## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

PULASKI & MIDDLEMAN, LLC, et al.	
Plaintiff(s),	CASE NO. 08-3888-SI
V. GOOGLE, INC., a Delaware corporation	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
Defendant(s).	
Counsel report that they have following stipulation pursuant to Civ	e met and conferred regarding ADR and have reached the vil L.R. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:
The parties agree to participate in the	e following ADR process:
✓ Mediation (ADR L.R (Note: Parties who believe that an eappreciably more likely to meet their	tion (ENE) (ADR L.R. 5) 6) arly settlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is r needs than any other form of ADR, must participate in an till this form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for
Private Process: Private ADR (please	identify process and provider)
	ession by: line (The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order an ADR process unless otherwise ordered.)
✓ other requested deadl	ine See Addendum
Dated: 10/29/208	Cadio Zirpoli Attorney for Plaintiff
Dated: 10/29/2008	Leo P. Norton Attorney for Defendant

When filing this document in ECF, please be sure to use the appropriate ADR Docket Event, e.g., "Stipulation and Proposed Order Selecting Early Neutral Evaluation."

## [PROPOSED] ORDER

Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:
Non-binding Arbitration
Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)

✓ Mediation Private ADR

Deadline for ADR session 90 days from the date of this order.

✓ other See Addendum

IT IS SO ORDERED.		
Dated:		
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT	JUDGE

## Addendum

The parties request that the Court extend the presumptive deadline for mediation until 90 days from the date of the order on plaintiff's anticipated motion for class certification.