1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8		
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	SAN JOSE DIVISION	
11	RSI CORP., dba RESPONSIVE SYSTEMS COMPANY, a New Jersey corporation,	Case No. C-08-03414 RMW
12	Plaintiff,	
13	·	ORDER DENYING MOTION TO SEAL
14	VS.	[Re Docket No. 258]
15 16	INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION, a New York corporation; and DOE DEFENDANTS 1-20,	
17	Defendants.	
18	To be sealable a document must be "privileged or protectable as a trade secret or	
19	otherwise entitled to protection under the law." L.R. 79-5(a). It is not appropriate to seal	
20	documents merely because a party has marked them confidential under the protective order.	
21	Here, IBM moves to seal the number of sales people involved in specific types of sales related to	
22	this case and some general information about its internal systems. See Dkt. Nos. 258, 258-1.	
23	This information is not a trade secret and therefore sealing is inappropriate. The motion is	
24	DENIED and IBM is ordered to file unsealed versions of the papers by April 24, 2013.	
25		$\boldsymbol{\rho}$
26	Dated: April 10, 2013	Konald M. Whyte Ronald M. Whyte
27	•	Ronald M. Whyte United States District Court Judge
28		Office States District Court Juage
	ORDER DENYING MOTION TO SEAL CASE NO. C-08-03414	1 -