1 2 3	ROBERT C. SCHUBERT S.B.N. 62684 WILLEM F. JONCKHEER S.B.N. 178748 DUSTIN L. SCHUBERT S.B.N. 254876 SCHUBERT JONCKHEER KOLBE & KRALOWEC LLP Three Embarcadero Center, Suite 1650		
4	San Francisco, California 94111 Telephone: (415) 788-4220		
5	Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs		
6			
7			
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE		
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	SAN JOSE DIVISION		
11			
12	In re Google AdWords Litigation	CASE NO. C 08-03369 JW	
13		HON. JAMES WARE	
14		STIPULATION CONTINUING	
15		DEADLINE FOR FILING THE CONSOLIDATED AMENDED	
16		COMPLAINT AND DEFENDANT'S RESPONSE TO CONSOLIDATED	
17		AMENDED COMPLAINT	
18			
19 20			
20			
21			
22 23			
23 24			
24 25			
23 26			
20 27			
27			
20			

1	STIPULATION		
2	Plaintiffs and defendant Google Inc. ("Google"), by and through their respective counsel,		
3	stipulate and agree as follows:		
4	WHEREAS, on February 25, 2009, the Court issued (1) an Order Granting Motion to		
5	Consolidate and Appointing Interim Lead Counsel, and (2) a Scheduling Order for Class		
6	Discovery ("the Scheduling Order") in this action;		
7	WHEREAS, pursuant to the Scheduling Order, the current deadline for filing the		
8	Consolidated Amended Complaint is April 6, 2009, and the deadline for defendant to file an		
9	answer or otherwise respond to the Consolidated Amended Complaint is April 30, 2009;		
10	WHEREAS, based upon certain matters that have arisen in connection with the schedule		
11	of plaintiffs' lead counsel, plaintiffs have requested, and defendant has agreed to, a short		
12	extension of the deadline to file the Consolidated Amended Complaint to April 24, 2009, with a		
13	corresponding change to Google's deadline to file an answer or otherwise respond to the		
14	Consolidated Amended Complaint to May 18, 2009;		
15	WHEREAS, Google's response to the complaint in JIT Packaging, Inc. v. Google Inc.,		
16	Case No. 08-04701 JW (administratively closed upon consolidation), one of the four consolidated		
17	actions, is currently due on April 6, 2009 (See Docket No. 42), and Google's response would have		
18	been mooted by the filing of the Consolidated Amended Complaint on that date absent the agreed		
19	to extension of the deadline to file the Consolidated Amended Complaint to April 24, 2009;		
20	WHEREAS, this is plaintiffs' first request for an extension, and the remaining deadlines		
21	set by the Scheduling Order remain in effect;		
22	NOW THEREFORE, the parties hereby stipulate, and request the Court to order, that (a)		
23	the deadline for the Consolidated Amended Complaint be extended to April 24, 2009; (b) the		
24	deadline for Google to file an answer or otherwise respond to the Consolidated Amended		
25	Complaint be correspondingly changed to May 18, 2009; and (c) Google need not file a separate		
26	response to the JIT Packaging complaint, which will be superseded by the filing of the		
27	Consolidated Amended Complaint.		
28			

1

F. Jonckheer F. Jonckheer R WEC Ss RONISH LLP 116127) 2) hac vice)	
F. Jonckheer R VEC Ss RONISH LLP (116127) 2)	
R VEC s RONISH LLP (116127) 2)	
R VEC s RONISH LLP (116127) 2)	
s RONISH LLP 116127) 2)	
RONISH LLP (116127) 2)	
(116127) 2)	
(116127) 2)	
<i>'</i>	
Norton	
I, Willem F. Jonckheer, hereby attest that concurrence in the filing of the document has been	
obtained from each of the other signatories.	
E & KRALOWEC LLP	
neer	
nckheer	
Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs	
2	

1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE		
9	NORTHERN	DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	SAN JOSE DIVISION		
11			
12	In re Google AdWords Litigation	CASE NO. C 08-03369 JW	
13		HON. JAMES WARE	
14		ORDER GRANTING STIPULATION	
15		CONTINUING DEADLINE FOR FILING THE CONSOLIDATED AMENDED	
16 17		COMPLAINT AND DEFENDANT'S RESPONSE TO CONSOLIDATED AMENDED COMPLAINT	
18			
19		ORDER	
20	Pursuant to the parties' stipulation	(Docket Number 43), and good cause appearing: (1) the	
21	deadline for plaintiffs to file the Consolidation	ated Amended Complaint is hereby extended to April 24,	
22	2009; (2) the deadline for Google to file an answer or otherwise respond to the Consolidated		
23	Amended Complaint is hereby correspondingly changed to May 18, 2009; and (3) Google need not		
24	file a separate response to the <u>JIT Packaging</u> complaint, which will be superseded by the filing of the		
25	Consolidated Amended Complaint. All oth	Consolidated Amended Complaint. All other dates set by the Court remain unchanged by this extension.	
26	Dated: April 3, 2009	Questila	
27		Hon. James Ware	
28	Stimulation Continuing Deadling for Eiling the Courselid		
	Stipulation Continuing Deadline for Filing the Consolid Amended Complaint and Defendant's Answer to Conso	lidated Amended Complaint	
	3		