

1 I. NEEL CHATTERJEE (State Bar No. 173985)
 nchatterjee@orrick.com
 2 JULIO C. AVALOS (STATE BAR NO. 255350)
 javalos@orrick.com
 3 ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP
 1000 Marsh Road
 4 Menlo Park, CA 94025
 Telephone: 650-614-7400
 5 Facsimile: 650-614-7401

6 THOMAS J. GRAY (STATE BAR NO. 191411)
 tgray@orrick.com
 7 ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP
 4 Park Plaza
 8 Suite 1600
 Irvine, CA 92614-2558
 9 Telephone: +1-949-567-6700
 Facsimile: 949-567 6710

10 Attorneys for Plaintiff
 11 FACEBOOK, INC.

12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 13 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 14 SAN JOSE DIVISION

16 FACEBOOK, INC.,

17 Plaintiff,

18 v.

19 STUDIVZ LTD., HOLTZBRINCK
 NETWORKS GmbH, HOLTZBRINCK
 20 VENTURES GmbH AND DOES 1-25,

21 Defendants.

Case No. 5:08-cv-03468 JF

**DECLARATION OF THOMAS J.
 GRAY IN SUPPORT OF
 FACEBOOK'S OPPOSITION TO
 DEFENDANTS' MOTIONS TO
 DISMISS AND MOTION TO
 ENLARGE TIME**

22
 23
 24
 25
 26
 27
 28

1 I, Thomas J. Gray, declare as follows:

2 1. I am an attorney with the law firm of Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP, counsel
3 for Plaintiff Facebook, Inc. I make this Declaration in support of Facebook's Opposition to
4 Defendants' Motions to Dismiss and Facebook's Motion to Enlarge Time Pursuant to Civil L.R.
5 6-3. I am an active member in good standing of the California State Bar. Except as set forth
6 herein, I have personal knowledge of the facts stated herein and if called as a witness, could and
7 would competently testify thereto.

8 2. On January 6, 2008, I, along with my associate Julio Avalos, participated in a meet
9 and confer with counsel for Defendants. Defendants were represented by Mr. Stephen S. Smith
10 and his partner William Walker. Near the end of the meeting, I raised the issue of Facebook's
11 upcoming January 16 deadline to oppose Defendants' motions to dismiss. I stated that it
12 appeared unlikely that Facebook would receive the personal jurisdiction discovery that it sought
13 prior to January 16 and that the parties should agree to take the hearing for Defendants' motions
14 off calendar. Mr. Smith responded that he agreed to take the hearing off calendar for defendant
15 StudiVZ, but would prefer not to do so with respect to the Holtzbrinck defendants. He would agree
16 to a continuance of a few weeks, but would not take the hearing off calendar because, he said,
17 "I'm still hopeful that you guys will agree to voluntarily dismiss them. I'd agree to doing it
18 without prejudice like we did for the other Holtzbrinck defendant. If you guys find out something
19 later on, you'd be free to add them again, but for now my goal is to get them voluntarily
20 dismissed without producing any discovery. I don't think they should be part of this case." I
21 responded that I would prefer to take the Holtzbrinck Defendants' motions to dismiss hearing off
22 calendar as well, but that the parties would talk about it again. Before closing, I asked for
23 confirmation that from Mr. Smith that he would agree to take StudiVZ's motion off calendar and
24 grant a few week continuance with respect to the Holtzbrinck defendants' motion. Mr. Smith
25 stated, "That's right, but just a few weeks, two or three weeks."

26 3. Also during the January 6, 2009 meet and confer, during a discussion of the then
27 upcoming depositions of Messrs. Brehm and Weber, I asked Mr. Smith if the witnesses would
28 need interpreters. He stated that they spoke fluent English, but that it would be good to have an

1 interpreter for an occasional word or two. It was clear that the interpreter would not be needed
2 for the entirety of the depositions and that the depositions would not be unduly delayed because
3 of the language issue.

4 4. In reliance on these representations, Facebook did not move to continue its
5 deadline to oppose Defendants' motions to dismiss.

6 5. On January 13, 2009, three days before Facebook's deadline to oppose
7 Defendants' motions to dismiss, counsel for the parties had a telephone conversation in which
8 Defendants' counsel told me that that Defendants would agree to a continuance of the hearing on
9 the Holtzbrinck Defendants' motion to dismiss only if Facebook agreed to "bifurcate"
10 Defendants' *forum non conveniens* arguments from Defendants' personal jurisdiction arguments.
11 Mr. Smith had never previously raised this proposal before. Indeed, at the January 6 meet and
12 confer, Mr. Smith had agreed to take StudiVZ's motion off calendar and said that he would grant
13 Facebook a continuance of two or three weeks to oppose the Holtzbrinck Defendants' motion.
14 The next day, I called Mr. Smith. We were unable to reach an agreement with respect to
15 Defendants' new proposal. Mr. Smith then cursed at me, stated that he no longer agreed to
16 continue any of Defendants' oppositions or the February 13 hearing, and said that Facebook
17 would have to file its oppositions on the deadline of January 16, 2009 – just two days later.

18 6. Facebook has been forced to draft its Opposition to Defendants' Motions to
19 Dismiss without any discovery relating to Facebook's jurisdictional and forum theories.

20 I declare, under penalty of perjury, that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my
21 knowledge.

22 Executed this 16th day of January, at Menlo Park, California.

23
24 _____
25 /s/ Thomas J. Gray /s/
26 THOMAS J. GRAY
27
28

