

Dockets.Justia.com

made appointment of counsel the exception rather than the rule. Appointment is mandatory only when the circumstances of a particular case indicate that appointed counsel is necessary to prevent due process violations. See Chaney v. Lewis, 801 F.2d 1191, 1196 (9th Cir. 1986). 

Petitioner has thus far been able to adequately present his claims for relief. Respondent has been ordered to produce the state record, which may include petitioner's state appellate briefs prepared by counsel. No evidentiary hearing appears necessary in this case, nor are any other extraordinary circumstances apparent. Accordingly, the court concludes that appointment of counsel is not necessary at this time. Petitioner's motion for appointment of counsel (docket no. 23) is DENIED without prejudice. 

IT IS SO ORDERED.

6/16/09 Dated:

ald M. Whyte

United States District Judge

Order Denying Motion for Appointment of Counsel P:\PRO-SE\SJ.Rmw\HC.08\Briggs856.DenyAtty.wpd

For the Northern District of California **United States District Court**