GREENBERG GLUSKER FIELDS CLAMAN & MACHTINGER LLP 1900 Avenue of the Stars, 21st Floor Los Angeles, California 90067-4590	1 2 3 4 5 6	STEPHEN S. SMITH (SBN 1665 SSmith@GreenbergGlusker.com WILLIAM M. WALKER (SBN WWalker@GreenbergGlusker.com AARON J. MOSS (SBN 190625 AMoss@GreenbergGlusker.com GREENBERG GLUSKER FIEL CLAMAN & MACHTINGER L. 1900 Avenue of the Stars, 21st F Los Angeles, California 90067-4 Telephone: 310.553.3610 Fax: 310.553.0687	DS LP loor 4590			
	8	Attorneys for Defendants StudiVZ Ltd., Holtzbrinck Networks GmbH, and Holtzbrinck Ventures GmbH				
	10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
	11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
	12	SAN JOSE DIVISION				
	13					
	14	FACEBOOK, INC.,		Case No. 5:08-CV-03468 JF		
	15	Plaintiff,		Assigned To: Honorable Jeremy Fogel		
	16	v.		HOLTZBRINCK NETWORKS		
	17	STUDIVZ LTD., HOLTZBRIN NETWORKS GmbH,	CK	GmbH'S SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSES TO FACEBOOK,		
	18	HOLTZBRINCK VENTURES GmbH, and DOES 1-25,		INC.'S FIRST SET OF SPECIAL INTERROGATORIES		
	19	Defendants.				
	20	Dolollamin				
	21			Complaint Filed: July 18, 2008		
	22			·		
	23					
	24			BOOK, INC.		
	25			ZBRINCK NETWORKS GmbH		
	26	SET NUMBER:	ONE			
	27					
	28					

37106-00002/1668960.3

I. GENERAL OBJECTIONS

- A. Holtzbrinck Networks GmbH ("Networks") objects to the Special Interrogatories ("Interrogatories") on the grounds that Facebook seeks the right to use evidence obtained in this action in the action pending between Facebook and StudiVZ in Germany (the "German Action"). It is improper under established law to use this lawsuit or this Court as vehicles to obtain discovery for use in a foreign case when that evidence is located outside the United States, as it is here. It is also inconsistent with the District Court's form protective order.
- B. Networks objects to the Interrogatories on the grounds that they seek discovery that is not reasonably related to pertinent disputed personal jurisdictional or *forum non conveniens* issues, which is improper given that there are currently pending motions to dismiss all defendants for lack of personal jurisdiction and *forum non conveniens*.
- C. Networks objects to the Interrogatories to the extent that they would require violation of the privacy rights of its employees and its customers as embodied in German and European Union law, including but not limited to the German Constitution and the German Federal Data Protection Act (BDSG), the German Telecommunications Act (TKG), the German Tele Services Data Protection Act (TDDSG), the European Community Data Protection Directive 95/46/EC, Data Protection Directive for Electronic Communication 2002/58/EC and the E-Commerce Directive 2000/31/EC.
- D. Networks objects to the Interrogatories on the grounds that "HOLTZBRINCK NETWORKS GmbH," "YOU," and "YOUR" is defined as "defendant Verlagsgruppe Georg Von Holtzbrinck GmbH and its directors, officers, parents, subsidiaries, predecessors, successors, assigns, agents, servants,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

.9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

employees, investigators, attorneys, AND ALL other persons and entities representing it acting on its behalf, OR purporting to act on its behalf, including without limitation Ehassan Dariani and Dennis Bemman." Verlagsgruppe Georg Von Holtzbrinck GmbH has already been dismissed and is no longer a party to this action. Moreover, the definition is improperly overbroad generally, and is particularly so given that the discovery purports to relate personal jurisdiction, since in establishing jurisdiction discovery must be directed only at the party over whom jurisdiction is being asserted.

- Networks objects to the Interrogatories to the extent they seek E. information that is protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work product doctrine, the right of privacy and/or any other applicable privileges, doctrines, or immunity from disclosure.
- Networks further objects to the Interrogatories to the extent they F. attempt or purport to impose obligations on Networks beyond those set forth in the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Hague Convention of 18 March 1970 on the Taking of Evidence Abroad in Civil or Commercial Matters ("Hague Evidence Convention") as interpreted and enforced under German law. All definitions and instructions will be treated as having no force or effect to the extent they purport to impose obligations on Networks beyond those set forth in the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Hague Evidence Convention as interpreted and enforced under German law.

SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 1:

Describe in detail AND IDENTIFY ALL contacts AND COMMUNICATIONS YOU have had with PERSONS (including without limitation, USERS OF STUDIVZ AND USERS OF FACEBOOK) currently OR

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

formerly residing OR domiciled in California. In doing so, IDENTIFY the PERSONS contacted, the location AND time where any such contact OR event occurred, AND the subject matter of the contact OR COMMUNICATION.

RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 1:

Networks hereby incorporates by reference the general objections set forth above. Networks further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that a plaintiff is not entitled to take discovery on personal jurisdiction as a matter of right. In order to do so, Facebook must either make a prima facie showing of jurisdiction over Networks, or it must identify material jurisdictional issues that are in dispute. Facebook has done neither. Networks further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that the definition of "YOU" is grossly overbroad. Networks further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that the definition of "YOU" includes Verlagsgruppe Georg Von Holtzbrinck GmbH, which has already been dismissed and is no longer a party to this action. Networks further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it is unlimited as to time, and is so overbroad as to be unduly burdensome and harassing. Networks further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it is compound. Networks further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it seeks information that is not relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Networks further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it is not limited to contacts or communications that occurred within the authorized course and scope of Networks' business.

Notwithstanding the foregoing objections, and pursuant to agreements reached during the parties' "meet and confer," Networks responds as follows:

Networks was not a party to any negotiated contracts with a known California resident or that contained an express California choice of law clause as of July 18, 2008. Also, as of July 18, 2008, Networks did not have any accounts receivable that were owed by a known California resident.

Also, as of July 18, 2008, Networks did not have any accounts payable that were owed to a known California resident.

SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 2:

Describe in detail AND IDENTIFY ALL contacts AND COMMUNICATIONS YOU have had with businesses (including without limitation, Internet search engines providers such as Google Inc. AND Yahoo!Inc., server providers, advertising agencies, advertisers, Internet service providers, computer equipment providers, YOUR licensors AND licensees) currently OR formerly located, licensed, based, OR incorporated in California. In doing so, IDENTIFY the PERSONS contacted, the location AND time where any such contact OR event occurred, AND the subject matter of the contact OR COMMUNICATION.

RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 2:

Networks hereby incorporates by reference the general objections set forth above. Networks further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that a plaintiff is not entitled to take discovery on personal jurisdiction as a matter of right. In order to do so, Facebook must either make a *prima facie* showing of jurisdiction over Networks, or it must identify material jurisdictional issues that are in dispute. Facebook has done neither. Networks further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that the definition of "YOU" is grossly overbroad. Networks further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that the definition of "YOU" includes Verlagsgruppe Georg Von Holtzbrinck GmbH, which has already been dismissed and is no longer a party to this action. Networks further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it is unlimited as to time, and is so overbroad as to be unduly burdensome and harassing. Networks further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it is compound. Networks further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it seeks information that is not relevant nor

. 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Networks further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it is not limited to contacts or communications that occurred within the authorized course and scope of Networks' business.

Notwithstanding the foregoing objections, and pursuant to agreements reached during the parties' "meet and confer," Networks responds as follows:

Networks was not a party to any negotiated contracts with a known California resident or that contained an express California choice of law clause as of July 18, 2008. Also, as of July 18, 2008, Networks did not have any accounts receivable that were owed by a known California resident.

Also, as of July 18, 2008, Networks did not have any accounts payable that were owed to a known California resident.

SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 3:

Describe in detail AND IDENTIFY ALL contacts AND COMMUNICATIONS YOU have had with universities AND colleges located in California, including without limitation, letters, emails, advertising materials, business solicitations, business contacts, telephonic conversations, facsimile transmissions. In doing so, IDENTIFY the PERSONS contacted, the location AND time where any such contact OR event occurred, AND the subject matter of the contact OR COMMUNICATION.

RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 3:

Networks hereby incorporates by reference the general objections set forth above. Networks further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that a plaintiff is not entitled to take discovery on personal jurisdiction as a matter of right. In order to do so, Facebook must either make a prima facie showing of jurisdiction over Networks, or it must identify material jurisdictional issues that are in dispute. Facebook has done neither. Networks further objects to this interrogatory on the

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

grounds that the definition of "YOU" is grossly overbroad. Networks further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that the definition of "YOU" includes Verlagsgruppe Georg Von Holtzbrinck GmbH, which has already been dismissed and is no longer a party to this action. Networks further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it is unlimited as to time, and is so overbroad as to be unduly burdensome and harassing. Networks further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it is compound. Networks further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it seeks information that is not relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Networks further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it is not limited to contacts or communications that occurred within the authorized course and scope of Networks' business.

Notwithstanding the foregoing objections, and pursuant to agreements reached during the parties' "meet and confer," Networks responds as follows:

Networks has never directed any advertising or marketing materials specifically to students, colleges or universities located in California.

SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 4:

Describe in detail AND IDENTIFY ALL of YOUR trips to California. In doing so, IDENTIFY the PERSONS contacted, the location AND time where any such contact OR event occurred, AND the subject matter of the contact OR COMMUNICATION.

RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 4:

Networks hereby incorporates by reference the general objections set forth above. Networks further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that a plaintiff is not entitled to take discovery on personal jurisdiction as a matter of right. In order to do so, Facebook must either make a prima facie showing of jurisdiction over Networks, or it must identify material jurisdictional issues that are in dispute.

Facebook has done neither. Networks further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that the definition of "YOUR" is grossly overbroad. Networks further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that the definition of "YOUR" includes Verlagsgruppe Georg Von Holtzbrinck GmbH, which has already been dismissed and is no longer a party to this action. Networks further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it is unlimited as to time, and is so overbroad as to be unduly burdensome and harassing. Networks further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it is compound. Networks further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it seeks information that is not relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Networks further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it is not limited to trips, contacts or communications that occurred within the authorized course and scope of Networks' business.

Notwithstanding the foregoing objections, and pursuant to agreements reached during the parties' "meet and confer," Networks responds as follows:

From March 16-19, 2008, Mr. Martin Weber and Mr. Konstantin Urban made a trip to California in connection with the negotiations by Facebook to, buy StudiVZ.

SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 5:

IDENTIFY, on a monthly basis, how many USERS OF STUDIVZ have been registered at the www.studivz.net website, the www.studin.it website, the www.studiln.net website, the www.studiln.net website, the www.studiln.net website since October 2005, AND how many of those USERS OF STUDIVZ are residents of, OR PERSONS domiciled in, California.

RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 5:

Networks hereby incorporates by reference the general objections set forth //

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

above. Networks further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that a plaintiff is not entitled to take discovery on personal jurisdiction as a matter of right. In order to do so, Facebook must either make a prima facie showing of jurisdiction over Networks, or it must identify material jurisdictional issues that are in dispute. Facebook has done neither. Networks further objects to the interrogatory on the grounds that "STUDIVZ" is undefined. Networks further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it is overbroad as to time. Networks further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it does not operate the websites.

SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 6:

IDENTIFY the number AND amount of accounts receivable owed YOU by PERSONS that, OR who are, California residents OR PERSONS domiciled in California. In doing so, IDENTIFY the goods AND services for which the individual accounts receivable are owed.

RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 6:

Networks hereby incorporates by reference the general objections set forth above. Networks further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that a plaintiff is not entitled to take discovery on personal jurisdiction as a matter of right. In order to do so, Facebook must either make a prima facie showing of jurisdiction over Networks, or it must identify material jurisdictional issues that are in dispute. Facebook has done neither. Networks further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that the definition of "YOU" is grossly overbroad. Networks further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that the definition of "YOU" includes Verlagsgruppe Georg Von Holtzbrinck GmbH, which has already been dismissed and is no longer a party to this action. Networks further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it is compound.

Notwithstanding the foregoing objections, and pursuant to agreements reached during the parties' "meet and confer," Networks responds as follows:

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

As of July 18, 2008, Networks did not have any accounts receivable that were owed by a known California resident.

SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 7:

IDENTIFY ALL instances in which YOU have been in California, including without limitation, business trips OR recreational trips; living, residing OR domiciling in California; AND flying OR driving to OR through California. In doing so, IDENTIFY the dates of ALL occurrences AND the length of the stay in California.

RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 7:

Networks hereby incorporates by reference the general objections set forth above. Networks further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that a plaintiff is not entitled to take discovery on personal jurisdiction as a matter of right. In order to do so, Facebook must either make a prima facie showing of jurisdiction over Networks, or it must identify material jurisdictional issues that are in dispute. Facebook has done neither. Networks further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that the definition of "YOU" is grossly overbroad. Networks further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that the definition of "YOU" includes Verlagsgruppe Georg Von Holtzbrinck GmbH, which has already been dismissed and is no longer a party to this action. Networks further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it is unlimited as to time, and is so overbroad as to be unduly burdensome and harassing. Networks further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it is compound. Networks further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it seeks information that is not relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Networks further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it is not limited to instances that occurred within the authorized course and scope of Networks' business. ...

Notwithstanding the foregoing objections, and pursuant to agreements

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

reached during the parties' "meet and confer," Networks responds as follows:

From March 16-19, 2008, Mr. Martin Weber and Mr. Konstantin Urban made a trip to California in connection with the negotiations by Facebook to buy StudiVZ.

SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 8:

IDENTIFY ALL of YOUR current AND former personal OR real property currently OR previously located in California.

RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 8:

Networks hereby incorporates by reference the general objections set forth above. Networks further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that a plaintiff is not entitled to take discovery on personal jurisdiction as a matter of right. In order to do so, Facebook must either make a prima facie showing of jurisdiction over Networks, or it must identify material jurisdictional issues that are in dispute. Facebook has done neither. Networks further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that the definition of "YOUR" is grossly overbroad. Networks further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that the definition of "YOUR" includes Verlagsgruppe Georg Von Holtzbrinck GmbH, which has already been dismissed and is no longer a party to this action. Networks further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it seeks information that is not relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

Notwithstanding the foregoing objections, and pursuant to agreements reached during the parties' "meet and confer," Networks responds as follows:

As of July 18, 2008, Networks owned no real or personal property located in California.

SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 9:

IDENTIFY ALL contracts AND agreements involving YOU in which

California law governs AND/OR in which the parties to the contract OR agreement agreed as to the jurisdiction of California state courts AND/OR United States federal courts located in California.

RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 9:

Networks hereby incorporates by reference the general objections set forth above. Networks further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that a plaintiff is not entitled to take discovery on personal jurisdiction as a matter of right. In order to do so, Facebook must either make a *prima facie* showing of jurisdiction over Networks, or it must identify material jurisdictional issues that are in dispute. Facebook has done neither. Networks further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that the definition of "YOU" is grossly overbroad. Networks further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that the definition of "YOU" includes Verlagsgruppe Georg Von Holtzbrinck GmbH, which has already been dismissed and is no longer a party to this action. Networks further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it is unlimited as to time, and is so overbroad as to be unduly burdensome and harassing. Networks further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it seeks information that is not relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

Notwithstanding the foregoing objections, and pursuant to agreements reached during the parties' "meet and confer," Networks responds as follows:

Networks was not a party to any negotiated contracts with a known California resident or that contained an express California choice of law clause as of July 18, 2008.

SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 10:

IDENTIFY occurrences when YOU AND/OR ANY PERSON on YOUR behalf, including without limitation, Ehssan Dariani and Dennis Bemman, accessed the website, www.facebook.com OR www.thefacebook.com, AND the purposes of

Ì

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

each access, including without limitation, ANY COMMUNICATIONS that RELATE TO ANY of the occurrences AND IDENTIFY the USER OF FACEBOOK OR registrant accounts OR email addresses used to access the facebook.com website.

RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 10:

Networks hereby incorporates by reference the general objections set forth above. Networks further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that a plaintiff is not entitled to take discovery on personal jurisdiction as a matter of right. In order to do so, Facebook must either make a prima facie showing of jurisdiction over Networks, or it must identify material jurisdictional issues that are in dispute. Facebook has done neither. Networks further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that the definition of "YOU" is grossly overbroad. Networks further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that the definition of "YOU" includes Verlagsgruppe Georg Von Holtzbrinck GmbH, which has already been dismissed and is no longer a party to this action. Networks further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it is unlimited as to time, and is so overbroad as to be unduly burdensome and harassing. Networks further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it seeks information that is not relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Networks further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it is not limited to access that occurred within the authorized course and scope of Networks' business.

SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 11:

IDENTIFY ALL of YOUR licenses OR registrations regarding the ability to do business in California.

RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 11:

Networks hereby incorporates by reference the general objections set forth above. Networks further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that a plaintiff

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

is not entitled to take discovery on personal jurisdiction as a matter of right. In order to do so, Facebook must either make a prima facie showing of jurisdiction over Networks, or it must identify material jurisdictional issues that are in dispute. Facebook has done neither. Networks further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that the definition of "YOUR" is grossly overbroad. Networks further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that the definition of "YOUR" includes Verlagsgruppe Georg Von Holtzbrinck GmbH, which has already been dismissed and is no longer a party to this action.

Notwithstanding the foregoing objections, and pursuant to agreements reached during the parties' "meet and confer," Networks responds as follows:

As of July 18, 2008, Networks did not have any license or registration to do business in California.

SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 12:

IDENTIFY the first date YOU knew OR believed that FACEBOOK, its servers, facilities, officers, OR personnel were located in California.

RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 12:

Networks hereby incorporates by reference the general objections set forth above. Networks further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that a plaintiff is not entitled to take discovery on personal jurisdiction as a matter of right. In order to do so, Facebook must either make a prima facie showing of jurisdiction over Networks, or it must identify material jurisdictional issues that are in dispute. Facebook has done neither. Networks further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that the definition of "YOU" is grossly overbroad. Networks further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that the definition of "YOU" includes Verlagsgruppe Georg Von Holtzbrinck GmbH, which has already been dismissed and is no longer a party to this action.

Notwithstanding the foregoing objections, and pursuant to agreements

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

reached during the parties' "meet and confer," Networks responds as follows:

Networks knew by some time in 2007 that Facebook was located in California.

SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 13:

IDENTIFY the services provided through the www.studivz.net website, the www.meinvz.net website, the www.studiqg.fr website, the www.studiln.it website, the www.estudiln.net website, the www.studentix.pl website AND the www.schuelervz.net website to USERS OF STUDIVZ, including without limitation, how the services are provided.

RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 13:

Networks hereby incorporates by reference the general objections set forth above. Networks further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that a plaintiff is not entitled to take discovery on personal jurisdiction as a matter of right. In order to do so, Facebook must either make a prima facie showing of jurisdiction over Networks, or it must identify material jurisdictional issues that are in dispute. Facebook has done neither. Networks further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it is so overbroad as to be unduly burdensome and harassing. Networks further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it seeks information that is not relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Networks further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it does not operate the websites.

SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 14:

IDENTIFY ALL USERS OF FACEBOOK employed by OR formerly employed by YOU, including without limitation, any PERSONS who are OR were full-time or part-time employees, independent contractors or agents of YOU, AND their respective email addresses.

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 14:

Networks hereby incorporates by reference the general objections set forth above. Networks further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that a plaintiff is not entitled to take discovery on personal jurisdiction as a matter of right. In order to do so, Facebook must either make a prima facie showing of jurisdiction over Networks, or it must identify material jurisdictional issues that are in dispute. Facebook has done neither. Networks further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that the definition of "YOU" is grossly overbroad. Networks further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that the definition of "YOU" includes Verlagsgruppe Georg Von Holtzbrinck GmbH, which has already been dismissed and is no longer a party to this action. Networks further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it is unlimited as to time, and is so overbroad as to be unduly burdensome and harassing. Networks further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it seeks information that is not relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Networks further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it infringes on the privacy rights of the users.

SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 15:

IDENTIFY ALL PERSONS responsible in any manner for the design, programming and maintenance of the www.studivz.net website, including without limitation the location of the PERSON, job descriptions, authorities, dates in these positions, duties, AND responsibilities.

RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 15:

Networks hereby incorporates by reference the general objections set forth above. Networks further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that a plaintiff is not entitled to take discovery on personal jurisdiction as a matter of right. In order to do so, Facebook must either make a *prima facie* showing of jurisdiction

over Networks, or it must identify material jurisdictional issues that are in dispute. Facebook has done neither. Networks further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it is unlimited as to time, and is so overbroad as to be unduly burdensome and harassing. Networks further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it seeks information that is not relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Networks further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it does not design, program, maintain or operate the websites.

SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 16:

IDENTIFY ALL PERSONS responsible in any manner for the design, programming and maintenance of the www.studivz.net website, the www.studin.it website, the www.studin.it website, the www.studin.it website, the www.studin.it website AND the www.schuelervz.net website, including without limitation, the location of the PERSON, job descriptions, authorities, dates in these positions, duties, AND responsibilities.

RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 16:

Networks hereby incorporates by reference the general objections set forth above. Networks further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that a plaintiff is not entitled to take discovery on personal jurisdiction as a matter of right. In order to do so, Facebook must either make a *prima facie* showing of jurisdiction over Networks, or it must identify material jurisdictional issues that are in dispute. Facebook has done neither. Networks further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it is unlimited as to time, and is so overbroad as to be unduly burdensome and harassing. Networks further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it seeks information that is not relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Networks further objects to this

interrogatory on the grounds that it does not design, program, maintain or operate the websites.

SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 17:

IDENTIFY current AND former directors, officers, employees, AND agents of STUDIVZ, including without limitation, dates in these positions, duties, job descriptions, authorities, AND responsibilities.

RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 17:

Networks hereby incorporates by reference the general objections set forth above. Networks further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that a plaintiff is not entitled to take discovery on personal jurisdiction as a matter of right. In order to do so, Facebook must either make a *prima facie* showing of jurisdiction over Networks, or it must identify material jurisdictional issues that are in dispute. Facebook has done neither. Networks further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that the term "STUDIVZ" is undefined. Networks further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it is unlimited as to time, and is so overbroad as to be unduly burdensome and harassing. Networks further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it seeks information that is not relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

Notwithstanding the foregoing objections, and pursuant to agreements reached during the parties' "meet and confer," Networks responds as follows:

The current directors of StudiVZ are as follows: the Managing Directors are Clemens Riedl, Michael Brehm and Dennis Bemmann, and the Non-Executive Directors are Konstantin Urban, Michael Weber and Claas van Delden.

SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 18:

IDENTIFY ALL of YOUR advertising, promotions, AND marketing activities directed, at least in part, at California residents.

GREENBERG GLUSKER FIELDS CLAMAN & MACHTINGER LLP 1900 Avenue of the Stars, 21st Floor Los Angeles, California 90067-4590

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 18:

Networks hereby incorporates by reference the general objections set forth above. Networks further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that a plaintiff is not entitled to take discovery on personal jurisdiction as a matter of right. In order to do so, Facebook must either make a prima facie showing of jurisdiction over Networks, or it must identify material jurisdictional issues that are in dispute. Facebook has done neither. Networks further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that the definition of "YOUR" is grossly overbroad. Networks further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that the definition of "YOUR" includes Verlagsgruppe Georg Von Holtzbrinck GmbH, which has already been dismissed and is no longer a party to this action. Networks further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it is unlimited as to time, and is so overbroad as to be unduly burdensome and harassing. Networks further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it seeks information that is not relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Networks further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it is not limited to activities that occurred within the authorized course and scope of Networks' business.

Notwithstanding the foregoing objections, and pursuant to agreements reached during the parties' "meet and confer," Networks responds as follows:

Networks has never directed any advertisements or other marketing materials specifically to students, colleges or universities located in California or other people who reside in California.

SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 19:

IDENTIFY ALL of YOUR business relationships with, OR financial interests in, businesses currently OR formerly incorporated, licensed, located, based, OR with facilities OR offices located in California, including without limitation, the nature of each relationship, the IDENTIFY of each business, AND

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

whether each business is incorporated, licensed, located, based OR has facilities OR offices located in California.

RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 19:

Networks hereby incorporates by reference the general objections set forth above. Networks further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that a plaintiff is not entitled to take discovery on personal jurisdiction as a matter of right. In order to do so, Facebook must either make a prima facie showing of jurisdiction over Networks, or it must identify material jurisdictional issues that are in dispute. Facebook has done neither. Networks further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that the definition of "YOUR" is grossly overbroad. Networks further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that the definition of "YOUR" includes Verlagsgruppe Georg Von Holtzbrinck GmbH, which has already been dismissed ... and is no longer a party to this action. Networks further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous as to the term "business relationships." Networks further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it is unlimited as to time, and is so overbroad as to be unduly burdensome and harassing. Networks further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it seeks information that is not relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Networks further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it is not limited to activities that occurred within the authorized course and scope of Networks' business.

SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 20:

IDENTIFY ALL reasons why defending this lawsuit in California would burden YOU.

RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 20:

Networks hereby incorporates by reference the general objections set forth above. Networks further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that a plaintiff

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

is not entitled to take discovery on personal jurisdiction as a matter of right. In order to do so, Facebook must either make a prima facie showing of jurisdiction over Networks, or it must identify material jurisdictional issues that are in dispute. Facebook has done neither. Networks further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that the definition of "YOU" is grossly overbroad. Networks further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that the definition of "YOU" includes Verlagsgruppe Georg Von Holtzbrinck GmbH, which has already been dismissed and is no longer a party to this action.

Notwithstanding the foregoing objections, and pursuant to agreements reached during the parties' "meet and confer," Networks responds as follows:

Networks is a limited liability company (Gesellschaft mit beschränkter Haftung (GmbH)) organized under German law. It functions as a "holding company," whose corporate purpose is to administer its assets (principally holdings in media-related companies) for financial purposes.

Networks owns an 85% equity interest in defendant StudiVZ. Networks first became an equity holder of StudiVZ in October 2007. Networks is not qualified to do business in California. It does not have any officers, directors, employees or independent contractors based in California. It does not have a California agent for service of process. It does not direct any advertising toward California residents.

Networks has offices in Germany, but no offices or facilities in California, nor does it have any telephone or facsimile listings or mailing addresses in California.

Networks does not maintain any books or records in California. It has no bank accounts or tangible personal or real property in California. It has no sales in California, has had no California income and has not paid any California income tax.

]

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

None of Networks' officers, directors or employees reside or are domiciled in California. No meetings of Networks' management boards or equity holders have been held in California.

While Networks and Holtzbrinck Ventures GmbH ("Ventures") monitor StudiVZ's financial performance (as they would any investment), they do not exercise any type of day-to-day control over StudiVZ - either operational control or control over strategic decisions. The two managing directors of Networks and Ventures, Konstantin Urban and Martin Weber, are also company directors of StudiVZ. However, they merely function in a supervisory capacity, not as officers who are responsible for the day-to-day activities of StudiVZ.

StudiVZ has its own management team, offices and bank accounts, and is separately (and sufficiently) capitalized on its own and apart from Ventures and Networks. StudiVZ also does not act as a general agent of either Networks or Ventures. Networks and Ventures simply hold shares in StudiVZ as an investment. StudiVZ is only one of many media-related assets held as an investment by Networks and Ventures.

All of Ventures' and Networks' employees, officers and directors reside in Germany and speak German (or, in a few cases, another European language other than English) as their native language, and all speak German as their every-day language. Ventures and Networks perform their business almost exclusively in the German language. As a result, almost all of Ventures' and Networks' business documents are located in Germany and are written in the German language (and would have to be translated, at huge expense).

Further, this action arises out of the alleged actions of StudiVZ. StudiVZ's operations are located in Germany, and its single office and headquarters are in Berlin, Germany. The first of the StudiVZ websites, studivz.net, was formed in Berlin, Germany in 2005 by two young German citizens. Its target group was, and remains, university students in Germany, Austria and Switzerland. StudiVZ. has

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

never at any time engaged in any type of marketing or advertising in, or that is directed to, the United States or other English speaking countries in general or to California in particular. None of StudiVZ's websites target either users in the United States in general or California in particular. None of the StudiVZ-branded websites is available in English. StudiVZ has also formed, in Germany, the social networking site "SchuelerVZ." This site, at schuelervz.net, targets pupils and teenagers before they begin attending a university, and focuses solely on Germany. SchuelerVZ has also never engaged in any marketing or advertising in, or that is directed to, either the United States in general or California in particular. SchuelerVZ is also not available in English.

In 2008, StudiVZ launched its third social networking site, MeinVZ, at meinvz.net. MeinVZ is a social networking site for adults, including those who have graduated from a university. MeinVZ has also never engaged in any marketing or advertising in, or that is directed to, either the United States in general or California in particular. All but one of MeinVZ's sites are in non-English languages. The one English language site was launched in February 2008 and represents less than 1% of MeinVZ's total user traffic. That site was created in order to provide a platform for users who speak different, non-English languages to network with each other using a secondary language that is commonly understood between them. Because English is the most commonly understood, non-native language in continental Europe, it serves as a "lingua franca" for people of diverse speech. The English-language site was not created to attract native English speakers, let alone Americans or Californians. The English used is British English, not American English, and the consultant who was hired to translate MeinVZ's German site into English is a British citizen living in Berlin.

Like most other internet sites on the "World Wide Web," the StudiVZ Websites may be accessed by California residents, just as they may be accessed by residents of Iceland, New Zealand or Japan. But the sites are not directed at or

communicated predominantly in German. German is their native language and all of the written documents related to this action are in German.

Facebook has known since June 2006 that German law recognizes and provides remedies for its claims. Facebook's German counsel researched the law applicable to Facebook's claims and then, on June 8, 2006, sent a demand letter in German asserting claims exclusively under German law to StudiVZ in Germany. Then, again, on January 3, 2007, Facebook's German lawyers sent another demand letter in German to StudiVZ in Germany, again raising claims exclusively under German law.

Because those letters threatened litigation, including injunctive relief, StudiVZ filed "Schutzschriften" (precautionary defense/opposition briefs, evidence and offers of proof) in the district courts of Berlin and Hamburg on June 21, 2006 in response to Facebook's June 8, 2006 demand letter, and on January 12, 2007 in the district courts of Berlin, Hamburg and Stuttgart in response to Facebook's January 3, 2007 demand letter. The "Schutzschriften" are essentially oppositions to potential/anticipated complaints and motions seeking injunctive relief, and include evidence, and offers of additional proof such as the testimony of specific witnesses. The Schutzschriften are only "triggered", however, if the plaintiff actually initiates actions in German court seeking such relief. Although Facebook did not initiate any such actions at the time, the Schutzschriften/oppositions to the motions that Facebook threatened to file were formally filed in court in Germany long ago.

The claims raised by Facebook's German lawyers in the June 8, 2006 and January 3, 2007 demand letters were raised pursuant to German law, but were based on the same facts that Facebook now alleges give rise to the claims raised in the instant lawsuit – that StudiVZ committed wrongs by copying the look and feel of Facebook's website.

After Ventures and Networks became equity holders in StudiVZ in August 2006 and October 2007, Facebook sought to negotiate to purchase Ventures' and

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Networks' ownership interests in StudiVZ. Those negotiations took place in the Spring of 2008.

Just after the Spring 2008 negotiations broke down, on July 9, 2008, Facebook wrote a demand letter to Networks and Ventures, threatening to sue based on the exact same types of claims that Facebook had raised in Germany in June 2006 and January 2007.

Because Germany is clearly the more appropriate forum for the resolutions of such disputes, on July 18, 2008, StudiVZ filed a declaratory relief action against Facebook in Stuttgart, Germany (the "Stuttgart Action"). In the Stuttgart Action, StudiVZ seeks a declaratory judgment that it has not engaged in the wrongful conduct alleged by Facebook in its three prior demand letters. On that same day, Facebook filed the present action, alleging the same claims that it asserted in June 2006, January 2007 and July 2008.

Germany is the principal if not exclusive location of the acts alleged by Facebook in its complaint. The conduct allegedly engaged in by StudizVZ is alleged to have taken place in Germany and Europe. Facebook affirmatively alleges that the harm it has supposedly suffered has been suffered in Germany and other parts of Europe. All of the people who could have possibly engaged in the conduct alleged by Facebook are located in Germany. Those witnesses speak German as their native language. Most would require translators to testify in a United States legal proceeding. Nearly all of the documents related to the claims alleged by Facebook are located in Germany. The vast majority of those documents are written in the German language, thus requiring huge translation costs to be used in a United States legal proceeding.

Facebook has appeared in the Stuttgart Action. The first trial hearing in the German Action took place on December 16, 2008.

At the same time that Facebook filed its response in the Stuttgart Action it also initiated its own, affirmative action against StudiVZ in Cologne, Germany (the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

"Cologne Action"). The complaint in the Cologne Action includes within it all of the facts alleged in this U.S. action, plus additional facts related to Facebook's claims. Although the law cited is different than in this action (i.e., German law instead of U.S. law), the acts and injuries complained of are the same.

The Cologne action was expressly incorporated into Facebook's response in the Stuttgart action. Thus, there are now pending in Germany two separate actions that encompass within them everything that is alleged in the instant action, plus additional alleged facts and injuries. The German courts are fully empowered to decide the issues alleged in those two German actions under German law and United States and California law. Given that 99% of the conduct alleged and harm allegedly suffered took place in Germany, that all of the alleged bad actors are residents of Germany, that all of the documents in the allegedly bad actor's possessions are located in Germany and are written in German, it makes much more sense and would be much more convenient for everyone involved to have all issues in dispute litigated in Germany than in California or the United States.

It is also the case that personal jurisdiction cannot be maintained over StudiVZ (and the other defendants) in the United States, whereas there is no dispute that all parties are subject to personal jurisdiction in Germany.

Finally, the parties should not be forced to litigate the exact same dispute and issues in two different, parallel proceedings at the same time. It is terribly inefficient and expensive, wastes judicial resources and leads to the distinct possibility of conflicting orders, judgments, etc. Networks must retain two sets of lawyers -- one in the United States and one in Germany. It must pay for the translation of all its documents, either by literally translating them or by spending a tremendous amount of billable time explaining what each document means to its American, non-German-speaking counsel. The depositions of the defense witnesses will all take place in Germany, necessitating huge amounts of travel expenses and travel time billed by the lawyers. The depositions will take longer

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

than would otherwise be necessary because of the need for interpreters whenever the witness is unable to testify in English, which will be the case to varying degrees for each defense witness. As to every relevant consideration, this U.S. action is by far the more inconvenient forum.

SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 21:

IDENTIFY the ownership of HOLTZBRINCK NETWORKS GmbH, including without limitation, PERSON'S names, amounts they contributed OR invested, AND their percent ownership OR control (including without limitation, Capital Contributions, Percent Interest, Equity Units, Non-equity Units, Voting Units) on a by-PERSON basis.

RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 21:

Networks hereby incorporates by reference the general objections set forth above. Networks further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that a plaintiff is not entitled to take discovery on personal jurisdiction as a matter of right. In order to do so, Facebook must either make a prima facie showing of jurisdiction over Networks, or it must identify material jurisdictional issues that are in dispute. Facebook has done neither. Networks further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that the definition of "HOLTZBRINCK NETWORKS GmbH" is grossly overbroad. Networks further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that the definition of "HOLTZBRINCK NETWORKS GmbH" includes Verlagsgruppe Georg Von Holtzbrinck GmbH, which has already been dismissed and is no longer a party to this action.

SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 22:

IDENTIFY the location of YOUR offices, facilities, server/equipment locations.

GREENBERG GLUSKER FIELDS CLAMAN & MACHTINGER LLP 1900 Avenue of the Stars, 21st Floor Los Angeles, California 90067-4590

RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 22:

Networks hereby incorporates by reference the general objections set forth above. Networks further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that a plaintiff is not entitled to take discovery on personal jurisdiction as a matter of right. In order to do so, Facebook must either make a *prima facie* showing of jurisdiction over Networks, or it must identify material jurisdictional issues that are in dispute. Facebook has done neither. Networks further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that the definition of "YOUR" is grossly overbroad. Networks further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that the definition of "YOUR" includes Verlagsgruppe Georg Von Holtzbrinck GmbH, which has already been dismissed and is no longer a party to this action.

Notwithstanding the foregoing objections, and pursuant to agreements reached during the parties' "meet and confer," Networks responds as follows:

Networks has its main office and headquarters in Germany. It has no offices or facilities in California, nor does it have any telephone or facsimile listings or mailing addresses in California.

SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 23:

IDENTIFY ALL universities, colleges AND institutes of higher learning located in California at which STUDIVZ provides OR provided services including without imitation, access to the www.studivz.net website, the www.studivz.net website, the www.studin.it website, the www.studin.it website, the www.studin.it website AND the www.schuelervz.net website, including without limitation University of California (all campuses), California State University (all campuses), as well as the USERS OF STUDIVZ using email domains (e.g., name@stanford.edu) from those universities, colleges, high schools AND institutes of higher learning.

GREENBERG GLUSKER FIELDS CLAMAN & MACHTINGER LLP 1900 Avenue of the Stars, 21st Floor Los Angeles, California 90067-4590

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 23:

Networks hereby incorporates by reference the general objections set forth above. Networks further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that a plaintiff is not entitled to take discovery on personal jurisdiction as a matter of right. In order to do so, Facebook must either make a prima facie showing of jurisdiction over Networks, or it must identify material jurisdictional issues that are in dispute. Facebook has done neither. Networks further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that "STUDIVZ" is undefined. Networks further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it is compound and exceeds Facebook's 30 allowed interrogatories. Networks further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it is unlimited as to time, and is so overbroad as to be unduly burdensome and harassing. Networks further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it seeks information that is not relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Networks further objects to the interrogatory on the grounds that it infringes upon the users' privacy rights. Networks further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it seeks information about StudiVZ, not Networks.

DATED: December 2008

GREENBERG GLUSKER FIELDS CLAMAN & MACHTINGER LLP

By: 19 May for

STRAHEN S. SMITH (SBN 166539) Attorneys for Defendants StudiVZ Ltd., Holtzbrinck Networks GmbH,

and Holtzbrinck Ventures GmbH

б

VERIFICATION

I. Martin Weber, declare as follows:

I have read the foregoing "HOLTZBRINCK NETWORKS GmbH'S SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSES TO FACEBOOK, INC.'S FIRST SET OF SPECIAL INTERROGATORIES" and know the contents therein. I am a managing director of Holtzbrinck Networks Gmbh. The matters stated therein are either true of my own knowledge or I am informed and believe them to be true.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed at Stuttgart, Germany on December 24/2, 2008.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

PROOF OF SERVICE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

I am employed in the county of Los Angeles, State of California.

I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action; my business address is 1900 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 2100, Los Angeles, California 90067-4590.

On December 24, 2008, I served the foregoing document described as HOLTZBRINCK NETWORKS GmbH'S SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSES TO FACEBOOK, INC.'S FIRST SET OF SPECIAL INTERROGATORIES on the interested parties in this action

by placing \(\otimes\) the original \(\otimes\) a true copy thereof enclosed in sealed envelopes addressed as follows:

Thomas Gray, Esq. (ORIGINAL) Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP 4 Park Plaza, Suite 1600 Irvine, CA 92614-2558

Gary E. Weiss, Esq. (COPY) gweiss@orrick.com Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP 1000 Marsh Road Menlo Park, CA 94025

BOTH BY E-MAIL AND U.S. MAIL:

As follows: I am "readily familiar" with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with U.S. postal service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid at Los Angeles, California in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit. A true and correct copy of the document was also e-mailed to Thomas Gray, Esq., tgray@orrick.com, and to Gary E. Weiss, Esq. at gweiss@orrick.com.

Executed on December 24, 2008, at Los Angeles, California.

BY PERSONAL SERVICE:

		delivered such envelope by hand to the offices of the addressee.			
	Execute	i on, at Los Angeles, California.			
ឮ (Fed))	I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this court at whose direction the service was made.			

Nancy L. Luis

Manay SIGNATURE

Attorneys for Plaintiff Facebook, Inc.

PROOF OF SERVICE

37106-00002/1664763.1

1

6 7

5

9

8

11 12

13

14 15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

I. GENERAL OBJECTIONS

Holtzbrinck Ventures GmbH ("Ventures") objects to the Special A. Interrogatories ("Interrogatories") on the grounds that Facebook seeks the right to use evidence obtained in this action in the action pending between Facebook and StudiVZ in Germany (the "German Action"). It is improper under established law to use this lawsuit or this Court as vehicles to obtain discovery for use in a foreign case when that evidence is located outside the United States, as it is here. It is also inconsistent with the District Court's form protective order.

- Ventures objects to the Interrogatories on the grounds that they seek В. discovery that is not reasonably related to pertinent disputed personal jurisdictional or forum non conveniens issues, which is improper given that there are currently pending motions to dismiss all defendants for lack of personal jurisdiction and forum non conveniens.
- Networks objects to the Interrogatories to the extent that they would C. require violation of the privacy rights of its employees and its customers as embodied in the German Constitution and the German Federal Data Protection Act (BDSG), the German Telecommunications Act (TKG), the German Tele Services Data Protection Act (TDDSG), the European Community Data Protection Directive 95/46/EC, Data Protection Directive for Electronic Communication 2002/58/EC and the E-Commerce Directive 2000/31/EC.
- Ventures objects to the Interrogatories on the grounds that D. "HOLTZBRINCK VENTURES GmbH," "YOU," and "YOUR" is defined as "defendant Verlagsgruppe Georg Von Holtzbrinck GmbH and its directors, officers, parents, subsidiaries, predecessors, successors, assigns, agents, servants, employees, investigators, attorneys, AND ALL other persons and entities

18

19

20

21

23

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

representing it acting on its behalf, OR purporting to act on its behalf, including without limitation Ehassan Dariani and Dennis Bemman." Verlagsgruppe Georg Von Holtzbrinck GmbH has already been dismissed and is no longer a party to this action. Moreover, the definition is overbroad generally and is particularly so given that the discovery purports to relate to personal jurisdiction, since in establishing jurisdiction discovery must be directed only at the party over whom jurisdiction is being asserted.

- Ventures objects to the Interrogatories to the extent they seek E. information that is protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work product doctrine, the right of privacy and/or any other applicable privileges, doctrines, or immunity from disclosure.
- Ventures further objects to the Interrogatories to the extent they F. attempt or purport to impose obligations on Ventures beyond those set forth in the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Hague Evidence Convention as interpreted and enforced under German law. All definitions and instructions will be treated as having no force or effect to the extent they purport to impose obligations on Ventures beyond those set forth in the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Hague Evidence Convention as interpreted and enforced under German law.

SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 1: 22

Describe in detail AND IDENTIFY ALL contacts AND COMMUNICATIONS YOU have had with PERSONS (including without limitation, USERS OF STUDIVZ AND USERS OF FACEBOOK) currently OR formerly residing OR domiciled in California. In doing so, IDENTIFY the PERSONS contacted, the location AND time where any such contact OR event occurred, AND the subject matter of the contact OR COMMUNICATION.

GREENBERG GLUSKER FIELDS CLAMAN & MACHTINGER LLP 1900 Avenue of the Stars, 21st Floor Los Angeles, California 90067-4590

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 1:

Ventures hereby incorporates by reference the general objections set forth above. Ventures further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that a plaintiff is not entitled to take discovery on personal jurisdiction as a matter of right. In order to do so, Facebook must either make a prima facie showing of jurisdiction over Ventures, or it must identify material jurisdictional issues that are in dispute. Facebook has done neither. Ventures further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that the definition of "YOU" is grossly overbroad. Ventures further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that the definition of "YOU" includes Verlagsgruppe Georg Von Holtzbrinck GmbH, which has already been dismissed and is no longer a party to this action. Ventures further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it is unlimited as to time, and is so overbroad as to be unduly burdensome and harassing. Ventures further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it is compound. Ventures further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it seeks information that is not relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Ventures further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it is not limited to contacts or communications that occurred within the authorized course and scope of Ventures' business.

Notwithstanding the foregoing objections, and pursuant to agreements reached during the parties "meet and confer," Ventures responds as follows:

Ventures was not a party to any negotiated contracts with a known California resident or that contained an express California choice of law clause as of July 18, 2008. Also, as of July 18, 2008, Ventures did not have any accounts receivable that were owed by a known California resident.

Also, as of July 18, 2008, Ventures did not have any accounts payable that were owed to a known California resident.

4

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 2:

Describe in detail AND IDENTIFY ALL contacts AND COMMUNICATIONS YOU have had with businesses (including without limitation, Internet search engines providers such as Google Inc. AND Yahoo!Inc., server providers, advertising agencies, advertisers, Internet service providers, computer equipment providers, YOUR licensors AND licensees) currently OR formerly located, licensed, based, OR incorporated in California. In doing so, IDENTIFY the PERSONS contacted, the location AND time where any such contact OR event occurred, AND the subject matter of the contact OR COMMUNICATION.

RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 2:

Ventures hereby incorporates by reference the general objections set forth above. Ventures further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that a plaintiff is not entitled to take discovery on personal jurisdiction as a matter of right. In order to do so, Facebook must either make a prima facie showing of jurisdiction over Ventures, or it must identify material jurisdictional issues that are in dispute. Facebook has done neither. Ventures further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that the definition of "YOU" is grossly overbroad. Ventures further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that the definition of "YOU" includes Verlagsgruppe Georg Von Holtzbrinck GmbH, which has already been dismissed and is no longer a party to this action. Ventures further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it is unlimited as to time, and is so overbroad as to be unduly burdensome and harassing. Ventures further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it is compound. Ventures further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it seeks information that is not relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Ventures further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it is not limited to contacts or communications that occurred within the authorized course and scope of Ventures' business.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Notwithstanding the foregoing objections, and pursuant to agreements reached during the parties "meet and confer," Ventures responds as follows:

Ventures was not a party to any negotiated contracts with a known California resident or that contained an express California choice of law clause as of July 18, 2008. Also, as of July 18, 2008, Ventures did not have any accounts receivable that were owed by a known California resident.

Also, as of July 18, 2008, Ventures did not have any accounts payable that were owed to a known California resident.

SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 3:

Describe in detail AND IDENTIFY ALL contacts AND COMMUNICATIONS YOU have had with universities AND colleges located in California, including without limitation, letters, emails, advertising materials, business solicitations, business contacts, telephonic conversations, facsimile transmissions. In doing so, IDENTIFY the PERSONS contacted, the location AND time where any such contact OR event occurred, AND the subject matter of the contact OR COMMUNICATION.

RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 3:

Ventures hereby incorporates by reference the general objections set forth above. Ventures further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that a plaintiff is not entitled to take discovery on personal jurisdiction as a matter of right. In order to do so, Facebook must either make a prima facie showing of jurisdiction over Ventures, or it must identify material jurisdictional issues that are in dispute. Facebook has done neither. Ventures further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that the definition of "YOU" is grossly overbroad. Ventures further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that the definition of "YOU" includes Verlagsgruppe Georg Von Holtzbrinck GmbH, which has already been dismissed and is no longer a party to this action. Ventures further objects to this interrogatory

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

on the grounds that it is unlimited as to time, and is so overbroad as to be unduly burdensome and harassing. Ventures further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it is compound. Ventures further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it seeks information that is not relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Ventures further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it is not limited to contacts or communications that occurred within the authorized course and scope of Ventures' business.

Notwithstanding the foregoing objections, and pursuant to agreements reached during the parties "meet and confer," Ventures responds as follows:

Ventures has never directed any advertising or marketing materials specifically to students, colleges or universities located in California.

SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 4:

Describe in detail AND IDENTIFY ALL of YOUR trips to California. In doing so, IDENTIFY the PERSONS contacted, the location AND time where any such contact OR event occurred, AND the subject matter of the contact OR COMMUNICATION.

RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 4:

Ventures hereby incorporates by reference the general objections set forth above. Ventures further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that a plaintiff is not entitled to take discovery on personal jurisdiction as a matter of right. In order to do so, Facebook must either make a prima facie showing of jurisdiction over Ventures, or it must identify material jurisdictional issues that are in dispute. Facebook has done neither. Ventures further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that the definition of "YOU" is grossly overbroad. Ventures further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that the definition of "YOU" includes Verlagsgruppe Georg Von Holtzbrinck GmbH, which has already been dismissed and is no longer a party to this action. Ventures further objects to this interrogatory

on the grounds that it is unlimited as to time, and is so overbroad as to be unduly burdensome and harassing. Ventures further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it is compound. Ventures further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it seeks information that is not relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Ventures further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it is not limited to trips, contacts or communications that occurred within the authorized course and scope of Ventures' business.

Notwithstanding the foregoing objections, and pursuant to agreements reached during the parties "meet and confer," Ventures responds as follows:

From March 16-19, 2008, Mr. Martin Weber and Mr. Konstantin Urban made a trip to California in connection with the negotiations by Facebook to buy StudiVZ.

SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 5:

IDENTIFY, on a monthly basis, how many USERS OF STUDIVZ have been registered at the www.studivz.net website, the www.studin.it website, the www.studin.net website, the www.studin.net website, the www.studin.net website since October 2005, AND how many of those USERS OF STUDIVZ are residents of, OR PERSONS domiciled in, California.

RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 5:

Ventures hereby incorporates by reference the general objections set forth above. Ventures further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that a plaintiff is not entitled to take discovery on personal jurisdiction as a matter of right. In order to do so, Facebook must either make a *prima facie* showing of jurisdiction over Ventures, or it must identify material jurisdictional issues that are in dispute. Facebook has done neither. Ventures further objects to this interrogatory on the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

grounds that "STUDIVZ" is undefined. Ventures further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it is overbroad as to time. Ventures further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it does not operate the websites.

SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 6:

IDENTIFY the number AND amount of accounts receivable owed YOU by PERSONS that, OR who are, California residents OR PERSONS domiciled in California. In doing so, IDENTIFY the goods AND services for which the individual accounts receivable are owed.

RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 6:

Ventures hereby incorporates by reference the general objections set forth above. Ventures further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that a plaintiff is not entitled to take discovery on personal jurisdiction as a matter of right. In order to do so, Facebook must either make a prima facie showing of jurisdiction over Ventures, or it must identify material jurisdictional issues that are in dispute. Facebook has done neither. Ventures further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that the definition of "YOU" is grossly overbroad. Ventures further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that the definition of "YOU" includes Verlagsgruppe Georg Von Holtzbrinck GmbH, which has already been dismissed and is no longer a party to this action. Ventures further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it is compound.

Notwithstanding the foregoing objections, and pursuant to agreements reached during the parties "meet and confer," Ventures responds as follows:

As of July 18, 2008, Ventures did not have any accounts receivable that were owed by a known California resident.

SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 7:

IDENTIFY ALL instances in which YOU have been in California, including without limitation, business trips OR recreational trips; living, residing OR

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

domiciling in California; AND flying OR driving to OR through California. In doing so, IDENTIFY the dates of ALL occurrences AND the length of the stay in California.

RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 7:

Ventures hereby incorporates by reference the general objections set forth above. Ventures further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that a plaintiff is not entitled to take discovery on personal jurisdiction as a matter of right. In order to do so, Facebook must either make a prima facie showing of jurisdiction over Ventures, or it must identify material jurisdictional issues that are in dispute. Facebook has done neither. Ventures further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that the definition of "YOU" is grossly overbroad. Ventures further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that the definition of "YOU" includes Verlagsgruppe Georg Von Holtzbrinck GmbH, which has already been dismissed and is no longer a party to this action. Ventures further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it is unlimited as to time, and is so overbroad as to be unduly burdensome and harassing. Ventures further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it is compound. Ventures further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it seeks information that is not relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Ventures further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it is not limited to instances that occurred within the authorized course and scope of Ventures' business.

Notwithstanding the foregoing objections, and pursuant to agreements reached during the parties "meet and confer," Ventures responds as follows:

From March 16-19, 2008, Mr. Martin Weber and Mr. Konstantin Urban made a trip to California in connection with the negotiations by Facebook to buy StudiVZ.

27

28

GREENBERG GLUSKER FIELDS CLAMAN & MACHTINGER LLP 1900 Avenue of the Stars, 21st Floor Los Angeles, California 90067-4590

SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 8:

IDENTIFY ALL of YOUR current AND former personal OR real property currently OR previously located in California.

RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 8:

Ventures hereby incorporates by reference the general objections set forth above. Ventures further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that a plaintiff is not entitled to take discovery on personal jurisdiction as a matter of right. In order to do so, Facebook must either make a *prima facie* showing of jurisdiction over Ventures, or it must identify material jurisdictional issues that are in dispute. Facebook has done neither. Ventures further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that the definition of "YOUR" is grossly overbroad. Ventures further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that the definition of "YOUR" includes Verlagsgruppe Georg Von Holtzbrinck GmbH, which has already been dismissed and is no longer a party to this action. Ventures further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it seeks information that is not relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

Notwithstanding the foregoing objections, and pursuant to agreements reached during the parties "meet and confer," Ventures responds as follows:

As of July 18, 2008, Ventures owned no real or personal property located in California.

SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 9:

IDENTIFY ALL contracts AND agreements involving YOU in which California law governs AND/OR in which the parties to the contract OR agreement agreed as to the jurisdiction of California state courts AND/OR United States federal courts located in California.

RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 9:

Ventures hereby incorporates by reference the general objections set forth

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

above. Ventures further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that a plaintiff is not entitled to take discovery on personal jurisdiction as a matter of right. In order to do so, Facebook must either make a prima facie showing of jurisdiction over Ventures, or it must identify material jurisdictional issues that are in dispute. Facebook has done neither. Ventures further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that the definition of "YOU" is grossly overbroad. Ventures further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that the definition of "YOU" includes Verlagsgruppe Georg Von Holtzbrinck GmbH, which has already been dismissed and is no longer a party to this action. Ventures further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it is unlimited as to time, and is so overbroad as to be unduly burdensome and harassing. Ventures further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it seeks information that is not relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

Notwithstanding the foregoing objections, and pursuant to agreements reached during the parties "meet and confer," Ventures responds as follows:

Ventures was not a party to any negotiated contracts with a known California resident or that contained an express California choice of law clause as of July 18, 2008.

SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 10:

IDENTIFY occurrences when YOU AND/OR ANY PERSON on YOUR behalf, including without limitation, Ehssan Dariani and Dennis Bemman, accessed the website, www.facebook.com OR www.thefacebook.com, AND the purposes of each access, including without limitation, ANY COMMUNICATIONS that RELATE TO ANY of the occurrences AND IDENTIFY the USER OF FACEBOOK OR registrant accounts OR email addresses used to access the facebook.com website.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 10:

Ventures hereby incorporates by reference the general objections set forth above. Ventures further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that a plaintiff is not entitled to take discovery on personal jurisdiction as a matter of right. In order to do so, Facebook must either make a prima facie showing of jurisdiction over Ventures, or it must identify material jurisdictional issues that are in dispute. Facebook has done neither. Ventures further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that the definition of "YOU" is grossly overbroad. Ventures further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that the definition of "YOU" includes Verlagsgruppe Georg Von Holtzbrinck GmbH, which has already been dismissed and is no longer a party to this action. Ventures further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it is unlimited as to time, and is so overbroad as to be unduly burdensome and harassing. Ventures further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it seeks information that is not relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Ventures further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it is not limited to access that occurred within the authorized course and scope of Ventures' business.

SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 11:

IDENTIFY ALL of YOUR licenses OR registrations regarding the ability to do business in California.

RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 11:

Ventures hereby incorporates by reference the general objections set forth above. Ventures further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that a plaintiff is not entitled to take discovery on personal jurisdiction as a matter of right. In order to do so, Facebook must either make a *prima facie* showing of jurisdiction over Ventures, or it must identify material jurisdictional issues that are in dispute. Facebook has done neither. Ventures further objects to this interrogatory on the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

grounds that the definition of "YOUR" is grossly overbroad. Ventures further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that the definition of "YOUR" includes Verlagsgruppe Georg Von Holtzbrinck GmbH, which has already been dismissed and is no longer a party to this action.

Notwithstanding the foregoing objections, and pursuant to agreements reached during the parties "meet and confer," Ventures responds as follows:

As of July 18, 2008, Ventures did not have any license or registration to do business in California.

SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 12:

IDENTIFY the first date YOU knew OR believed that FACEBOOK, its servers, facilities, officers, OR personnel were located in California.

RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 12:

Ventures hereby incorporates by reference the general objections set forth above. Ventures further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that a plaintiff is not entitled to take discovery on personal jurisdiction as a matter of right. In order to do so, Facebook must either make a prima facie showing of jurisdiction over Ventures, or it must identify material jurisdictional issues that are in dispute. Facebook has done neither. Ventures further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that the definition of "YOU" is grossly overbroad. Ventures further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that the definition of "YOU" includes Verlagsgruppe Georg Von Holtzbrinck GmbH, which has already been dismissed and is no longer a party to this action.

Notwithstanding the foregoing objections, and pursuant to agreements reached during the parties "meet and confer," Ventures responds as follows:

Ventures knew by some time in 2007 that Facebook was located in California.

SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 13:

IDENTIFY the services provided through the <u>www.studivz.net</u> website, the <u>www.studivz.net</u> website, the <u>www.studiqg.fr</u> website, the <u>www.studiln.it</u> website, the <u>www.studentix.pl</u> website AND the <u>www.schuelervz.net</u> website to USERS OF STUDIVZ, including without limitation, how the services are provided.

RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 13:

Ventures hereby incorporates by reference the general objections set forth above. Ventures further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that a plaintiff is not entitled to take discovery on personal jurisdiction as a matter of right. In order to do so, Facebook must either make a *prima facie* showing of jurisdiction over Ventures, or it must identify material jurisdictional issues that are in dispute. Facebook has done neither. Ventures further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it is so overbroad as to be unduly burdensome and harassing. Ventures further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it seeks information that is not relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Ventures further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it does not operate the websites.

SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 14:

IDENTIFY ALL USERS OF FACEBOOK employed by OR formerly employed by YOU, including without limitation, any PERSONS who are OR were full-time or part-time employees, independent contractors or agents of YOU, AND their respective email addresses.

RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 14:

Ventures hereby incorporates by reference the general objections set forth above. Ventures further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that a plaintiff is not entitled to take discovery on personal jurisdiction as a matter of right. In

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

order to do so, Facebook must either make a prima facie showing of jurisdiction over Ventures, or it must identify material jurisdictional issues that are in dispute. Facebook has done neither. Ventures further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that the definition of "YOU" is grossly overbroad. Ventures further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that the definition of "YOU" includes Verlagsgruppe Georg Von Holtzbrinck GmbH, which has already been dismissed and is no longer a party to this action. Ventures further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it is unlimited as to time, and is so overbroad as to be unduly burdensome and harassing. Ventures further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it seeks information that is not relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 15:

IDENTIFY ALL PERSONS responsible in any manner for the design, programming and maintenance of the www.studivz.net website, including without limitation the location of the PERSON, job descriptions, authorities, dates in these positions, duties, AND responsibilities.

RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 15:

Ventures hereby incorporates by reference the general objections set forth above. Ventures further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that a plaintiff is not entitled to take discovery on personal jurisdiction as a matter of right. In order to do so, Facebook must either make a prima facie showing of jurisdiction over Ventures, or it must identify material jurisdictional issues that are in dispute. Facebook has done neither. Ventures further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it is unlimited as to time, and is so overbroad as to be unduly burdensome and harassing. Ventures further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it seeks information that is not relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Ventures further objects to this

1900 Avenue of the Stars, 21st Floor Los Angeles, California 90067-4590

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

interrogatory on the grounds that it does not design, program, maintain or operate the websites.

SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 16:

IDENTIFY ALL PERSONS responsible in any manner for the design, programming and maintenance of the www.studivz.net website, the www.meinvz.net website, the www.studiqg.fr website, the www.studiln.it website, the www.estudiln.net website, the www.studentix.pl website AND the www.schuelervz.net website, including without limitation, the location of the PERSON, job descriptions, authorities, dates in these positions, duties, AND responsibilities.

RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 16:

Ventures hereby incorporates by reference the general objections set forth above. Ventures further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that a plaintiff is not entitled to take discovery on personal jurisdiction as a matter of right. In order to dó so, Facebook must either make a prima facie showing of jurisdiction over Ventures, or it must identify material jurisdictional issues that are in dispute. Facebook has done neither. Ventures further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it is unlimited as to time, and is so overbroad as to be unduly burdensome and harassing. Ventures further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it seeks information that is not relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Ventures further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it does not design, program, maintain or operate the websites.

SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 17:

IDENTIFY current AND former directors, officers, employees, AND agents of STUDIVZ, including without limitation, dates in these positions, duties, job descriptions, authorities, AND responsibilities.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 17:

Ventures hereby incorporates by reference the general objections set forth above. Ventures further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that a plaintiff is not entitled to take discovery on personal jurisdiction as a matter of right. In order to do so, Facebook must either make a prima facie showing of jurisdiction over Ventures, or it must identify material jurisdictional issues that are in dispute. Facebook has done neither. Ventures further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that the definition of "STUDIVZ" is grossly overbroad. Ventures further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it is unlimited as to time, and is so overbroad as to be unduly burdensome and harassing. Ventures further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it seeks information that is not relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

Notwithstanding the foregoing objections, and pursuant to agreements reached during the parties "meet and confer," Ventures responds as follows:

The current directors of StudiVZ are as follows: the Managing Directors are Clemens Riedl, Michael Brehm and Dennis Bemmann, and the Non-Executive Directors Konstantin Urban, Michael Weber and Claas van Delden.

SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 18:

IDENTIFY ALL of YOUR advertising, promotions, AND marketing activities directed, at least in part, at California residents.

RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 18:

Ventures hereby incorporates by reference the general objections set forth above. Ventures further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that a plaintiff is not entitled to take discovery on personal jurisdiction as a matter of right. In order to do so, Facebook must either make a prima facie showing of jurisdiction over Ventures, or it must identify material jurisdictional issues that are in dispute. Facebook has done neither. Ventures further objects to this interrogatory on the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

grounds that the definition of "YOUR" is grossly overbroad. Ventures further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that the definition of "YOUR" includes Verlagsgruppe Georg Von Holtzbrinck GmbH, which has already been dismissed and is no longer a party to this action. Ventures further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it is unlimited as to time, and is so overbroad as to be unduly burdensome and harassing. Ventures further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it seeks information that is not relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Ventures further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it is not limited to activities that occurred within the authorized course and scope of Ventures' business.

Notwithstanding the foregoing objections, and pursuant to agreements reached during the parties "meet and confer," Ventures responds as follows:

Ventures has never directed any advertisements or other marketing materials specifically to students, colleges or universities located in California or other people who reside in California.

SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 19:

IDENTIFY ALL of YOUR business relationships with, OR financial interests in, businesses currently OR formerly incorporated, licensed, located, based, OR with facilities OR offices located in California, including without limitation, the nature of each relationship, the IDENTIFY of each business, AND whether each business is incorporated, licensed, located, based OR has facilities OR offices located in California.

RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 19:

Ventures hereby incorporates by reference the general objections set forth above. Ventures further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that a plaintiff is not entitled to take discovery on personal jurisdiction as a matter of right. In

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

order to do so, Facebook must either make a prima facie showing of jurisdiction over Ventures, or it must identify material jurisdictional issues that are in dispute. Facebook has done neither. Ventures further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that the definition of "YOUR" is grossly overbroad. Ventures further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that the definition of "YOUR" includes Verlagsgruppe Georg Von Holtzbrinck GmbH, which has already been dismissed and is no longer a party to this action. Ventures further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous as to the term "business relationships." Ventures further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it is unlimited as to time, and is so overbroad as to be unduly burdensome and harassing. Ventures further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it seeks information that is not relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Ventures further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it is not limited to activities that occurred within the authorized course and scope of Ventures' business.

SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 20:

IDENTIFY ALL reasons why defending this lawsuit in California would burden YOU.

RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 20:

Ventures hereby incorporates by reference the general objections set forth above. Ventures further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that a plaintiff is not entitled to take discovery on personal jurisdiction as a matter of right. In order to do so, Facebook must either make a prima facie showing of jurisdiction over Ventures, or it must identify material jurisdictional issues that are in dispute. Facebook has done neither. Ventures further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that the definition of "YOU" is grossly overbroad. Ventures further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that the definition of "YOU" includes

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Verlagsgruppe Georg Von Holtzbrinck GmbH, which has already been dismissed and is no longer a party to this action.

Notwithstanding the foregoing objections, and pursuant to agreements reached during the parties "meet and confer," Ventures responds as follows:

Ventures is a limited liability company (Gesellschaft mit beschränkter Haftung (GmbH)) organized under German law. It functions as a "holding company," whose corporate purpose is to administer its assets (principally mediarelated companies) for financial purposes.

Ventures owns an 15% equity interest in defendant StudiVZ. Ventures first became an equity holder of StudiVZ in August 2006. Ventures is not qualified to do business in California. It does not have any officers, directors, employees or independent contractors based in California. It does not have a California agent for service of process. It does not direct any advertising toward California residents.

Ventures has offices in Germany, but no offices or facilities in California, nor does it have any telephone or facsimile listings or mailing addresses in California.

Ventures does not maintain any books or records in California. It has no bank accounts or tangible personal or real property in California. It has no sales in California, has had no California income and has not paid any California income tax.

None of Ventures' officers, directors or employees reside or are domiciled in California. No meetings of Ventures' management boards or equity holders have been held in California.

While Ventures and Holtzbrinck Networks GmbH ("Networks") monitor StudiVZ's financial performance (as they would any investment), they do not exercise any type of day-to-day control over StudiVZ - either operational control or control over strategic decisions. The two managing directors of Networks and Ventures, Konstantin Urban and Martin Weber, are also company directors of

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

StudiVZ. However, they merely function in a supervisory capacity, not as officers who are responsible for the day-to-day activities of StudiVZ.

StudiVZ has its own management team, offices and bank accounts, and is separately (and sufficiently) capitalized on its own and apart from Ventures and Networks. StudiVZ also does not act as a general agent of either Networks or Ventures. Networks and Ventures simply hold shares in StudiVZ as an investment. StudiVZ is only one of many media-related assets held as an investment by Networks and Ventures.

All of Ventures' and Networks' employees, officers and directors reside in Germany and speak German (or, in a few cases, another European language other than English) as their native language, and all speak German as their every-day language. Ventures and Networks perform their business almost exclusively in the German language. As a result, almost all of Ventures' and Networks' business documents are located in Germany and are written in the German language (and would have to be translated, at huge expense).

Further, this action arises out of the alleged actions of StudiVZ. StudiVZ's operations are located in Germany, and its single office and headquarters are in Berlin, Germany. The first of the StudiVZ websites, studivz.net, was formed in Berlin, Germany in 2005 by two young German citizens. Its target group was, and remains, university students in Germany, Austria and Switzerland. StudiVZ. has never at any time engaged in any type of marketing or advertising in, or that is directed to, the United States or other English speaking countries in general or to California in particular. None of StudiVZ's websites target either users in the United States in general or California in particular. None of the StudiVZ-branded websites is available in English. StudiVZ has also formed, in Germany, the social networking site "SchuelerVZ." This site, at schuelervz.net, targets pupils and teenagers before they begin attending a university, and focuses solely on Germany. SchuelerVZ has also never engaged in any marketing or advertising in, or that is

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

directed to, either the United States in general or California in particular. SchuelerVZ is also not available in English.

In 2008, StudiVZ launched its third social networking site, MeinVZ, at meinvz.net. MeinVZ is a social networking site for adults, including those who have graduated from a university. MeinVZ has also never engaged in any marketing or advertising in, or that is directed to, either the United States in general or California in particular. All but one of MeinVZ's sites are in non-English languages. The one English language site was launched in February 2008 and represents less than 1% of MeinVZ's total user traffic. That site was created in order to provide a platform for users who speak different, non-English languages to network with each other using a secondary language that is commonly understood between them. Because English is the most commonly understood, non-native language in continental Europe, it serves as a "lingua franca" for people of diverse speech. The English-language site was not created to attract native English speakers, let alone Americans or Californians. The English used is British English, not American English, and the consultant who was hired to translate MeinVZ's German site into English is a British citizen living in Berlin.

Like most other internet sites on the "World Wide Web," the StudiVZ Websites may be accessed by California residents, just as they may be accessed by residents of Iceland, New Zealand or Japan. But the sites are not directed at or marketed to North America, let alone California.

As of October 22, 2008, there were a total of 11,768,965 current users of all of the StudiVZ Websites. Only 11,013 of those users, or less than a tenth of one percent (0.094%), have identified themselves as being affiliated with California or a California-located university. Specifically, out of the 5,534,300 registered users of the StudiVZ- branded sites, only 9,144-0.1652% – have identified themselves as affiliated with universities located in California. Out of the 4,443,708 registered users of the Schueler VZ-branded sites, only 122 - 0.0027% - identify themselves

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

as being located in California. Out of the 1,790,957 registered users of the MeinVZ-branded sites, only 1,747 - 0.098% - identify themselves as being located in California.

StudiVZ does not direct its activities to California residents. It is not registered or qualified to do business in California. It does not have any officers, directors, employees or independent contractors based in California. It does not have a California agent for service of process.

StudiVZ has a single office in Berlin, Germany. It has no offices or facilities in California, nor does it have any telephone or facsimile listings or mailing addresses in California.

None of StudiVZ's officers, directors or employees reside or are domiciled in California. No meetings of its management board or equity holders have been held in California.

StudiVZ does not maintain any books or records in California. It has no bank accounts or other tangible personal or real property in California. It has no sales in California, has had no California income and has not paid any California income taxes.

Germany is an adequate, available alternative forum and, indeed, is a much better forum than California. Most obviously, all of the conduct allegedly engaged in by StudiVZ was engaged in from Germany, by German residents. Those actors communicated predominantly in German. German is their native language and all of the written documents related to this action are in German.

Facebook has known since June 2006 that German law recognizes and provides remedies for its claims. Facebook's German counsel researched the law applicable to Facebook's claims and then, on June 8, 2006, sent a demand letter in German asserting claims exclusively under German law to StudiVZ in Germany. Then, again, on January 3, 2007, Facebook's German lawyers sent another demand letter in German to StudiVZ in Germany, again raising claims exclusively under

GREENBERG GLUSKER FIELDS CLAMAN & MACHTINGER LLP 1900 Avenue of the Stars, 21st Floor Los Angeles, California 90067-4590

German law.

Because those letters threatened litigation, including injunctive relief, StudiVZ filed "Schutzschriften" (precautionary defense/opposition briefs, evidence and offers of proof) in the district courts of Berlin and Hamburg on June 21, 2006 in response to Facebook's June 8, 2006 demand letter, and on January 12, 2007 in the district courts of Berlin, Hamburg and Stuttgart in response to Facebook's January 3, 2007 demand letter. The "Schutzschriften" are essentially oppositions to potential/anticipated complaints and motions seeking injunctive relief, and include evidence, and offers of additional proof such as the testimony of specific witnesses. The Schutzschriften are only "triggered", however, if the plaintiff actually initiates actions in German court seeking such relief. Although Facebook did not initiate any such actions at the time, the Schutzschriften/oppositions to the motions that Facebook threatened to file were formally filed in court in Germany long ago.

The claims raised by Facebook's German lawyers in the June 8, 2006 and January 3, 2007 demand letters were raised pursuant to German law, but were based on the same facts that Facebook now alleges give rise to the claims raised in the instant lawsuit – that StudiVZ committed wrongs by copying the look and feel of Facebook's website.

After Ventures and Networks became equity holders in StudiVZ in August 2006 and October 2007, Facebook sought to negotiate to purchase Ventures' and Networks' ownership interests in StudiVZ. Those negotiations took place in the Spring of 2008.

Just after those negotiations broke down, on July 9, 2008, Facebook wrote a demand letter to Networks and Ventures, threatening to sue based on the exact same types of claims that Facebook had raised in *Germany* in June 2006 and January 2007.

Because Germany is clearly the more appropriate forum for the resolutions of such disputes, on July 18, 2008, StudiVZ filed a declaratory relief action against

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Facebook in Stuttgart, Germany (the "Stuttgart Action"). In the Stuttgart Action, StudiVZ seeks a declaratory judgment that it has not engaged in the wrongful conduct alleged by Facebook in its three prior demand letters. On that same day, Facebook filed the present action, alleging the same claims that it asserted in June 2006, January 2007 and July 2008.

Germany is the principal if not exclusive location of the acts alleged by Facebook in its complaint. The conduct allegedly engaged in by StudizVZ is alleged to have taken place in Germany and Europe. Facebook affirmatively alleges that the harm it has supposedly suffered has been suffered in Germany and other parts of Europe. All of the people who could have possibly engaged in the conduct alleged by Facebook are located in Germany. Those witnesses speak German as their native language. Most would require translators to testify in a United States legal proceeding. Nearly all of the documents related to the claims alleged by Facebook are located in Germany. The vast majority of those documents are written in the German language, thus requiring huge translation costs to be used in a United States legal proceeding.

Facebook has appeared in the Stuttgart Action. The first trial hearing in the German Action took place on December 16, 2008.

At the same time that Facebook filed its response in the Stuttgart Action it also initiated its own, affirmative action against StudiVZ in Cologne, Germany (the "Cologne Action"). The complaint in the Cologne Action includes within it all of the facts alleged in this U.S. action, plus additional facts related to Facebook's claims. Although the law cited is different than in this action (i.e., German law instead of U.S. law), the acts and injuries complained of are the same.

The Cologne action was expressly incorporated into Facebook's response in the Stuttgart action. Thus, there are now pending in Germany two separate actions that encompass within them everything that is alleged in the instant action, plus additional alleged facts and injuries. The German courts are fully empowered to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

decide the issues alleged in those two German actions under German law and United States and California law. Given that 99% of the conduct alleged and harm allegedly suffered took place in Germany, that all of the alleged bad actors are residents of Germany, that all of the documents in the allegedly bad actor's possessions are located in Germany and are written in German, it makes much more sense and would be much more convenient for everyone involved to have all issues in dispute litigated in Germany than in California or the United States.

It is also the case that personal jurisdiction cannot be maintained over StudiVZ (and the other defendants) in the United States, whereas there is no dispute that all parties are subject to personal jurisdiction in Germany.

Finally, the parties should not be forced to litigate the exact same dispute and issues in two different, parallel proceedings at the same time. It is terribly inefficient and expensive, wastes judicial resources and leads to the distinct possibility of conflicting orders, judgments, etc. Ventures must retain two sets of lawyers -- one in the United States and one in Germany. It must pay for the translation of all its documents, either by literally translating them or by spending a tremendous amount of billable time explaining what each document means to its American, non-German-speaking counsel. The depositions of the defense witnesses will all take place in Germany, necessitating huge amounts of travel expenses and travel time billed by the lawyers. The depositions will take longer than would otherwise be necessary because of the need for interpreters whenever the witness is unable to testify in English, which will be the case to varying degrees for each defense witness. As to every relevant consideration, this U.S. action is by far the more inconvenient forum.

SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 21:

IDENTIFY the ownership of HOLTZBRINCK VENTURES GmbH, including without limitation, PERSON'S names, amounts they contributed OR

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

invested, AND their percent ownership OR control (including without limitation, Capital Contributions, Percent Interest, Equity Units, Non-equity Units, Voting Units) on a by-PERSON basis.

RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 21:

Ventures hereby incorporates by reference the general objections set forth above. Ventures further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that a plaintiff is not entitled to take discovery on personal jurisdiction as a matter of right. In order to do so, Facebook must either make a prima facie showing of jurisdiction over Ventures, or it must identify material jurisdictional issues that are in dispute. Facebook has done neither. Ventures further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that the definition of "HOLTZBRINCK VENTURES GmbH" is grossly overbroad. Ventures further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that the definition of "HOLTZBRINCK VENTURES GmbH" includes Verlagsgruppe Georg Von Holtzbrinck GmbH, which has already been dismissed and is no longer. a party to this action.

SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 22:

IDENTIFY the location of YOUR offices, facilities, server/equipment locations.

RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 22:

Ventures hereby incorporates by reference the general objections set forth above. Ventures further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that a plaintiff is not entitled to take discovery on personal jurisdiction as a matter of right. In order to do so, Facebook must either make a prima facie showing of jurisdiction over Ventures, or it must identify material jurisdictional issues that are in dispute. Facebook has done neither. Ventures further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that the definition of "YOUR" is grossly overbroad. Ventures further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that the definition of "YOUR" includes 1900 Avenue of the Stars, 21st Floor Los Angeles, California 90067-4590

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Verlagsgruppe Georg Von Holtzbrinck GmbH, which has already been dismissed and is no longer a party to this action.

Notwithstanding the foregoing objections, and pursuant to agreements reached during the parties "meet and confer," Ventures responds as follows:

Ventures has main office and headquarters in Germany. It has no offices or facilities in California, nor does it have any telephone or facsimile listings or mailing addresses in California.

SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 23:

IDENTIFY ALL universities, colleges AND institutes of higher learning located in California at which STUDIVZ provides OR provided services including without limitation, access to the www.studivz.net website, the www.meinvz.net website, the www.studigg.fr website, the www.studiln.it website, the www.estudiln.net website, the www.studentix.pl website AND the www.schuelervz.net website, including without limitation University of California (all campuses), California State University (all campuses), as well as the USERS OF STUDIVZ using email domains (e.g., name@stanford.edu) from those universities, colleges, high schools AND institutes of higher learning.

RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 23:

Ventures hereby incorporates by reference the general objections set forth above. Ventures further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that a plaintiff is not entitled to take discovery on personal jurisdiction as a matter of right. In order to do so, Facebook must either make a prima facie showing of jurisdiction over Ventures, or it must identify material jurisdictional issues that are in dispute. Facebook has done neither. Ventures further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that "STUDIVZ" is undefined. Ventures further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it is compound and exceeds Facebook's 30 allowed interrogatories. Ventures further objects to this interrogatory on the

GREENBERG GLUSKER FIELDS CLAMAN & MACHTINGER LLP 1900 Avenue of the Stars, 21st Floor Los Angeles, California 90067-4590

grounds that it is unlimited as to time, ar	nd is so overbroad as to be unduly
burdensome and harassing. Ventures fu	rther objects to this interrogatory on the
grounds that it seeks information that is	not relevant nor reasonably calculated to
lead to the discovery of admissible evide	ence. Ventures further objects to the
interrogatory on the grounds that it infri	nges upon the users' privacy rights.
Ventures further objects to this interroge	atory on the grounds that it seeks
information about StudiVZ, not Venture	es.
	•
DATED: December 24, 2008	GREENBERG GLUSKER FIELDS CLAMAN & MACHTINGER LLP
	By: STEPHEN S. SMITH (SBN 166539) Attorneys for Defendants StudiVZ Ltd., Holtzbrinck Networks GmbH, and Holtzbrinck Ventures GmbH
•	

5:3

5 6 7

10 11

12

1.3 14 1,5

16 17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27 28

VERIFICATION

I, Martin Weber, declare as follows:

VON: WEBER/MATERNA/HEBGEN +49 7191 84213

I have read the foregoing "HOLTZBRINCK VENTURES GmbH'S SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSES TO FACEBOOK, INC.'S FIRST SET OF SPECIAL INTERROGATORIES" and know the contents therein. I am a managing director of Holtzbrinck Ventures Gmbh. The matters stated therein are either true of my own knowledge or I am informed and believe them to be true.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed at Stuttgart, Germany on December 24/2, 2008.

Martin Webc

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

PROOF OF SERVICE CCP §1011, CCP §1013a(3)

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

I am employed in the county of Los Angeles, State of California.

I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action; my business address is 1900 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 2100, Los Angeles, California 90067-4590.

On December 24, 2008, I served the foregoing document described as HOLTZBRINCK VENTURES GmbH'S SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSES TO FACEBOOK, INC.'S FIRST SET OF SPECIAL INTERROGATORIES on the interested parties in this

X by placing \(\) the original \(\) a true copy thereof enclosed in sealed envelopes addressed as follows:

Thomas Gray, Esq. (ORIGINAL) Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP 4 Park Plaza, Suite 1600 Irvine, CA 92614-2558

Attorneys for Plaintiff Facebook, Inc.

SIGNATURE

Gary E. Weiss, Esq. (COPY) gweiss@orrick.com Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP 1000 Marsh Road Menlo Park, CA 94025

BOTH BY E-MAIL AND U.S. MAIL:

図 As follows: I am "readily familiar" with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with U.S. postal service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid at Los Angeles, California in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit. A true and correct copy of the document was also e-mailed to Thomas Gray, Esq., tgray@orrick.com, and to Gary E. Weiss, Esq. at gweiss@orrick.com.

Executed on December 24, 2008, at Los Angeles, California.

BY PERSONAL SERVICE:

Nancy L. Luis

		I delivered such envelope by hand to the offices of the addressee.
	Executed	d on, at Los Angeles, California.
<u>⊠</u> (Fed))	I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this court at whose direction the service was made.
		Dans Co Calvin

PROOF OF SERVICE

37106-00002/1664763.1