCHUBERT S.B.N. 62684  
WILLEM F. JONCKHEER S.B.N. 178748  
2 KIMBERLY A. KRALOWEC S.B.N. 163158  
SCHUBERT JONCKHEER KOLBE & KRALOWEC LLP  
3 Three Embarcadero Center, Suite 1650  
San Francisco, CA 94111  
4 Telephone: (415) 788-4220  
Facsimile: (415) 788-4220

**FILED**

JUL 17 2008

RICHARD W. WIEKING  
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

*Attorneys for Plaintiff*

**ADR**

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

**SAN JOSE DIVISION**

**JW**

11 *don*  
12 HAL K. LEVITTE, Individually and On  
13 Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,

**008 03369**  
Case No.

**RS**

Plaintiff,

**CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT FOR  
VIOLATION OF CAL. BUS. & PROF.  
CODE §§ 17200 ET SEQ. AND 17500 ET  
SEQ. AND UNJUST ENRICHMENT**

v.

16 GOOGLE, INC., a Delaware corporation,

17 Defendant.

**JURY TRIAL DEMANDED**

19  
20 Plaintiff alleges, individually and on behalf of the class described below, and upon  
21 information and belief, except as to allegations specifically pertaining to himself, which are based on  
22 personal knowledge, as follows:

23 **SUMMARY OF CLAIMS**

24 1. This is a class action against defendant Google, Inc. ("Google") for violation of  
25 Business & Professions Code Sections 17200 and 17500 and unjust enrichment in connection with  
26 Google's AdWords program for Internet advertisers. Plaintiff alleges that Google has concealed  
27 from and/or misrepresented material information to plaintiff and the class concerning the Google  
28

SCHUBERT JONCKHEER KOLBE & KRALOWEC LLP  
Three Embarcadero Center, Suite 1650  
San Francisco, CA 94111  
(415) 788-4220

1 AdWords program. Google has damaged plaintiff and the class by improperly charging them for  
2 advertising placed by Google on low-quality parked domain and error page websites.

3 **PARTIES**

4 2. Plaintiff Hal K. Levitte is a resident of Suffolk County, Massachusetts and citizen of  
5 Massachusetts. Plaintiff is the principal of Levitte Law Group, a law firm located in Boston,  
6 Massachusetts. During the class period, plaintiff ran an advertising campaign called "Levitte  
7 International" for his law firm, using the Google AdWords advertising service.

8 3. Defendant Google is a Delaware corporation doing business and headquartered in  
9 Mountain View, California.

10 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

11 4. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §  
12 1332(d) in that this is a civil action filed under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23 and plaintiff and  
13 other members of the class are citizens of a state different from Google, the aggregate amount in  
14 controversy exceeds \$5,000,000, exclusive of interest and costs, and there are more than 100 class  
15 members. 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2), (6).

16 5. Venue is proper in the Northern District of California pursuant to 28 U.S.C.  
17 § 1391(a) in that: (1) Google resides in this judicial district; (2) a substantial part of the events or  
18 omissions giving rise to the claims asserted herein occurred in this judicial district; and (3) Google is  
19 subject to jurisdiction in the Northern District of California.

20 **FACTS**

21 6. Google's free Internet search engine is the most widely used search engine in the  
22 world. In 2007, Google's total revenue was approximately \$16.6 billion, 99% of which was derived  
23 from advertising services.

24 7. Google offers two basic advertising and placement programs—Google AdWords and  
25 Google AdSense. Google AdWords is for advertisers, such as plaintiff and the class, who wish to  
26 place ads on Google and other sites within Google's network. Google AdSense is for website  
27 publishers who wish to permit Google AdWords advertisers' ads to appear on their sites.

28 8. Google describes Google AdWords as follows:

1       **Google AdWords** is a quick and simple way to advertise on Google, regardless of  
2 your budget. AdWords ads are displayed along with search results on Google, as well  
3 as on search and content sites in the growing Google Network, including AOL,  
4 EarthLink, HowStuffWorks, & Blogger. With searches on Google and page views on  
5 the Google Network each day, your Google AdWords ads reach a vast audience.

6       9.       Under the Google AdWords program, advertisers select and bid on particular internet  
7 search terms. When an internet user employs Google to search for that term, Google displays the  
8 ads of its customers on the Google webpage that returns the search results. The more an advertiser  
9 bids for a particular search term, the better placement that advertiser generally receives. Advertisers  
10 pay Google based on the number of Internet users who click on their ads.

11       10.       The Google AdWords program also allows advertisers to have their ads placed on  
12 third-party sites through Google's AdSense program. Google describes Google AdSense as follows:

13       **Google AdSense** is a fast and easy way for website publishers of all sizes to display  
14 relevant, unobtrusive Google ads on their website's content pages and earn money.  
15 Because the ads are related to what your users are looking for on your site, you'll  
16 finally have a way to both monetize and enhance your content pages. It's also a way  
17 for web site publishers to provide Google search to their site users, and to earn  
18 money by displaying Google ads on the search results pages.

19       11.       Under the Google AdSense program, website publishers can have subject matter  
20 related ads displayed on their websites. Google AdSense is comprised of three separate networks:  
21 AdSense for Search, AdSense for Content, and AdSense for Domains.

22       12.       AdSense for Search refers to websites that include the Google search function, and  
23 that display ads alongside the results of Google searches conducted on the website. AdSense for  
24 Search is also known as Google's "Search Network."

25       13.       AdSense for Content includes third-party websites that display ads alongside their  
26 content, as described above. AdSense for Content is also known as Google's "Content Network."

27       14.       AdSense for Domains includes inactive, parked sites with little or no content, but that  
28 display ads related to their domain name.

      15.       AdSense for Domains is only available to domain name registrars and holders of  
large domain portfolios, and is defined by Google as follows:

1        **Google AdSense for Domains** allows domain name registrars and large domain  
2 name holders to provide valuable and relevant content on their parked pages. Parked  
3 domain pages generally have no content; however, by adding targeted ads, we hope  
4 to help users find what they are looking for. Using Google's semantic technology to  
5 analyze and understand the meaning of the domain names, AdSense for domains  
6 delivers targeted, conceptually related advertisements to parked domain pages to  
7 improve the user experience on these pages.

6        16.        Finally, Google has a little-publicized advertising program called AdSense for Errors.  
7 AdSense for Errors places ads on unregistered web pages or malformed search queries incorrectly  
8 entered into a web browser's address bar instead of a search engine. In place of generating an error  
9 page, the resulting web page includes ads that are related to address of the page. Google lumps  
10 these error page ads into its Content and Search Networks.

11        17.        Most AdWords and AdSense transactions follow a pay-per-click model. Pursuant to  
12 these programs, Google places ads on its own website and on third-party sites. Each time an ad is  
13 clicked, the site owner is compensated, and the advertiser is charged. Third-party site owners  
14 receive a fraction of the amount advertisers are charged, and Google takes the rest.

15        18.        Google marketed AdWords by emphasizing the high quality of sites on which  
16 AdWords ads will be placed, and did not disclose Google's practice of placing ads on parked  
17 domains and error pages.

18        19.        Google's AdWords website, which potential customers viewed before clicking the  
19 "Start Now" button to sign up for the service, includes the following statement:

20        **Expand your reach through the content network**

21        With hundreds of thousands of high-quality websites, news pages, and blogs that  
22 partner with Google to display AdWords ads, the Google content network can reach  
23 users all over the web to help you drive conversions. Choose from text, image, and  
24 video formats to communicate your message.

24        20.        Google hosts an AdWords help page titled "Where will my ads appear?" that states:

25        **The search network**

26        Your ads may appear alongside or above search results, as part of a results page as a  
27 user navigates through a site's directory, or on other relevant search pages. Our  
28 global search network includes Google Product Search and Google Groups and the  
following entities: AOL, Netscape Netcenter, Earthlink, CompuServe,  
Shopping.com, AT&T Worldnet, Ask.com.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**The content network partners**

Our extensive content network of websites and products includes these partners: About, Lycos, The New York Times, InfoSpace, Reed Business, howstuffworks.com, business.com, foodnetwork.com, HGTV.

**Ads on the content network**

AdWords ads on content sites are targeted to the content and URL of each page. In the screenshot below you can see how the ads are displayed on a content page and that the ads are directly relevant to the content of the page.

21. Without their knowledge or consent, advertisers who joined Google's AdWords program have had their ads placed on pages contained in AdSense for Domains, or parked sites, and AdSense for Errors, or error pages. The quality of these sites as an advertising medium is substantially lower than sites on the rest of Google's network.

22. Specifically, these websites result in a lower conversion rate than standard search traffic or web sites with actual content on them. The conversion rate is defined as the number of people who take action based on a click (be it placing an item in a shopping cart, signing a petition, or contacting a business), expressed as a percentage of total clicks.

23. Plaintiff used Google AdWords to conduct his "Levitte International" campaign for his law practice. From approximately June 1, 2007 to August 17, 2007 in the "Levitte International" campaign, plaintiff received 202,528 impressions (or times his ad appeared in a web browser in response to a search) from parked domain ads, 668 clicks, and zero conversions. Over the same period, plaintiff received 1,009 impressions from error page ads, 25 clicks, and zero conversions. Domain and error page ads accounted for approximately 16.2% of all clicks on plaintiff's ad during his campaign, yet did not result in a single person completing the online form on the site, or contacting plaintiff by phone or email.

24. Plaintiff spent \$136.11 for advertising on parked domains and error pages, or approximately 15.3% of his total campaign costs of \$887.67, for which he received no benefit.

25. Google includes millions of parked domains and error pages that have little to no content, and that result in practically zero conversions, in both its Content Network and its Search Network.

1           26.     Given the low quality of these parked domain and error page ads, advertisers would  
2 not want to spend their advertising budgets on these distribution networks. However, Google  
3 designed its network in such a way that it was virtually impossible to opt out of the AdSense for  
4 Domains and/or AdSense for Errors programs.

5           27.     Including parked domains and error pages within the Search and Content Networks is  
6 the opposite of what AdWords advertisers like plaintiff expected. Google's practice of including  
7 parked domain and error pages within the Search Network is misleading, since no actual searches  
8 are performed on these pages. Likewise, Google's practice of including parked domains and error  
9 pages within the Content Network is also misleading, since most of these sites include little or no  
10 content.

11           28.     Furthermore, even if an advertiser discovered that the parked domain and error pages  
12 were included within these other networks, the advertiser would have to opt out of both the Search  
13 Network and the Content Network to prevent its ads from being placed on low-quality parked  
14 domains and error pages. However, doing so would also prevent the ads from being displayed on  
15 the websites of any of Google's high-quality partners, such as AOL and The New York Times.

16           29.     For each advertising campaign, Google provides a settings page that allows  
17 advertisers to decide which networks on which to show their ads. Choices include Google Search,  
18 Search Network, and Content Network. There is no setting on this screen to allow advertisers to  
19 opt-out of the domains or error networks, and until March 2008, there was no method anywhere in  
20 Google's user interface to opt out of the domains or errors networks.

21           30.     When customers complained to Google that their ads were being shown on low-  
22 quality parked domains and error pages, Google dismissed these complaints, claimed the clicks were  
23 legitimate, and refused to refund customers for the charges.

24           31.     For example, in response to plaintiff's demand for a refund for amounts charged in  
25 connection with parked domain and error page clicks, Google declined to refund plaintiff for clicks  
26 originating from parked domains or error pages, which accounted for 16.4% of the total clicks  
27 during plaintiff's campaign. In an email from AdWords support to plaintiff, Google wrote, "My  
28

1 team verified that the clicks you have been charged for appear to fit a pattern of normal activity.”

2 The email went on to explain that clicks on the Content and Search Networks were all normal.

3 32. On March 6, 2008, Google posted a message on its AdWords blog announcing a  
4 change to its policy. Prior to that announcement, Google offered a “Site Exclusion Tool” that  
5 allowed advertisers to identify websites on which they did not wish Google to place their ads.  
6 However, the “Site Exclusion Tool” did not allow advertisers to opt out of parked domains or error  
7 pages. Their only options were to opt out of the entire Content Network or the entire Search  
8 Network. The site exclusion tool was later updated and renamed the “Site and Category exclusion  
9 tool.”

10 33. After this change in Google’s policy, by clicking on a well-hidden “page types” tab  
11 within the tool, advertisers could now see summarized click data for “Error Pages” and “Parked  
12 Domains,” and for the first time, exclude their ads from appearing on these sites. However, instead  
13 of including this option on the main settings page for each campaign, Google instead placed this opt-  
14 out button four clicks deep within its interface, where many advertisers would not notice it.

15 34. On its marketing pages, which are shown to prospective customers prior to signing  
16 up for AdWords, Google states the following under “Reach More Customers”:

17 **Measure and optimize your results**

18 With the Placement Performance Report, you have visibility into where all your ads  
19 appear. Review your ad’s performance on a site-by-site basis to see impression, click,  
20 cost, and conversion data, and use this data to identify well-performing sites to target  
21 more aggressively and low-value placements that require content optimization or  
22 exclusion.

23 35. Google’s Placement Performance Reports provided limited information only on sites  
24 included in Google’s Content Network. No such reports have ever been available for Google’s  
25 Search Network. What’s more, Google did not automatically provide these reports to its AdWords  
26 customers. Rather, the reports would be generated only for AdWords customers who made a special  
27 effort to locate the appropriate page on Google’s site and have the report generated. Moreover,  
28 while the reports did provide an incomplete list of some of the sites where an ad appeared, it  
concealed the domain names, IP addresses, and associated performance data of parked domains and

1 error pages on which an ad was placed by aggregating this data into two lump-sum line items,  
2 among hundreds of line items on a typical report, called "Domain ads" and "Error page ads." The  
3 meaning of those line-items was not explained in the report, nor did Google disclose the low quality  
4 of those pages or the low conversion rates of advertising placed on those pages. Before June 12,  
5 2007, when Google first made the Placement Performance Report tool available for its Content  
6 Network, not even this limited, aggregated data on "Domain ads" and "Error page ads" was  
7 available to AdWords customers. At no time did Google ever disclose to customers contemplating  
8 an AdWords purchase that low-quality parked domain and error pages were included in both its  
9 Content Network and its Search Network.

10 36. In addition to failing to disclose that ads would be placed on parked domains and  
11 error pages, and preventing advertisers from opting out of placement on these pages prior to March  
12 2008, Google also concealed the individual addresses of the parked sites and error pages on which it  
13 placed AdWords ads. This policy was at odds with Google's marketing claims about what data it  
14 makes available to its users.

15 37. When Google originally launched the Placement Performance Report tool on June  
16 12, 2007, it posted a message to its official AdWords blog stating: "Currently, AdSense for domains  
17 statistics are collectively reported, but we are working to give you site-by-site level statistics soon."

18 38. Without a site-by-site listing of which parked domains and error pages their ad was  
19 placed on, advertisers could not adjust their advertising budgets on these sites or exclude some or all  
20 parked domains and error pages from receiving ad placement. By aggregating this information,  
21 Google effectively prohibited advertisers from utilizing another means of opting out of domain or  
22 error page ads using the AdWords interface.

23 39. On May 2, 2008, Google posted a message titled "Where did I park?" on its  
24 AdWords Agency Blog announcing that it had finally changed the policy and was providing site-by-  
25 site data for parked domains.

26 40. Katharine Allan of Google's Agency Team wrote: "We recently added a new level of  
27 detail to Placement Performance reports to answer this question. Placement Performance reports  
28 give site-by-site performance metrics for the sites where your ads appeared within Google's content



1 network. Now, rather than seeing one consolidated entry for all parked domains in your reports,  
2 you'll see separate rows displaying performance statistics for individual parked domains.”

3 41. Prior to May 2, 2008, Google failed to disclose domain names, IP addresses, and  
4 associated performance data of parked domain and error pages included in its Content Network.  
5 Google has never disclosed this data for parked domain and error pages included in its Search  
6 Network.

### 7 CLASS ALLEGATIONS

8 42. Plaintiff brings this nationwide class action on behalf of himself and the class defined  
9 as follows:

10 All persons or entities located within the United States who, within four years of the filing of  
11 this complaint, had an AdWords account with Google and who were charged for  
12 advertisements appearing on parked domain and/or error page websites.

13 43. Excluded from the class are governmental entities, defendant, any entity in which  
14 defendant has a controlling interest, and defendant's officers, directors, affiliates, legal  
15 representatives, employees, co-conspirators, successors, subsidiaries, and assigns.

16 44. Also excluded from the class are any judges, justices, or judicial officers presiding  
17 over this matter and the members of their immediate families and judicial staff.

18 45. Upon information and belief, plaintiff alleges that the total number of class members  
19 is at least in the hundreds of thousands and that the members of the class are geographically  
20 dispersed across the United States. Consequently, joinder of the individual class members would be  
21 impracticable.

22 46. There are many questions of law and fact common to the representative plaintiff and  
23 the proposed class, and those questions substantially predominate over any individualized questions  
24 that may affect individual class members. Common questions of fact and law include, but are not  
25 limited to, the following:

- 26 • Whether Google's representations regarding AdWords were false or misleading.
- 27 • Whether Google, in violation of applicable law and its own stated policy, charged  
28 plaintiff and the members of the class for ads that were placed on parked domain and  
error page websites;

- 1 • Whether Google engaged in unfair, unlawful and/or deceptive business practices;
- 2 • Whether Google failed to disclose material facts about its Adwords program; and
- 3 • Whether or not plaintiff and the members of the class have been damaged by the
- 4 wrongs complained of herein, and if so, the measure of those damages and the nature
- 5 and extent of other relief that should be provided.

6 47. Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the members of the class. Plaintiff and  
7 all class members have been similarly affected by defendant's common course of conduct.

8 48. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately represent and protect the interests of the class.  
9 Plaintiff has retained counsel with substantial experience in prosecuting complex and class action  
10 litigation. Plaintiff and his counsel are committed to vigorously prosecuting this action on behalf of  
11 the class, and have the financial resources to do so. Neither Plaintiff nor his counsel has any  
12 interests adverse to those of the proposed class.

13 49. Plaintiff and the members of the class have suffered, and will continue to suffer, harm  
14 as a result of defendant's unlawful and wrongful conduct. A class action is superior to other  
15 available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the present controversy, because joinder  
16 of all members of the class would be impractical.

17 50. Even if individual class members had the resources to pursue individual litigation, it  
18 would be unduly burdensome to the courts in which the individual litigation would proceed.  
19 Individual litigation would cause delay and undue expense to all parties affected by defendant's  
20 common course of conduct.

21 51. The class action device will allow a single court to provide the benefits of unitary  
22 adjudication, judicial economy, and the fair and equitable handling of all class members' claims in a  
23 single forum. The conduct of this action as a class action will conserve the resources of the parties  
24 and of the judicial system, and will protect the rights of the class members.

25 52. Furthermore, for many, if not most, class members, a class action is the only feasible  
26 mechanism for legal redress for the harm alleged.

1 53. Adjudication of individual class members' claims against the defendant would, as a  
2 practical matter, be dispositive of the interests of other class members not parties to the adjudication  
3 and could substantially impair or impede the ability of other class members to protect their interests.

4 **FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF**  
5 **(VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA BUSINESS & PROFESSIONS CODE**  
6 **SECTION 17200 ET SEQ. — UNFAIR CONDUCT)**

7 54. Plaintiff realleges the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein and, to the  
8 extent necessary, pleads this cause of action in the alternative.

9 55. Plaintiff has standing to pursue this claim as plaintiff has suffered injury in fact and  
10 has lost money or property as a result of Google's actions as set forth above.

11 56. Class members have suffered injury in fact and have lost money or property as a  
12 result of Google's actions as set forth above.

13 57. Google's actions as alleged in this complaint constitute "unfair" conduct within the  
14 meaning of California Business and Professions Code sections 17200 *et seq.*

15 58. Google's business practices, as alleged herein, are "unfair" because they offend  
16 established public policy and/or are immoral, unethical, oppressive, unscrupulous and/or  
17 substantially injurious to its customers. Google's conduct is "unfair" because Google fails to  
18 disclose that customers' ads are placed on parked domain and error page sites, and Google does not  
19 provide an effective means for customers to exclude their ads from appearing on these sites. In fact,  
20 until March 2008, Google provided no means at all for customers to exclude their ads from  
21 appearing on these sites.

22 59. As a result of Google's "unfair" conduct, plaintiff and members of the class expended  
23 money on advertising that they would not otherwise have spent, and received less value for their  
24 advertising dollars than they should have received.

25 60. Google's wrongful business practices alleged herein constituted, and continue to  
26 constitute, a continuing course of unfair competition since Google continues to market and sell its  
27 products in a manner that offends public policy and/or in a fashion that is immoral, unethical,  
28 oppressive, unscrupulous and/or substantially injurious to its customers

1 61. Pursuant to Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code section 17203, plaintiff and the class seek an  
2 order of this court enjoining Google from continuing to engage in unlawful, unfair, and/or deceptive  
3 business practices and any other act prohibited by law, including those acts set forth in this  
4 complaint.

5 62. Plaintiff and the class also seek an order requiring Google to make full restitution of  
6 all moneys it has wrongfully obtained from plaintiff and the class, along with all other relief  
7 allowable under Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code sections 17200 *et seq.*

8 **SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF**  
9 **(VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA BUSINESS & PROFESSIONS CODE**  
10 **SECTION 17200 ET SEQ. — FRAUDULENT CONDUCT)**

11 63. Plaintiff realleges the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein and, to the  
12 extent necessary, pleads this cause of action in the alternative.

13 64. Plaintiff has standing to pursue this claim as plaintiff has suffered injury in fact and  
14 has lost money or property as a result of Google's actions as set forth above.

15 65. Class members have suffered injury in fact and have lost money or property as a  
16 result of Google's actions as set forth above.

17 66. Google's actions as alleged in this complaint constitute "fraudulent" conduct within  
18 the meaning of California Business and Professions Code sections 17200 *et seq.*

19 67. Google's business practices, as alleged herein, are "fraudulent" because they are  
20 likely to deceive consumers, including plaintiff and members of the class. Google fails to disclose  
21 all material information to AdWords advertisers concerning the types of sites on which their ads will  
22 be displayed, and affirmatively conceals the fact that low-quality parked domains and error pages  
23 are included in both its Content Network and its Search Network. Google failed to disclose to  
24 advertisers, including plaintiff and the class, that, until March 2008, they would have no way to  
25 exclude their ads from appearing on these sites. Google continues to fail to provide an effective and  
26 adequate method of excluding ads from these sites, or to adequately disclose that any method of  
27 excluding ads from these sites exists at all.  
28

1 68. As a result of Google’s “fraudulent” conduct, plaintiff and members of the class  
2 expended money on advertising that they would not otherwise have spent, and received less value  
3 for their advertising dollars than they should have received.

4 69. Google’s wrongful business practices alleged herein constituted, and continue to  
5 constitute, a continuing course of unfair competition since Google continues to market and sell its  
6 products in a manner that is likely to deceive customers.

7 70. Pursuant to Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code section 17203, plaintiff and the class seek an  
8 order of this court enjoining Google from continuing to engage in “fraudulent” business practices  
9 and any other act prohibited by law, including those acts set forth in this complaint.

10 71. Plaintiff and the class also seek an order requiring Google to make full restitution of  
11 all moneys it has wrongfully obtained from plaintiff and the class, along with all other relief  
12 allowable under Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code sections 17200 *et seq.*

13 **THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF**  
14 **(VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA BUSINESS & PROFESSIONS CODE**  
**SECTION 17200 ET SEQ. — UNLAWFUL CONDUCT)**

15 72. Plaintiff realleges the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein and, to the  
16 extent necessary, pleads this cause of action in the alternative.

17 73. Plaintiff has standing to pursue this claim as plaintiff has suffered injury in fact and  
18 has lost money or property as a result of Google’s actions as set forth above.

19 74. Class members have suffered injury in fact and have lost money or property as a  
20 result of Google’s actions as set forth above.

21 75. Google’s actions as alleged in this complaint constitute an “unlawful” practice within  
22 the meaning of California Business and Professions Code sections 17200 *et seq.* because Google’s  
23 actions are “unfair” and “fraudulent,” as alleged above, and because they violate California Business  
24 and Professions Code sections 17500 *et seq.*, which proscribe false advertising, as alleged below.

25 76. As a result of Google’s “unlawful” conduct, plaintiff and members of the class  
26 expended money on advertising that they would not otherwise have spent, and received less value  
27 for their advertising dollars than they would have received.

28

1           77. Pursuant to Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code section 17203, plaintiff and the class seek an  
2 order of this court enjoining Google from continuing to engage in unlawful business practices,  
3 including those acts set forth in this complaint.

4           78. Plaintiff and the class also seek an order requiring Google to make full restitution of  
5 all moneys it has wrongfully obtained from plaintiff and the class, along with all other relief  
6 allowable under Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code sections 17200 *et seq.*

7  
8                                   **FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF**  
9                                   **(VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA BUSINESS & PROFESSIONS CODE**  
10                                   **SECTION 17500 ET SEQ.)**

11           79. Plaintiff realleges the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein and, to the  
12 extent necessary, pleads this cause of action in the alternative.

13           80. Plaintiff brings this claim for relief on behalf of himself and the class.

14           81. Google engaged in advertising and marketing to the public and offered for sale  
15 advertising services on a nationwide basis, including in California.

16           82. Google engaged in the advertising and marketing alleged herein with an intent to  
17 directly or indirectly induce the sale of advertising services to customers like plaintiff.

18           83. Google's advertisements and marketing representations regarding the characteristics  
19 of AdWords and the placement of its customers' ads were false, misleading and deceptive as set  
20 forth more fully above.

21           84. At the time it made and disseminated the statements alleged herein, Google knew or  
22 should have known that the statements were untrue or misleading, and acted in violation of Cal. Bus.  
23 & Prof. Code §§ 17500 *et seq.*

24           85. Plaintiff seeks restitution, injunctive relief, and all other relief allowable under Cal.  
25 Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17500 *et seq.*

26                                   **FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF**  
27                                   **(UNJUST ENRICHMENT)**

28           86. Plaintiff realleges the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein and, to the  
extent necessary, pleads this cause of action in the alternative.

1 87. Through the actions described above, Google has received money belonging to  
2 plaintiff and the class through fees collected from ads placed on parked domains and error websites,  
3 even though reasonable customers would have believed that they were not paying for and would not  
4 be charged for ads placed on such websites.

5 88. Google has also reaped substantial profit by collecting and retaining revenue from  
6 plaintiff and the class generated through clicks generated through ads that were displayed on such  
7 websites.

8 89. Google has received money belonging to plaintiff and the class resulting from clicks  
9 on these ads.

10 90. As a direct and proximate result of Google's misconduct as set forth above, Google  
11 has been unjustly enriched.

12 91. Google should not be permitted to keep sums that Google has unjustly received as a  
13 result of its actions.

14 WHEREFORE, plaintiff and the class pray for relief as set forth below.

15 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

16 Plaintiff requests that the Court enter an order or judgment against defendant as follows:

- 17 1. Certification of the proposed class pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23;
- 18 2. A declaration that defendant has engaged in the conduct alleged herein;
- 19 3. An injunction ordering defendant to cease and desist from engaging in the unfair,  
20 unlawful, and/or deceptive practices alleged in this complaint;
- 21 4. Restitution and disgorgement on certain causes of action;
- 22 5. Compensatory and general damages according to proof on certain causes of action;
- 23 6. Special damages according to proof on certain causes of action;
- 24 7. Both pre- and post-judgment interest at the maximum allowable rate on any amounts  
25 awarded;
- 26 8. Costs of the proceedings herein;
- 27 9. Reasonable attorneys' fees; and
- 28 10. Any and all such other and further relief that this Court may deem just and proper.

**JURY DEMAND**

Plaintiff demands a trial by jury on all causes of action so triable.

Dated: July 11, 2008

SCHUBERT JONCKHEER KOLBE &  
KRALOWEC LLP



ROBERT C. SCHUBERT  
WILLEM F. JONCKHEER  
KIMBERLY A. KRALOWEC  
Three Embarcadero Center, Suite 1650  
San Francisco, CA 94111  
Telephone: (415) 788-4220  
Facsimile: (415) 788-0161

*Attorneys for Plaintiff*

SCHUBERT JONCKHEER KOLBE & KRALOWEC LLP  
Three Embarcadero Center, Suite 1650  
San Francisco, CA 94111  
(415) 788-4220

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28