

United States District Court  
For the Northern District of California

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**\*E-Filed 3/6/09\***

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN JOSE DIVISION

DONNA PRATT,

NO. C 08-3588 JF (RS)

Plaintiff,

**ORDER GRANTING IN PART  
AND DENYING IN PART  
MOTION TO COMPEL**

v.

ARCHSTONE WILLOW GLEN  
APARTMENTS, et al.,

Defendants.

Defendant Orkin Exterminating Co. (“Orkin”) moves to compel plaintiff Donna Pratt to respond to interrogatory numbers six, seven, ten, and thirteen relating to her past and present medical conditions. This matter came on for hearing on March 6, 2009.

Regarding interrogatory numbers six and seven, Pratt represents that her amended responses encompass her complete recollection regarding each and every doctor or hospital visit over the past fifteen years. Accordingly, no further responses to these interrogatories will be required. If further information becomes available, Pratt remains under an obligation to supplement her responses. Regarding interrogatory numbers ten and thirteen, Pratt merely identifies various paragraphs of her complaint as her response. As a more complete response is warranted, Pratt shall supplement her answers to these interrogatories by March 20, 2009. Finally, Orkin and Pratt each ask for attorney's fees and costs incurred with this motion. Neither Orkin nor Pratt, however, filed their motion for

1 sanctions separately as required by Civil Local Rules 37-3 and 7-8. Even if they had complied with  
2 the procedures set forth in those provisions, no basis exists to shift costs in this discovery dispute.

3 IT IS SO ORDERED.

4  
5 Dated: 3/6/09

  
RICHARD SEEBORG  
United States Magistrate Judge

6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28