supplemental opposition brief that addresses each and every document request and interrogatory that remains in dispute after the parties' meet and confer. Addressing only a "sample" is inadequate. Further, the opposition must respond to the arguments made in the moving papers (omitting only those that have been resolved by the parties' meet and confer).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiffs shall file their reply no later than September 29, 2009.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendants' request for sanctions (which was improperly included in their opposition papers) is DENIED without prejudice to a properly noticed motion, if warranted. *See* CIVIL L.R. 7-8(a). Any such motion shall be noticed for hearing no earlier than November 3, 2009.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that no later than September 29, 2009, the parties shall submit either a stipulated form of protective order to govern the handling of confidential information, or else their respective proposed forms of order. The court strongly recommends that the parties base their form of order on the court's model form of Stipulated Protective Order, copies of which are available from the "Forms" section of the court's website (at www.cand.uscourts.gov). Pending entry of such a protective order, the terms set forth in the court's model form of order (other than the optional provisions) shall govern the handling of confidential information produced during discovery in this case. In light of this protection, no information relevant to this action may be withheld from discovery solely on grounds of privacy and/or confidentiality. Instead, any information that warrants protection under Rule 26(c) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure may be marked "Confidential" pursuant to the provisions of the protective order.

Dated: 9/3/09

PATRICIA V. TRUMBULL United States Magistrate Judge