"In re Google	AdWords Litigation."		Doc. 74
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	UNITED STATES	IT IS SO ORDERED S MODIFIED Judge James Ware Judge James Ware	
9	NORTHERN DISTR	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	SAN JOS	E DIVISION	
11			
12	IN RE GOOGLE ADWORDS LITIGATION	Case No. 08-3369 JW	
13			
14		STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]	
15		ORDER ADDING AND DROPPING PARTIES	
16	This Document Relates to:		
17	All Actions		
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
		1.	

WHEREAS, by order dated February 25, 2009 the Court consolidated four related class actions under the foregoing caption, and appointed the law firm of Schubert Jonckheer Kolbe & Kralowec LLP as interim lead counsel (Docket #40);

WHEREAS, on April 24, 2009, plaintiffs filed the Consolidated Class Action Complaint in this matter (Docket #45);

WHEREAS, on May 18, 2009, defendant Google, Inc. ("Google") filed its answer to the Consolidated Class Action Complaint;

WHEREAS, on July 27, 2009, the action <u>Olabode v. Google Inc.</u>, No. 09-3414 JW was filed in this Court, which action is based on the same alleged facts and circumstances concerning Google's AdWords program at issue in the consolidated action;

WHEREAS, on August 21, 2009, the Court issued an order relating <u>Olabode</u> to the consolidated action, <u>In re Google AdWords Litigation</u>, No. 08-3369 JW;

WHEREAS, on October 2, 2009, the Court issued an order consolidating <u>Olabode</u> into the consolidated action, and, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 21, added plaintiff Olabode as a party;

WHEREAS, after October 10, 2009, plaintiff Olabode died;

WHEREAS, the parties agree that, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 21, plaintiff Olabode should now be dropped from the consolidated action without prejudice;

WHEREAS, lead counsel for plaintiffs have been retained to file a new action on behalf of additional plaintiffs, West Coast Cameras, Inc. and Richard Oesterling, and to bring claims on their behalf based on the same alleged facts and circumstances concerning Google's AdWords program at issue in the consolidated action;

WHEREAS, to avoid the time and expense associated with filing a new complaint and having it related and then consolidated with this one, the parties agree that, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 21, plaintiffs West Coast Cameras, Inc. and Mr. Oesterling should be added to the consolidated action as parties plaintiff;

WHEREAS, to facilitate discovery from the proposed new plaintiffs and to minimize the prejudice to Google in adding new plaintiffs at this stage of the litigation, plaintiffs and Google agree as follows: (1) plaintiffs will supplement their initial disclosures no later than 10 days after

the Court enters an order approving this stipulation, and (2) plaintiffs West Coast Cameras, Inc. and Mr. Oesterling will respond to the interrogatories and requests for production of documents and things that Google propounded on plaintiff JIT Packaging, Inc., as if such discovery requests had been propounded on plaintiffs West Coast Cameras, Inc. and Mr. Oesterling, and will do so no later than 10 days after the Court enters an order approving this stipulation;

WHEREAS, to facilitate the addition of West Coast Cameras, Inc. and Mr. Oesterling to the existing Consolidated Class Action Complaint, and pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 15(a)(2), the Complaint should be ordered amended to add following new paragraph 10A:

10A. West Coast Cameras, Inc. is an S Corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Washington, and is a citizen of the State of Washington. West Coast Cameras, Inc. sells cameras and camera products. Richard Oesterling is an individual and a citizen of the State of Washington who, during the class period, was a sole proprietor doing business as KB Cameras, a store that sold cameras and camera products. During the class period, West Coast Cameras, Inc. and Mr. Oesterling contracted with Google to place advertising through Google's AdWords program, paid for clicks from ads placed on parked domain and error pages, and were damaged thereby.

WHEREAS, the parties agree that Google's answer filed on May 18, 2009 shall be deemed responsive to the Consolidated Class Action Complaint, so amended, and pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 15(a)(2), the Answer shall be ordered amended to add the following new paragraph 10A:

10A. Google admits that plaintiffs West Coast Cameras, Inc. and Richard Osterling contracted for and used Google's AdWords advertising program. Except as expressly admitted herein, Google lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in paragraph 10A, and on that basis, denies them.

THEREFORE, the parties hereby stipulate, and request the Court to order, as follows:

- 1. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 21, plaintiff Olabode is dropped from the consolidated action as a party plaintiff, without prejudice.
- 2. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 21, plaintiffs West Coast Cameras, Inc. and Richard Oesterling are hereby added to the consolidated action as parties plaintiff.

been obtained from each of the other signatories.

1	1			
2 3	KRA	IUBERT JONCKHEER KOLBE & ALOWEC LLP		
4		/s/Willem F. Jonckheer		
5		/s/Willem F. Jonckheer Willem F. Jonckheer		
6	Atto	rneys for Plaintiffs		
7				
8				
9	ORD	ER		
10	GOOD CAUSE APPEARING, IT IS ORDERED AS MODIFIED:			
11	1. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Pr	rocedure 21, plaintiff Olabode is dropped from		
12	the consolidated action as a party plaintiff, without prejudice.			
13	2. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 21, plaintiffs West Coast Cameras, Inc.			
14	and Richard Oesterling are herby added to the consolidated action as parties plaintiff.			
15	3. On or before February 22, 2010 P	laintiffs shall file an Amended Consolidated		
16	Complaint consistent with the terms of Stipulation as a <i>separate</i> docket entry.			
17	On or before March 1, 2010 , Defe	ndant shall file an Amended Answer consistent		
18	with the terms of Stipulation as a <i>separate</i> docket entry.			
19 20	4 Plaintiffs shall supplement their init	ial disclosures no later than 10 days after the		
21	date of this order.			
22	5 Plaintiffs West Coast Cameras Inc.	and Mr. Oesterling shall respond to the		
23	interrogatories and requests for production of documents and things that Google propounded on			
24	plaintiff JIT Packaging, Inc. as if such discovery requests were propounded on plaintiffs West			
25	Coast Cameras, Inc. and Mr. Oesterling, and shall do so no later than 10 days after the date of this			
26	order			
27	Dated: February 17, 2010			
28	JAVES WARE United States District Judge			