1 2 3 \*E-FILED - 12/8/09\* 4 5 6 7 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 GENE DANIELS. No. C 08-3780 RMW (PR) 11 Plaintiff, ORDER DISMISSING DEFENDANTS 12 LOBRETZ AND RICHARDS 13 v. M. S. EVANS, Warden, et al., 14 Defendants. 15 16 Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, filed a civil rights complaint pursuant to 17 42 U.S.C. §1983. The court ordered service of plaintiff's amended complaint. 18 In a court order dated August 26, 2009, the court advised plaintiff that it was his 19 20 responsibility to provide the court with information necessary to identify defendants Lobretz 21 and Richards. In that order, the court directed plaintiff to provide such information to effectuate service, or face dismissal of the unserved defendants within sixty days. See Fed. 22 R. Civ. P. 4(m). More than sixty days have passed, and plaintiff has not provided the court 23 24 with any further information for defendants Lobretz and Richards, nor has he demonstrated 25 "good cause" for such failure. See Walker v. Sumner, 14 F.3d 1415, 1421-22 (9th Cir. 1994). 26 27 28

## United States District Court For the Northern District of California

Accor	dingly, defendants Lobretz and	Richards are DISMISSED without prejudice.
IT IS S	SO ORDERED.	Romandalant
DATED: 1	2/7/09	Konald M. Whyte
		RONALD M. WHYTE United States District Judge