1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
8	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
9	SAN JOSE DIVISION		
10	Hal K. Levitte, et al., NO. C 08-03369 JW		
11	NO. C 08-03452 RS Plaintiffs, NO. C 08-03888 SI		
12	v. Google, Inc., ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO RELATE CASES		
13	Google, Inc., MOTION TO RELATE CASES Defendant.		
14	/		
15	Presently before the Court are two Motions to Relate Cases pursuant to Civil Local Rule 3-		
16	12, filed by Plaintiffs in this action and Plaintiffs in one of the allegedly related actions. ¹ The		
17	Plaintiff groups move to relate this action with <u>RK West, Inc. v. Google, Inc.</u> , Case No. C 08-3452-		
18	RS, and with Pulaski & Middleman, LLC v. Google, Inc., Case No. C 08-3888-SI.		
19	Having reviewed the parties' briefing papers, the Court finds that another motion to relate		
20	cases, filed by Defendant Google, is currently pending before Judge Ronald Whyte. (Declaration of		
21	Leo P. Norton in Support of Google, Inc.'s Consolidated Opposition to Administrative Motions to		
22	Consider Whether Cases Should Be Related, Docket Item No. 14.) The motion before Judge Whyte		
23	requests relation of the three cases at issue here, along with a fourth case, Almeida v. Google, Inc.,		
24	Case No. C 08-2088-RMW. The <u>Almeida</u> case is the earliest filed case of the four.		
25			
26			
27	¹ (Plaintiff Pulaski & Middleman, LLC's Administrative Motion to Consider Whether Cases		
28	Should Be Related, Docket Item No. 5; Administrative Motion to Consider Whether Cases Should Be Related Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 3-12, Docket Item No. 9.)		

United States District Court For the Northern District of California United States District Court For the Northern District of California Rule 3-12(f) provides that "the Judge in this District who is assigned the earliest filed case
will decide if the cases are or are not related." If the Judge in the earliest filed case does not relate
the cases, Rule 3-12(f)(2) permits Judges in the remaining cases to consider whether the later-filed
cases are related. Accordingly, the Court declines to relate this case with the <u>RK West</u> and <u>Pulaski</u>
cases, pending Judge Whyte's resolution of Defendant's motion.

Accordingly, the Court DENIES Plaintiffs' Motions to Relate Cases.

Dated: September 19, 2008

nes Upre

JAMES WARE United States District Judge

United States District Court For the Northern District of California

1	THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT COPIES OF THIS ORDER HAVE BEEN DELIVERED TO		
2	Kimberly Ann Kralowec kkralowec@schubertlawfirm.com		
3			
4			
5			
6	5		
7	Dated: September 19, 2008	Richard W. Wieking, Clerk	
8		By: /s/ JW Chambers	
9		By: <u>/s/ JW Chambers</u> Elizabeth Garcia Courtroom Deputy	
10			
11			
12			
13 14			
14			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26	5		
27			
28			