

1 JENNIFER A. LLOYD (TX No. 24013050)
 2 jenny.lloyd@dlapiper.com
 3 (admitted *pro hac vice*)
 4 DLA PIPER LLP (US)
 5 401 Congress Avenue, Suite 2500
 6 Austin, Texas 78701
 7 Tel.: 512.457.7000
 8 Fax: 512.457.7001

9 Attorneys for *Plaintiff* SILICON LABS INTEGRATION,
 10 INC., a California corporation (formerly known as
 11 Integration Associates Incorporated)

12 CHRISTOPHER L. WANGER (Bar No. CA 164751)
 13 cwanger@manatt.com
 14 AMANDA M. KNUDSEN (Bar No. CA 252752)
 15 aknudsen@manatt.com
 16 MANATT, PHELPS & PHILLIPS, LLP
 17 One Embarcadero Center, 30th Floor
 18 San Francisco, CA 94111
 19 Telephone: (415) 291-7400
 20 Facsimile: (415) 291-7474

E-FILED - 2/11/10

21 Attorneys for *Defendant*
 22 SHMUEL MELMAN

23 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 24 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 25 SAN JOSE DIVISION

26 SILICON LABS INTEGRATION, INC., a
 27 California corporation (formerly known as
 28 Integration Associates Incorporated),

Plaintiff,

v.

SHMUEL MELMAN, an individual,

Defendant.

CASE NO. CV 08-04030-RMW

**STIPULATED REQUEST TO MODIFY
 SCHEDULING ORDER**

[N.D. Local Rules 6.2 AND 7.121]

Plaintiff Silicon Labs Integration, Inc. (hereinafter referred to as "Plaintiff") and
 Defendant Shmuel Melman (hereinafter to referred to as "Defendant"), by and through their
 respective counsel, hereby make this Stipulated Request to modify certain dates in the Scheduling
 Order entered in this matter on August 12, 2009 (Docket # 55).

-1-

1 As set forth in the accompanying Declaration of Jennifer A. Lloyd, the parties have made
2 no prior requests for any modifications to the Scheduling Order in effect in the case. Counsel for
3 the parties are requesting that discovery be extended an additional 60 days. The parties have
4 conducted written discovery and exchanged documents, and have conferred regarding oral
5 depositions. However, Defendant Melman's Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Second Claim for
6 Relief (Docket # 57) is still under submission before the Court, and thus Defendant Melman has
7 not yet filed his answer or any potential counterclaims.

8 Thus, the parties seek to extend the discovery deadline for a period of 60 days from the
9 original date of February 1, 2010 to a proposed deadline of **April 2, 2010**.

10 Additionally, the parties seek to extend the following deadlines:

11 The parties have agreed to ADR Local Rule 3-4(b) to conduct a private mediation before a
12 single neutral mediator acceptable to both parties with the cost of the mediation to be borne
13 equally by the parties. The parties have agreed to extend this deadline from the original date of
14 120 days after the date of the Scheduling Order filed on August 12, 2009 to **April 2, 2010**.

15 The parties have agreed to extend the deadline to make their initial disclosures of
16 testifying experts and serve on all other parties the materials required by Federal Rule of Civil
17 Procedure 26(a)(2)(B) from the original date of February 26, 2010 to **April 27, 2010**. The parties
18 have agreed to extend the deadline to make their disclosures of rebuttal testifying experts and
19 serve on all other parties the materials required by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(2)(B)
20 from the original date of March 31, 2010 to **May 18, 2010**.

21 The parties have agreed to extend the deadline to complete expert witness discovery from
22 the original date of April 16, 2010 to **May 31, 2010**

23 The deadlines for final pretrial conference of **June 3, 2010** and trial on **June 21, 2010** are
24 unaffected. This Stipulation is without prejudice to the parties' right to seek further modification
25 of the Scheduling Order.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IT IS SO STIPULATED, THROUGH COUNSEL OF RECORD.

Dated: January 29, 2010

MANATT, PHELPS & PHILLIPS, LLP

By: /s/ Christopher L. Wanger
Christopher L. Wanger
Attorneys for Defendant,
SHMUEL MELMAN

Dated: January 29, 2010

DLA PIPER LLP (US)

By: /s/ Jennifer A. Lloyd
Jennifer A. Lloyd
Attorneys for Plaintiff,
SILICON LABS INTEGRATION, INC.

ORDER

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 2/9 , 2010

Ronald M. Whyte
The Honorable Ronald M. Whyte
United States District Judge