| - 1 | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1 2 | RONALD RUS, #67369 rrus@rusmiliband.com LEO J. PRESIADO, #166721 | | | | | |
| 3 | lpresiado@rusmiliband.com RUS, MILIBAND & SMITH | | | | | |
| 4 | A Professional Corporation Seventh Floor | | | | | |
| 5 | 2211 Michelson Drive Irvine, California 92612 | | | | | |
| 6 | Telephone: (949) 752-7100 Facsimile: (949) 252-1514 | | | | | |
| 7 | Attorneys for Defendants | | | | | |
| 8 | THUNDERWOOD HOLDINGS, INC., | | | | | |
| 9 | LAW OFFICES OF PATRICK K. McCLELL | AN | | | | |
| 10 | Patrick K. McClellan #077352 2211 Michelson Drive, Suite 700 | | | | | |
| 11 | Irvine, CA 92612 | | | | | |
| 12 | Attorney for Defendant | | | | | |
| 13 | KESSLÉR'S FLYING CIRCUS | | | | | |
| 14 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | | | | | |
| 15 | NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | | | | | |
| 13 | | | | | | |
| 16 | · | E DIVISION | | | | |
| | · | | | | | |
| 16 | · | | | | | |
| 16 17 | SAN JOS | E DIVISION CASE NO. CV 08-4052 JF (PVT) ANSWER TO SECOND AMENDED | | | | |
| 16 17 18 | EBAY INC., | E DIVISION CASE NO. CV 08-4052 JF (PVT) | | | | |
| 16 17 18 19 | EBAY INC., Plaintiff, vs. DIGITAL POINT SOLUTIONS, INC.: | E DIVISION CASE NO. CV 08-4052 JF (PVT) ANSWER TO SECOND AMENDED | | | | |
| 16 17 18 19 20 | EBAY INC., Plaintiff, vs. DIGITAL POINT SOLUTIONS, INC.; SHAWN HOGAN; KESSLER's FLYING CIRCUS: THUNDERWOOD HOLDINGS, | E DIVISION CASE NO. CV 08-4052 JF (PVT) ANSWER TO SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT | | | | |
| 16 17 18 19 20 21 | EBAY INC., Plaintiff, vs. DIGITAL POINT SOLUTIONS, INC.; SHAWN HOGAN; KESSLER's FLYING CIRCUS; THUNDERWOOD HOLDINGS, INC.; TODD DUNNING; DUNNING ENTERPRISES, INC.; BRIAN DUNNING; | E DIVISION CASE NO. CV 08-4052 JF (PVT) ANSWER TO SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT | | | | |
| 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | EBAY INC., Plaintiff, vs. DIGITAL POINT SOLUTIONS, INC.; SHAWN HOGAN; KESSLER's FLYING CIRCUS; THUNDERWOOD HOLDINGS, INC.; TODD DUNNING; DUNNING ENTERPRISES, INC.; BRIAN DUNNING;) BRIANDUNNING.COM; and DOES 1-20, | E DIVISION CASE NO. CV 08-4052 JF (PVT) ANSWER TO SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT | | | | |
| 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | EBAY INC., Plaintiff, vs. DIGITAL POINT SOLUTIONS, INC.; SHAWN HOGAN; KESSLER's FLYING CIRCUS; THUNDERWOOD HOLDINGS, INC.; TODD DUNNING; DUNNING ENTERPRISES, INC.; BRIAN DUNNING; | E DIVISION CASE NO. CV 08-4052 JF (PVT) ANSWER TO SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT | | | | |
| 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | EBAY INC., Plaintiff, vs. DIGITAL POINT SOLUTIONS, INC.; SHAWN HOGAN; KESSLER's FLYING CIRCUS; THUNDERWOOD HOLDINGS, INC.; TODD DUNNING; DUNNING ENTERPRISES, INC.; BRIAN DUNNING;) BRIANDUNNING.COM; and DOES 1-20, | E DIVISION CASE NO. CV 08-4052 JF (PVT) ANSWER TO SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT | | | | |
| 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 | EBAY INC., Plaintiff, vs. DIGITAL POINT SOLUTIONS, INC.; SHAWN HOGAN; KESSLER's FLYING CIRCUS; THUNDERWOOD HOLDINGS, INC.; TODD DUNNING; DUNNING ENTERPRISES, INC.; BRIAN DUNNING;) BRIANDUNNING.COM; and DOES 1-20, | E DIVISION CASE NO. CV 08-4052 JF (PVT) ANSWER TO SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT | | | | |
| 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 | EBAY INC., Plaintiff, vs. DIGITAL POINT SOLUTIONS, INC.; SHAWN HOGAN; KESSLER's FLYING CIRCUS; THUNDERWOOD HOLDINGS, INC.; TODD DUNNING; DUNNING ENTERPRISES, INC.; BRIAN DUNNING;) BRIANDUNNING.COM; and DOES 1-20, | E DIVISION CASE NO. CV 08-4052 JF (PVT) ANSWER TO SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT | | | | |

Defendants Kessler's Flying Circus, Thunderwood Holdings, Inc., Brian Dunning and BrianDunning.com (collectively, "Defendants") for their answer to the Second Amended Complaint ("SAC") of Plaintiff eBay, Inc. ("Plaintiff" and/or "eBay"), admit, deny and allege as follows:

ANSWER

PARTIES

- 1. In response to paragraph 1 of the SAC, Defendants hereby assert the privilege against self-incrimination under the Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution, the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 501; the California Constitution, Art. 1, Sec. 15; and the California Evidence Code § 940. To the extent Defendants determine that there is no longer potential for criminal prosecution, Defendants reserve the right to withdraw the assertion of the privilege, to seek leave to amend and/or supplement this response accordingly, and to object to the use or disclosure of this response for any purpose.
- 2. In response to paragraph 2 of the SAC, Defendants hereby assert the privilege against self-incrimination under the Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution, the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 501; the California Constitution, Art. 1, Sec. 15; and the California Evidence Code § 940. To the extent Defendants determine that there is no longer potential for criminal prosecution, Defendants reserve the right to withdraw the assertion of the privilege, to seek leave to amend and/or supplement this response accordingly, and to object to the use or disclosure of this response for any purpose.
- 3. In response to paragraph 3 of the SAC, Defendants hereby assert the privilege against self-incrimination under the Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution, the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 501; the California Constitution, Art. 1, Sec. 15; and the California Evidence Code § 940. To the extent Defendants determine that there is no longer potential for criminal prosecution, Defendants reserve the right to withdraw the assertion of the privilege, to seek leave to amend and/or supplement this response accordingly, and to object to the use or disclosure of this response for any purpose.

- 4. In response to paragraph 4 of the SAC, Defendants hereby assert the privilege against self-incrimination under the Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution, the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 501; the California Constitution, Art. 1, Sec. 15; and the California Evidence Code § 940. To the extent Defendants determine that there is no longer potential for criminal prosecution, Defendants reserve the right to withdraw the assertion of the privilege, to seek leave to amend and/or supplement this response accordingly, and to object to the use or disclosure of this response for any purpose.
- 5. In response to paragraph 5 of the SAC, Defendants hereby assert the privilege against self-incrimination under the Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution, the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 501; the California Constitution, Art. 1, Sec. 15; and the California Evidence Code § 940. To the extent Defendants determine that there is no longer potential for criminal prosecution, Defendants reserve the right to withdraw the assertion of the privilege, to seek leave to amend and/or supplement this response accordingly, and to object to the use or disclosure of this response for any purpose.
- 6. In response to paragraph 6 of the SAC, Defendants hereby assert the privilege against self-incrimination under the Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution, the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 501; the California Constitution, Art. 1, Sec. 15; and the California Evidence Code § 940. To the extent Defendants determine that there is no longer potential for criminal prosecution, Defendants reserve the right to withdraw the assertion of the privilege, to seek leave to amend and/or supplement this response accordingly, and to object to the use or disclosure of this response for any purpose.
- 7. In response to paragraph 7 of the SAC, Defendants hereby assert the privilege against self-incrimination under the Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution, the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 501; the California Constitution, Art. 1, Sec. 15; and the California Evidence Code § 940. To the extent Defendants determine that there is no longer potential for criminal prosecution, Defendants reserve the right to withdraw the assertion of the privilege, to seek leave to amend and/or supplement this response accordingly, and to object to the use or disclosure of this response for any purpose.

- 8. In response to paragraph 8 of the SAC, Defendants hereby assert the privilege against self-incrimination under the Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution, the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 501; the California Constitution, Art. 1, Sec. 15; and the California Evidence Code § 940. To the extent Defendants determine that there is no longer potential for criminal prosecution, Defendants reserve the right to withdraw the assertion of the privilege, to seek leave to amend and/or supplement this response accordingly, and to object to the use or disclosure of this response for any purpose.
- 9. In response to paragraph 9 of the SAC, Defendants hereby assert the privilege against self-incrimination under the Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution, the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 501; the California Constitution, Art. 1, Sec. 15; and the California Evidence Code § 940. To the extent Defendants determine that there is no longer potential for criminal prosecution, Defendants reserve the right to withdraw the assertion of the privilege, to seek leave to amend and/or supplement this response accordingly, and to object to the use or disclosure of this response for any purpose.
- 10. In response to paragraph 10 of the SAC, Defendants hereby assert the privilege against self-incrimination under the Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution, the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 501; the California Constitution, Art. 1, Sec. 15; and the California Evidence Code § 940. To the extent Defendants determine that there is no longer potential for criminal prosecution, Defendants reserve the right to withdraw the assertion of the privilege, to seek leave to amend and/or supplement this response accordingly, and to object to the use or disclosure of this response for any purpose.
- 11. In response to paragraph 11 of the SAC, Defendants hereby assert the privilege against self-incrimination under the Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution, the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 501; the California Constitution, Art. 1, Sec. 15; and the California Evidence Code § 940. To the extent Defendants determine that there is no longer potential for criminal prosecution, Defendants reserve the right to withdraw the assertion of the privilege, to seek leave to amend and/or supplement this response accordingly, and to object to the use or disclosure of this response for any purpose.

- 12. In response to paragraph 12 of the SAC, Defendants hereby assert the privilege against self-incrimination under the Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution, the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 501; the California Constitution, Art. 1, Sec. 15; and the California Evidence Code § 940. To the extent Defendants determine that there is no longer potential for criminal prosecution, Defendants reserve the right to withdraw the assertion of the privilege, to seek leave to amend and/or supplement this response accordingly, and to object to the use or disclosure of this response for any purpose.
- 13. In response to paragraph 13 of the SAC, Defendants hereby assert the privilege against self-incrimination under the Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution, the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 501; the California Constitution, Art. 1, Sec. 15; and the California Evidence Code § 940. To the extent Defendants determine that there is no longer potential for criminal prosecution, Defendants reserve the right to withdraw the assertion of the privilege, to seek leave to amend and/or supplement this response accordingly, and to object to the use or disclosure of this response for any purpose.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 14. In response to paragraph 14 of the SAC, Defendants hereby assert the privilege against self-incrimination under the Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution, the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 501; the California Constitution, Art. 1, Sec. 15; and the California Evidence Code § 940. To the extent Defendants determine that there is no longer potential for criminal prosecution, Defendants reserve the right to withdraw the assertion of the privilege, to seek leave to amend and/or supplement this response accordingly, and to object to the use or disclosure of this response for any purpose.
- 15. In response to paragraph 15 of the SAC, Defendants hereby assert the privilege against self-incrimination under the Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution, the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 501; the California Constitution, Art. 1, Sec. 15; and the California Evidence Code § 940. To the extent Defendants determine that there is no longer potential for criminal prosecution, Defendants reserve the right to withdraw

 the assertion of the privilege, to seek leave to amend and/or supplement this response accordingly, and to object to the use or disclosure of this response for any purpose.

16. In response to paragraph 16 of the SAC, Defendants hereby assert the privilege against self-incrimination under the Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution, the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 501; the California Constitution, Art. 1, Sec. 15; and the California Evidence Code § 940. To the extent Defendants determine that there is no longer potential for criminal prosecution, Defendants reserve the right to withdraw the assertion of the privilege, to seek leave to amend and/or supplement this response accordingly, and to object to the use or disclosure of this response for any purpose.

INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT

17. In response to paragraph 17 of the SAC, Defendants hereby assert the privilege against self-incrimination under the Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution, the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 501; the California Constitution, Art. 1, Sec. 15; and the California Evidence Code § 940. To the extent Defendants determine that there is no longer potential for criminal prosecution, Defendants reserve the right to withdraw the assertion of the privilege, to seek leave to amend and/or supplement this response accordingly, and to object to the use or disclosure of this response for any purpose.

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- 18. In response to paragraph 18 of the SAC, Defendants hereby assert the privilege against self-incrimination under the Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution, the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 501; the California Constitution, Art. 1, Sec. 15; and the California Evidence Code § 940. To the extent Defendants determine that there is no longer potential for criminal prosecution, Defendants reserve the right to withdraw the assertion of the privilege, to seek leave to amend and/or supplement this response accordingly, and to object to the use or disclosure of this response for any purpose.
- 19. In response to paragraph 19 of the SAC, Defendants hereby assert the privilege against self-incrimination under the Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution, the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 501; the California Constitution, Art. 1,

9 10

11

12 13

16

17 18

19

20

21

23

24 25

26

| Sec. 15; and the California Evidence Code § 940. To the extent Defendants determine that | | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| there is no longer potential for criminal prosecution, Defendants reserve the right to withdraw | | | |
| the assertion of the privilege, to seek leave to amend and/or supplement this response | | | |
| accordingly, and to object to the use or disclosure of this response for any purpose. | | | |

- In response to paragraph 20 of the SAC, Defendants hereby assert the 20. privilege against self-incrimination under the Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution, the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 501; the California Constitution, Art. 1, Sec. 15; and the California Evidence Code § 940. To the extent Defendants determine that there is no longer potential for criminal prosecution, Defendants reserve the right to withdraw the assertion of the privilege, to seek leave to amend and/or supplement this response accordingly, and to object to the use or disclosure of this response for any purpose.
- In response to paragraph 21 of the SAC, Defendants hereby assert the 21. privilege against self-incrimination under the Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution, the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 501; the California Constitution, Art. 1, Sec. 15; and the California Evidence Code § 940. To the extent Defendants determine that there is no longer potential for criminal prosecution, Defendants reserve the right to withdraw the assertion of the privilege, to seek leave to amend and/or supplement this response accordingly, and to object to the use or disclosure of this response for any purpose.
- In response to paragraph 22 of the SAC, Defendants hereby assert the 22. privilege against self-incrimination under the Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution, the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 501; the California Constitution, Art. 1, Sec. 15; and the California Evidence Code § 940. To the extent Defendants determine that there is no longer potential for criminal prosecution, Defendants reserve the right to withdraw the assertion of the privilege, to seek leave to amend and/or supplement this response accordingly, and to object to the use or disclosure of this response for any purpose.
- In response to paragraph 23 of the SAC, Defendants hereby assert the 23. privilege against self-incrimination under the Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution, the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 501; the California Constitution, Art. 1,

Sec. 15; and the California Evidence Code § 940. To the extent Defendants determine that there is no longer potential for criminal prosecution, Defendants reserve the right to withdraw the assertion of the privilege, to seek leave to amend and/or supplement this response accordingly, and to object to the use or disclosure of this response for any purpose.

- 24. In response to paragraph 24 of the SAC, Defendants hereby assert the privilege against self-incrimination under the Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution, the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 501; the California Constitution, Art. 1, Sec. 15; and the California Evidence Code § 940. To the extent Defendants determine that there is no longer potential for criminal prosecution, Defendants reserve the right to withdraw the assertion of the privilege, to seek leave to amend and/or supplement this response accordingly, and to object to the use or disclosure of this response for any purpose.
- 25. In response to paragraph 25 of the SAC, Defendants hereby assert the privilege against self-incrimination under the Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution, the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 501; the California Constitution, Art. 1, Sec. 15; and the California Evidence Code § 940. To the extent Defendants determine that there is no longer potential for criminal prosecution, Defendants reserve the right to withdraw the assertion of the privilege, to seek leave to amend and/or supplement this response accordingly, and to object to the use or disclosure of this response for any purpose.
- 26. In response to paragraph 26 of the SAC, Defendants hereby assert the privilege against self-incrimination under the Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution, the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 501; the California Constitution, Art. 1, Sec. 15; and the California Evidence Code § 940. To the extent Defendants determine that there is no longer potential for criminal prosecution, Defendants reserve the right to withdraw the assertion of the privilege, to seek leave to amend and/or supplement this response accordingly, and to object to the use or disclosure of this response for any purpose.
- 27. In response to paragraph 27 of the SAC, Defendants hereby assert the privilege against self-incrimination under the Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution, the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 501; the California Constitution, Art. 1,

2 / Sec. 15; and the California Evidence Code § 940. To the extent Defendants determine that there is no longer potential for criminal prosecution, Defendants reserve the right to withdraw the assertion of the privilege, to seek leave to amend and/or supplement this response accordingly, and to object to the use or disclosure of this response for any purpose.

- 28. In response to paragraph 28 of the SAC, Defendants hereby assert the privilege against self-incrimination under the Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution, the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 501; the California Constitution, Art. 1, Sec. 15; and the California Evidence Code § 940. To the extent Defendants determine that there is no longer potential for criminal prosecution, Defendants reserve the right to withdraw the assertion of the privilege, to seek leave to amend and/or supplement this response accordingly, and to object to the use or disclosure of this response for any purpose.
- 29. In response to paragraph 29 of the SAC, Defendants hereby assert the privilege against self-incrimination under the Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution, the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 501; the California Constitution, Art. 1, Sec. 15; and the California Evidence Code § 940. To the extent Defendants determine that there is no longer potential for criminal prosecution, Defendants reserve the right to withdraw the assertion of the privilege, to seek leave to amend and/or supplement this response accordingly, and to object to the use or disclosure of this response for any purpose.
- 30. In response to paragraph 30 of the SAC, Defendants hereby assert the privilege against self-incrimination under the Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution, the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 501; the California Constitution, Art. 1, Sec. 15; and the California Evidence Code § 940. To the extent Defendants determine that there is no longer potential for criminal prosecution, Defendants reserve the right to withdraw the assertion of the privilege, to seek leave to amend and/or supplement this response accordingly, and to object to the use or disclosure of this response for any purpose.
- 31. In response to paragraph 31 of the SAC, Defendants hereby assert the privilege against self-incrimination under the Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution, the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 501; the California Constitution, Art. 1,

Sec. 15; and the California Evidence Code § 940. To the extent Defendants determine that there is no longer potential for criminal prosecution, Defendants reserve the right to withdraw the assertion of the privilege, to seek leave to amend and/or supplement this response accordingly, and to object to the use or disclosure of this response for any purpose.

- 32. In response to paragraph 32 of the SAC, Defendants hereby assert the privilege against self-incrimination under the Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution, the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 501; the California Constitution, Art. 1, Sec. 15; and the California Evidence Code § 940. To the extent Defendants determine that there is no longer potential for criminal prosecution, Defendants reserve the right to withdraw the assertion of the privilege, to seek leave to amend and/or supplement this response accordingly, and to object to the use or disclosure of this response for any purpose.
- 33. In response to paragraph 33 of the SAC, Defendants hereby assert the privilege against self-incrimination under the Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution, the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 501; the California Constitution, Art. 1, Sec. 15; and the California Evidence Code § 940. To the extent Defendants determine that there is no longer potential for criminal prosecution, Defendants reserve the right to withdraw the assertion of the privilege, to seek leave to amend and/or supplement this response accordingly, and to object to the use or disclosure of this response for any purpose.
- 34. In response to paragraph 34 of the SAC, Defendants hereby assert the privilege against self-incrimination under the Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution, the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 501; the California Constitution, Art. 1, Sec. 15; and the California Evidence Code § 940. To the extent Defendants determine that there is no longer potential for criminal prosecution, Defendants reserve the right to withdraw the assertion of the privilege, to seek leave to amend and/or supplement this response accordingly, and to object to the use or disclosure of this response for any purpose.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

35. In response to paragraph 35 of the SAC, Defendants hereby assert the privilege against self-incrimination under the Fifth Amendment of the United States

Constitution, the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 501; the California Constitution, Art. 1, Sec. 15; and the California Evidence Code § 940. To the extent Defendants determine that there is no longer potential for criminal prosecution, Defendants reserve the right to withdraw the assertion of the privilege, to seek leave to amend and/or supplement this response accordingly, and to object to the use or disclosure of this response for any purpose.

- 36. In response to paragraph 36 of the SAC, Defendants hereby assert the privilege against self-incrimination under the Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution, the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 501; the California Constitution, Art. 1, Sec. 15; and the California Evidence Code § 940. To the extent Defendants determine that there is no longer potential for criminal prosecution, Defendants reserve the right to withdraw the assertion of the privilege, to seek leave to amend and/or supplement this response accordingly, and to object to the use or disclosure of this response for any purpose.
- 37. In response to paragraph 37 of the SAC, Defendants hereby assert the privilege against self-incrimination under the Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution, the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 501; the California Constitution, Art. 1, Sec. 15; and the California Evidence Code § 940. To the extent Defendants determine that there is no longer potential for criminal prosecution, Defendants reserve the right to withdraw the assertion of the privilege, to seek leave to amend and/or supplement this response accordingly, and to object to the use or disclosure of this response for any purpose.
- 38. In response to paragraph 38 of the SAC, Defendants hereby assert the privilege against self-incrimination under the Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution, the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 501; the California Constitution, Art. 1, Sec. 15; and the California Evidence Code § 940. To the extent Defendants determine that there is no longer potential for criminal prosecution, Defendants reserve the right to withdraw the assertion of the privilege, to seek leave to amend and/or supplement this response accordingly, and to object to the use or disclosure of this response for any purpose.
- 39. In response to paragraph 39 of the SAC, Defendants hereby assert the privilege against self-incrimination under the Fifth Amendment of the United States

Constitution, the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 501; the California Constitution, Art. 1, Sec. 15; and the California Evidence Code § 940. To the extent Defendants determine that there is no longer potential for criminal prosecution, Defendants reserve the right to withdraw the assertion of the privilege, to seek leave to amend and/or supplement this response accordingly, and to object to the use or disclosure of this response for any purpose.

- 40. In response to paragraph 40 of the SAC, Defendants hereby assert the privilege against self-incrimination under the Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution, the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 501; the California Constitution, Art. 1, Sec. 15; and the California Evidence Code § 940. To the extent Defendants determine that there is no longer potential for criminal prosecution, Defendants reserve the right to withdraw the assertion of the privilege, to seek leave to amend and/or supplement this response accordingly, and to object to the use or disclosure of this response for any purpose.
- 41. In response to paragraph 41 of the SAC, Defendants hereby assert the privilege against self-incrimination under the Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution, the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 501; the California Constitution, Art. 1, Sec. 15; and the California Evidence Code § 940. To the extent Defendants determine that there is no longer potential for criminal prosecution, Defendants reserve the right to withdraw the assertion of the privilege, to seek leave to amend and/or supplement this response accordingly, and to object to the use or disclosure of this response for any purpose.
- 42. In response to paragraph 42 of the SAC, Defendants hereby assert the privilege against self-incrimination under the Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution, the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 501; the California Constitution, Art. 1, Sec. 15; and the California Evidence Code § 940. To the extent Defendants determine that there is no longer potential for criminal prosecution, Defendants reserve the right to withdraw the assertion of the privilege, to seek leave to amend and/or supplement this response accordingly, and to object to the use or disclosure of this response for any purpose.
- 43. In response to paragraph 43 of the SAC, Defendants hereby assert the privilege against self-incrimination under the Fifth Amendment of the United States

Constitution, the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 501; the California Constitution, Art. 1, Sec. 15; and the California Evidence Code § 940. To the extent Defendants determine that there is no longer potential for criminal prosecution, Defendants reserve the right to withdraw the assertion of the privilege, to seek leave to amend and/or supplement this response accordingly, and to object to the use or disclosure of this response for any purpose.

44. In response to paragraph 44 of the SAC, Defendants hereby assert the privilege against self-incrimination under the Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution, the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 501; the California Constitution, Art. 1, Sec. 15; and the California Evidence Code § 940. To the extent Defendants determine that there is no longer potential for criminal prosecution, Defendants reserve the right to withdraw the assertion of the privilege, to seek leave to amend and/or supplement this response accordingly, and to object to the use or disclosure of this response for any purpose.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

- 45. In response to paragraph 45 of the SAC, Defendants hereby assert the privilege against self-incrimination under the Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution, the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 501; the California Constitution, Art. 1, Sec. 15; and the California Evidence Code § 940. To the extent Defendants determine that there is no longer potential for criminal prosecution, Defendants reserve the right to withdraw the assertion of the privilege, to seek leave to amend and/or supplement this response accordingly, and to object to the use or disclosure of this response for any purpose.
- 46. In response to paragraph 46 of the SAC, Defendants hereby assert the privilege against self-incrimination under the Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution, the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 501; the California Constitution, Art. 1, Sec. 15; and the California Evidence Code § 940. To the extent Defendants determine that there is no longer potential for criminal prosecution, Defendants reserve the right to withdraw the assertion of the privilege, to seek leave to amend and/or supplement this response accordingly, and to object to the use or disclosure of this response for any purpose.

- 47. In response to paragraph 47 of the SAC, Defendants hereby assert the privilege against self-incrimination under the Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution, the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 501; the California Constitution, Art. 1, Sec. 15; and the California Evidence Code § 940. To the extent Defendants determine that there is no longer potential for criminal prosecution, Defendants reserve the right to withdraw the assertion of the privilege, to seek leave to amend and/or supplement this response accordingly, and to object to the use or disclosure of this response for any purpose.
- 48. In response to paragraph 48 of the SAC, Defendants hereby assert the privilege against self-incrimination under the Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution, the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 501; the California Constitution, Art. 1, Sec. 15; and the California Evidence Code § 940. To the extent Defendants determine that there is no longer potential for criminal prosecution, Defendants reserve the right to withdraw the assertion of the privilege, to seek leave to amend and/or supplement this response accordingly, and to object to the use or disclosure of this response for any purpose.
- 49. In response to paragraph 49 of the SAC, Defendants hereby assert the privilege against self-incrimination under the Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution, the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 501; the California Constitution, Art. 1, Sec. 15; and the California Evidence Code § 940. To the extent Defendants determine that there is no longer potential for criminal prosecution, Defendants reserve the right to withdraw the assertion of the privilege, to seek leave to amend and/or supplement this response accordingly, and to object to the use or disclosure of this response for any purpose.
- 50. In response to paragraph 50 of the SAC, Defendants hereby assert the privilege against self-incrimination under the Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution, the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 501; the California Constitution, Art. 1, Sec. 15; and the California Evidence Code § 940. To the extent Defendants determine that there is no longer potential for criminal prosecution, Defendants reserve the right to withdraw the assertion of the privilege, to seek leave to amend and/or supplement this response accordingly, and to object to the use or disclosure of this response for any purpose.

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

24

27

25 26 28

In response to paragraph 51 of the SAC, Defendants hereby assert the 51. privilege against self-incrimination under the Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution, the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 501; the California Constitution, Art. 1, Sec. 15; and the California Evidence Code § 940. To the extent Defendants determine that there is no longer potential for criminal prosecution, Defendants reserve the right to withdraw the assertion of the privilege, to seek leave to amend and/or supplement this response

accordingly, and to object to the use or disclosure of this response for any purpose.

- In response to paragraph 52 of the SAC, Defendants hereby assert the 52. privilege against self-incrimination under the Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution, the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 501; the California Constitution, Art. 1, Sec. 15; and the California Evidence Code § 940. To the extent Defendants determine that there is no longer potential for criminal prosecution, Defendants reserve the right to withdraw the assertion of the privilege, to seek leave to amend and/or supplement this response accordingly, and to object to the use or disclosure of this response for any purpose.
- In response to paragraph 53 of the SAC, Defendants hereby assert the 53. privilege against self-incrimination under the Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution, the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 501; the California Constitution, Art. 1, Sec. 15; and the California Evidence Code § 940. To the extent Defendants determine that there is no longer potential for criminal prosecution, Defendants reserve the right to withdraw the assertion of the privilege, to seek leave to amend and/or supplement this response accordingly, and to object to the use or disclosure of this response for any purpose.
- In response to paragraph 54 of the SAC, Defendants hereby assert the 54. privilege against self-incrimination under the Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution, the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 501; the California Constitution, Art. 1, Sec. 15; and the California Evidence Code § 940. To the extent Defendants determine that there is no longer potential for criminal prosecution, Defendants reserve the right to withdraw the assertion of the privilege, to seek leave to amend and/or supplement this response accordingly, and to object to the use or disclosure of this response for any purpose.

- 55. In response to paragraph 55 of the SAC, Defendants hereby assert the privilege against self-incrimination under the Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution, the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 501; the California Constitution, Art. 1, Sec. 15; and the California Evidence Code § 940. To the extent Defendants determine that there is no longer potential for criminal prosecution, Defendants reserve the right to withdraw the assertion of the privilege, to seek leave to amend and/or supplement this response accordingly, and to object to the use or disclosure of this response for any purpose.
- 56. In response to paragraph 56 of the SAC, Defendants hereby assert the privilege against self-incrimination under the Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution, the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 501; the California Constitution, Art. 1, Sec. 15; and the California Evidence Code § 940. To the extent Defendants determine that there is no longer potential for criminal prosecution, Defendants reserve the right to withdraw the assertion of the privilege, to seek leave to amend and/or supplement this response accordingly, and to object to the use or disclosure of this response for any purpose.
- 57. In response to paragraph 57 of the SAC, Defendants hereby assert the privilege against self-incrimination under the Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution, the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 501; the California Constitution, Art. 1, Sec. 15; and the California Evidence Code § 940. To the extent Defendants determine that there is no longer potential for criminal prosecution, Defendants reserve the right to withdraw the assertion of the privilege, to seek leave to amend and/or supplement this response accordingly, and to object to the use or disclosure of this response for any purpose.
- 58. In response to paragraph 58 of the SAC, Defendants hereby assert the privilege against self-incrimination under the Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution, the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 501; the California Constitution, Art. 1, Sec. 15; and the California Evidence Code § 940. To the extent Defendants determine that there is no longer potential for criminal prosecution, Defendants reserve the right to withdraw the assertion of the privilege, to seek leave to amend and/or supplement this response accordingly, and to object to the use or disclosure of this response for any purpose.

- 59. In response to paragraph 59 of the SAC, Defendants hereby assert the privilege against self-incrimination under the Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution, the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 501; the California Constitution, Art. 1, Sec. 15; and the California Evidence Code § 940. To the extent Defendants determine that there is no longer potential for criminal prosecution, Defendants reserve the right to withdraw the assertion of the privilege, to seek leave to amend and/or supplement this response accordingly, and to object to the use or disclosure of this response for any purpose.
- 60. In response to paragraph 60 of the SAC, Defendants hereby assert the privilege against self-incrimination under the Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution, the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 501; the California Constitution, Art. 1, Sec. 15; and the California Evidence Code § 940. To the extent Defendants determine that there is no longer potential for criminal prosecution, Defendants reserve the right to withdraw the assertion of the privilege, to seek leave to amend and/or supplement this response accordingly, and to object to the use or disclosure of this response for any purpose.
- 61. In response to paragraph 61 of the SAC, Defendants hereby assert the privilege against self-incrimination under the Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution, the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 501; the California Constitution, Art. 1, Sec. 15; and the California Evidence Code § 940. To the extent Defendants determine that there is no longer potential for criminal prosecution, Defendants reserve the right to withdraw the assertion of the privilege, to seek leave to amend and/or supplement this response accordingly, and to object to the use or disclosure of this response for any purpose.
- 62. In response to paragraph 62 of the SAC, Defendants hereby assert the privilege against self-incrimination under the Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution, the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 501; the California Constitution, Art. 1, Sec. 15; and the California Evidence Code § 940. To the extent Defendants determine that there is no longer potential for criminal prosecution, Defendants reserve the right to withdraw the assertion of the privilege, to seek leave to amend and/or supplement this response accordingly, and to object to the use or disclosure of this response for any purpose.

| 63. | In response to paragraph 63 of the SAC, Defendants hereby assert the | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| orivilege against self-incrimination under the Fifth Amendment of the United States | | | | | |
| Constitution, the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 501; the California Constitution, Art. 1, | | | | | |
| Sec. 15; and the (| California Evidence Code § 940. To the extent Defendants determine that | | | | |
| here is no longer potential for criminal prosecution, Defendants reserve the right to withdrav | | | | | |
| he assertion of the privilege, to seek leave to amend and/or supplement this response | | | | | |
| accordingly, and to object to the use or disclosure of this response for any purpose. | | | | | |

64. In response to paragraph 64 of the SAC, Defendants hereby assert the privilege against self-incrimination under the Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution, the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 501; the California Constitution, Art. 1, Sec. 15; and the California Evidence Code § 940. To the extent Defendants determine that there is no longer potential for criminal prosecution, Defendants reserve the right to withdraw the assertion of the privilege, to seek leave to amend and/or supplement this response accordingly, and to object to the use or disclosure of this response for any purpose.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

- 65. In response to paragraph 65 of the SAC, Defendants hereby assert the privilege against self-incrimination under the Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution, the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 501; the California Constitution, Art. 1, Sec. 15; and the California Evidence Code § 940. To the extent Defendants determine that there is no longer potential for criminal prosecution, Defendants reserve the right to withdraw the assertion of the privilege, to seek leave to amend and/or supplement this response accordingly, and to object to the use or disclosure of this response for any purpose.
- 66. In response to paragraph 66 of the SAC, Defendants hereby assert the privilege against self-incrimination under the Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution, the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 501; the California Constitution, Art. 1, Sec. 15; and the California Evidence Code § 940. To the extent Defendants determine that there is no longer potential for criminal prosecution, Defendants reserve the right to withdraw

the assertion of the privilege, to seek leave to amend and/or supplement this response accordingly, and to object to the use or disclosure of this response for any purpose.

- 67. In response to paragraph 67 of the SAC, Defendants hereby assert the privilege against self-incrimination under the Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution, the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 501; the California Constitution, Art. 1, Sec. 15; and the California Evidence Code § 940. To the extent Defendants determine that there is no longer potential for criminal prosecution, Defendants reserve the right to withdraw the assertion of the privilege, to seek leave to amend and/or supplement this response accordingly, and to object to the use or disclosure of this response for any purpose.
- 68. In response to paragraph 68 of the SAC, Defendants hereby assert the privilege against self-incrimination under the Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution, the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 501; the California Constitution, Art. 1, Sec. 15; and the California Evidence Code § 940. To the extent Defendants determine that there is no longer potential for criminal prosecution, Defendants reserve the right to withdraw the assertion of the privilege, to seek leave to amend and/or supplement this response accordingly, and to object to the use or disclosure of this response for any purpose.
- 69. In response to paragraph 69 of the SAC, Defendants hereby assert the privilege against self-incrimination under the Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution, the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 501; the California Constitution, Art. 1, Sec. 15; and the California Evidence Code § 940. To the extent Defendants determine that there is no longer potential for criminal prosecution, Defendants reserve the right to withdraw the assertion of the privilege, to seek leave to amend and/or supplement this response accordingly, and to object to the use or disclosure of this response for any purpose.
- 70. In response to paragraph 70 of the SAC, Defendants hereby assert the privilege against self-incrimination under the Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution, the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 501; the California Constitution, Art. 1, Sec. 15; and the California Evidence Code § 940. To the extent Defendants determine that there is no longer potential for criminal prosecution, Defendants reserve the right to withdraw

 the assertion of the privilege, to seek leave to amend and/or supplement this response accordingly, and to object to the use or disclosure of this response for any purpose.

- 71. In response to paragraph 71 of the SAC, Defendants hereby assert the privilege against self-incrimination under the Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution, the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 501; the California Constitution, Art. 1, Sec. 15; and the California Evidence Code § 940. To the extent Defendants determine that there is no longer potential for criminal prosecution, Defendants reserve the right to withdraw the assertion of the privilege, to seek leave to amend and/or supplement this response accordingly, and to object to the use or disclosure of this response for any purpose.
- 72. In response to paragraph 72 of the SAC, Defendants hereby assert the privilege against self-incrimination under the Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution, the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 501; the California Constitution, Art. 1, Sec. 15; and the California Evidence Code § 940. To the extent Defendants determine that there is no longer potential for criminal prosecution, Defendants reserve the right to withdraw the assertion of the privilege, to seek leave to amend and/or supplement this response accordingly, and to object to the use or disclosure of this response for any purpose.
- 73. In response to paragraph 73 of the SAC, Defendants hereby assert the privilege against self-incrimination under the Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution, the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 501; the California Constitution, Art. 1, Sec. 15; and the California Evidence Code § 940. To the extent Defendants determine that there is no longer potential for criminal prosecution, Defendants reserve the right to withdraw the assertion of the privilege, to seek leave to amend and/or supplement this response accordingly, and to object to the use or disclosure of this response for any purpose.
- 74. In response to paragraph 74 of the SAC, Defendants hereby assert the privilege against self-incrimination under the Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution, the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 501; the California Constitution, Art. 1, Sec. 15; and the California Evidence Code § 940. To the extent Defendants determine that there is no longer potential for criminal prosecution, Defendants reserve the right to withdraw

the assertion of the privilege, to seek leave to amend and/or supplement this response accordingly, and to object to the use or disclosure of this response for any purpose.

75. In response to paragraph 75 of the SAC, Defendants hereby assert the privilege against self-incrimination under the Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution, the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 501; the California Constitution, Art. 1, Sec. 15; and the California Evidence Code § 940. To the extent Defendants determine that there is no longer potential for criminal prosecution, Defendants reserve the right to withdraw the assertion of the privilege, to seek leave to amend and/or supplement this response accordingly, and to object to the use or disclosure of this response for any purpose.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

- 76. In response to paragraph 76 of the SAC, Defendants hereby assert the privilege against self-incrimination under the Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution, the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 501; the California Constitution, Art. 1, Sec. 15; and the California Evidence Code § 940. To the extent Defendants determine that there is no longer potential for criminal prosecution, Defendants reserve the right to withdraw the assertion of the privilege, to seek leave to amend and/or supplement this response accordingly, and to object to the use or disclosure of this response for any purpose.
- 77. In response to paragraph 77 of the SAC, Defendants hereby assert the privilege against self-incrimination under the Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution, the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 501; the California Constitution, Art. 1, Sec. 15; and the California Evidence Code § 940. To the extent Defendants determine that there is no longer potential for criminal prosecution, Defendants reserve the right to withdraw the assertion of the privilege, to seek leave to amend and/or supplement this response accordingly, and to object to the use or disclosure of this response for any purpose.
- 78. In response to paragraph 78 of the SAC, Defendants hereby assert the privilege against self-incrimination under the Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution, the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 501; the California Constitution, Art. 1, Sec. 15; and the California Evidence Code § 940. To the extent Defendants determine that

 there is no longer potential for criminal prosecution, Defendants reserve the right to withdraw the assertion of the privilege, to seek leave to amend and/or supplement this response accordingly, and to object to the use or disclosure of this response for any purpose.

- 79. In response to paragraph 79 of the SAC, Defendants hereby assert the privilege against self-incrimination under the Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution, the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 501; the California Constitution, Art. 1, Sec. 15; and the California Evidence Code § 940. To the extent Defendants determine that there is no longer potential for criminal prosecution, Defendants reserve the right to withdraw the assertion of the privilege, to seek leave to amend and/or supplement this response accordingly, and to object to the use or disclosure of this response for any purpose.
- 80. In response to paragraph 80 of the SAC, Defendants hereby assert the privilege against self-incrimination under the Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution, the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 501; the California Constitution, Art. 1, Sec. 15; and the California Evidence Code § 940. To the extent Defendants determine that there is no longer potential for criminal prosecution, Defendants reserve the right to withdraw the assertion of the privilege, to seek leave to amend and/or supplement this response accordingly, and to object to the use or disclosure of this response for any purpose.
- 81. In response to paragraph 81 of the SAC, Defendants hereby assert the privilege against self-incrimination under the Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution, the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 501; the California Constitution, Art. 1, Sec. 15; and the California Evidence Code § 940. To the extent Defendants determine that there is no longer potential for criminal prosecution, Defendants reserve the right to withdraw the assertion of the privilege, to seek leave to amend and/or supplement this response accordingly, and to object to the use or disclosure of this response for any purpose.
- 82. In response to paragraph 82 of the SAC, Defendants hereby assert the privilege against self-incrimination under the Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution, the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 501; the California Constitution, Art. 1, Sec. 15; and the California Evidence Code § 940. To the extent Defendants determine that

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

27

26 28 there is no longer potential for criminal prosecution, Defendants reserve the right to withdraw the assertion of the privilege, to seek leave to amend and/or supplement this response accordingly, and to object to the use or disclosure of this response for any purpose.

- In response to paragraph 83 of the SAC, Defendants hereby assert the 83. privilege against self-incrimination under the Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution, the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 501; the California Constitution, Art. 1, Sec. 15; and the California Evidence Code § 940. To the extent Defendants determine that there is no longer potential for criminal prosecution, Defendants reserve the right to withdraw the assertion of the privilege, to seek leave to amend and/or supplement this response accordingly, and to object to the use or disclosure of this response for any purpose.
- In response to paragraph 84 of the SAC, Defendants hereby assert the 84. privilege against self-incrimination under the Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution, the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 501; the California Constitution, Art. 1, Sec. 15; and the California Evidence Code § 940. To the extent Defendants determine that there is no longer potential for criminal prosecution, Defendants reserve the right to withdraw the assertion of the privilege, to seek leave to amend and/or supplement this response accordingly, and to object to the use or disclosure of this response for any purpose.
- In response to paragraph 85 of the SAC, Defendants hereby assert the 85. privilege against self-incrimination under the Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution, the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 501; the California Constitution, Art. 1, Sec. 15; and the California Evidence Code § 940. To the extent Defendants determine that there is no longer potential for criminal prosecution, Defendants reserve the right to withdraw the assertion of the privilege, to seek leave to amend and/or supplement this response accordingly, and to object to the use or disclosure of this response for any purpose.
- In response to paragraph 86 of the SAC, Defendants hereby assert the 86. privilege against self-incrimination under the Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution, the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 501; the California Constitution, Art. 1, Sec. 15; and the California Evidence Code § 940. To the extent Defendants determine that

there is no longer potential for criminal prosecution, Defendants reserve the right to withdraw the assertion of the privilege, to seek leave to amend and/or supplement this response accordingly, and to object to the use or disclosure of this response for any purpose.

- 87. In response to paragraph 87 of the SAC, Defendants hereby assert the privilege against self-incrimination under the Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution, the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 501; the California Constitution, Art. 1, Sec. 15; and the California Evidence Code § 940. To the extent Defendants determine that there is no longer potential for criminal prosecution, Defendants reserve the right to withdraw the assertion of the privilege, to seek leave to amend and/or supplement this response accordingly, and to object to the use or disclosure of this response for any purpose.
- 88. In response to paragraph 88 of the SAC, Defendants hereby assert the privilege against self-incrimination under the Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution, the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 501; the California Constitution, Art. 1, Sec. 15; and the California Evidence Code § 940. To the extent Defendants determine that there is no longer potential for criminal prosecution, Defendants reserve the right to withdraw the assertion of the privilege, to seek leave to amend and/or supplement this response accordingly, and to object to the use or disclosure of this response for any purpose.
- 89. In response to paragraph 89 of the SAC, Defendants hereby assert the privilege against self-incrimination under the Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution, the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 501; the California Constitution, Art. 1, Sec. 15; and the California Evidence Code § 940. To the extent Defendants determine that there is no longer potential for criminal prosecution, Defendants reserve the right to withdraw the assertion of the privilege, to seek leave to amend and/or supplement this response accordingly, and to object to the use or disclosure of this response for any purpose.
- 90. In response to paragraph 90 of the SAC, Defendants hereby assert the privilege against self-incrimination under the Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution, the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 501; the California Constitution, Art. 1, Sec. 15; and the California Evidence Code § 940. To the extent Defendants determine that

SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Contractual Limitations Period)

94. As a second and separate Affirmative Defense, and solely by way of an alternative defense, not to be construed as an admission or waiver of any kind, Defendants allege that the SAC and each and every cause of action therein is barred by the one-year contractual limitations provision set forth in the Commission Junction Publisher Services Agreement of which Plaintiff is a third party beneficiary and which Plaintiff incorporated by reference into the eBay Supplemental Terms & Conditions.

THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Statute of Limitations)

As a third and separate Affirmative Defense, and solely by way of an alternative defense, not to be construed as an admission or waiver of any kind, Defendants allege that the SAC and each and every cause of action therein is barred by the applicable statute of limitations. Said limitations include, but are not limited to, 18 U.S.C. § 1030(g), 15 U.S.C. § 15b (as applied to 18 U.S.C. §§ 1962, 1964), California Penal Code § 502(e)(5), California Code of Civil Procedure §§ 338, 339, and California Business & Professions Code § 17208.

FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Improper Venue)

96. As a fourth and separate Affirmative Defense, and solely by way of an alternative defense, not to be construed as an admission or waiver of any kind, Defendants allege that the filing of this action in the above-captioned Court is improper based on the forum selection clause set forth in the Commission Junction Publisher Services Agreement of which Plaintiff is a third party beneficiary and which Plaintiff incorporated by reference into the eBay Supplemental Terms & Conditions.

///

| 1 | |
|----|---|
| 2 | |
| 3 | |
| 4 | а |
| 5 | а |
| 6 | C |
| 7 | |
| 8 | |
| 9 | |
| 10 | а |
| 11 | а |
| 12 | C |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | 8 |
| 17 | 2 |
| 18 | (|
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | 2 |
| 23 | 8 |
| 24 | 1 |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |

28

FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Consent)

As a fifth and separate Affirmative Defense, and solely by way of an alternative defense, not to be construed as an admission or waiver of any kind, Defendants allege that the SAC and each and every cause of action therein is barred because any such conduct as alleged in the SAC was consented to by Plaintiff.

SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Authorization)

As a sixth and separate Affirmative Defense, and solely by way of an alternative defense, not to be construed as an admission or waiver of any kind, Defendants allege that the SAC and each and every cause of action therein is barred because any such conduct as alleged in the SAC was known, authorized, and encouraged by Plaintiff.

SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Estoppel)

99. As a seventh and separate Affirmative Defense, and solely by way of an alternative defense, not to be construed as an admission or waiver of any kind, Defendants allege that the SAC and each and every cause of action therein is barred because Plaintiffs acts, omissions, representations and conduct constitute an estoppel, and bar its claims.

EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Waiver)

100. As an eighth and separate Affirmative Defense, and solely by way of an alternative defense, not to be construed as an admission of waiver of any kind, Defendants allege that the SAC and each and every cause of action therein is barred because Plaintiff has waived any and all claims it has made against Defendants.

NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Laches, Unclean Hands, Acquiescence, Ratification, Assumption of the Risk)

101. As a ninth and separate Affirmative Defense, and solely by way of an alternative defense, not to be construed as an admission or waiver of any kind, Defendants

| ļ | | | | | |
|----|---|--|--|--|--|
| 1 | allege that the SAC and each and every cause of action therein is barred by the doctrine of | | | | |
| 2 | laches, unclean hands, acquiescence, ratification, and reasonably implied assumption of the | | | | |
| 3 | risk. | | | | |
| 4 | <u>TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE</u> | | | | |
| 5 | (No Damages Suffered) | | | | |
| 6 | 102. As a tenth and separate Affirmative Defense, and solely by way of an | | | | |
| 7 | alternative defense, not to be construed as an admission or waiver of any kind, Defendants | | | | |
| 8 | allege that the SAC and each and every cause of action therein is barred because Plaintiff never | | | | |
| 9 | sustained any damages caused by Defendants, and Plaintiff lacks standing. | | | | |
| 10 | ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE | | | | |
| 11 | (Damages Not Subject to Reasonable Calculation) | | | | |
| 12 | 103. As an eleventh and separate Affirmative Defense, and solely by way of | | | | |
| 13 | an alternative defense, not to be construed as an admission or waiver of any kind, Defendants | | | | |
| 14 | allege that the SAC and each and every cause of action therein is barred because Plaintiff's | | | | |
| 15 | damages, if any, are not subject to reasonable calculation. | | | | |
| 16 | TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE | | | | |
| 17 | (Failure to Mitigate Damages) | | | | |
| 18 | 104. As a twelfth and separate Affirmative Defense, and solely by way of an | | | | |
| 19 | alternative defense, not to be construed as an admission or waiver of any kind, Defendants | | | | |
| 20 | allege that the SAC and each and every cause of action therein is barred because Plaintiff failed | | | | |
| 21 | to exercise reasonable resourcefulness, care and diligence to mitigate any damages, and any | | | | |
| 22 | recovery against Defendants should be reduced accordingly. | | | | |
| 23 | THIRTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE | | | | |
| 24 | (In Pari Delicto) | | | | |
| 25 | 105. As a thirteenth and separate Affirmative Defense, and solely by way of | | | | |
| 26 | an alternative defense, not to be construed as an admission or waiver of any kind, Defendants | | | | |
| 27 | allege that the SAC and each and every cause of action therein is barred by the doctrine of | | | | |
| 28 | in pari delicto. | | | | |
| | 28 | | | | |
| | ANSWER TO SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT | | | | |

FOURTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Plaintiff's Negligence)

an alternative defense, not to be construed as an admission or waiver of any kind, Defendants

FIFTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

allege that the SAC and each and every cause of action therein is barred because Plaintiff's

damages, if any, were caused by Plaintiff's own negligence or want of ordinary care and

1

2

3

106.

107.

5 6

7

prudence.

8

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2223

24

25

26

27 28

(Intervening/Superseding Actions)

As a fifteenth and separate Affirmative Defense, and solely by way of an

As a fourteenth and separate Affirmative Defense, and solely by way of

alternative defense, not to be construed as an admission or waiver of any kind, Defendants allege that the SAC and each and every cause of action therein is barred to the extent that the damages or losses allegedly sustained by Plaintiff, if any, were the direct and proximate result

of intervening and superceding actions on the part of other parties, and not Defendants, barring Plaintiff's recovery from Defendants.

SIXTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(No Intent to Injure)

alternative defense, not to be construed as an admission or waiver of any kind, Defendants allege that the SAC and each and every cause of action therein is barred because Defendants at no time acted with any intent to injure Plaintiff, and the Defendants took no actions intended to cause any harm to Plaintiff.

SEVENTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(No Willful, Malicious. Oppressive or Outrageous Conduct)

109. As a seventeenth and separate Affirmative Defense, and solely by way of an alternative defense, not to be construed as an admission or waiver of any kind, Defendants allege that Defendants did not engage in any willful, malicious, oppressive or outrageous conduct with regard to Plaintiff.

1 2 110. 3 4 5 remedy at law. 6 7 8 111. 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 112. 16 17 18 have acted in good faith. 19 20 21 113. 22 23 24 25 26 27 114. 28

EIGHTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Adequate Legal Remedy)

110. As an eighteenth and separate Affirmative Defense, and solely by way of an alternative defense, not to be construed as an admission of waiver of any kind, Defendants allege that Plaintiff's prayer for injunctive relief is barred because Plaintiff has an adequate remedy at law.

NINETEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Any Harm Proximately Caused by Others)

an alternative defense, not to be construed as an admission, Defendants allege that the SAC and each and every cause of action therein is barred because any losses, damages and/or injuries sustained by Plaintiff were proximately caused by the fault of persons or entities other than Defendants, over whom Defendants have no control.

TWENTIETH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Good Faith)

112. As a twentieth and separate Affirmative Defense, and solely by way of an alternative defense, not to be construed as an admission of waiver of any kind, Defendants allege that the SAC and each and every cause of action therein is barred because Defendants have acted in good faith.

TWENTY-FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Setoff)

113. As a twenty-first and separate Affirmative Defense, and solely by way of an alternative defense, not to be construed as an admission of waiver of any kind, Defendants allege that Plaintiff's damages, if any, are subject to setoff and should be reduced accordingly.

TWENTY-SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Indispensable Party)

114. As a twenty-second and separate Affirmative Defense, and solely by way of an alternative defense, not to be construed as an admission of waiver of any kind,

Defendants allege that the SAC and each and every cause of action therein is barred because 1 Plaintiff has failed to join an indispensable party or parties to this action. 2 TWENTY-THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 3 (Plaintiff's Agents) 4 As a twenty-third and separate Affirmative Defense, and solely by way 115. 5 of an alternative defense, not to be construed as an admission of waiver of any kind, 6 Defendants allege that the SAC and each and every cause of action therein is barred based on 7 the actions and/or omissions of Plaintiff's own agents. 8 TWENTY-FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 9 (Reservation of Right to Assert Additional Defenses) 10 As an twenty-fourth and separate Affirmative Defense, and solely by way 11 of an alternative defense, not to be construed as an admission, Defendants allege that at the 12 time of the filing of this Answer, affirmative defenses may not have been alleged for reasons **13** that insufficient facts and information were available after reasonable inquiry. Defendants 14 therefore reserve the right to amend this answer to allege additional affirmative defenses based 15 upon subsequent discovery of new or different facts or subsequent appreciation of currently 16 17 known facts. PRAYER FOR RELIEF 18 WHEREFORE, Defendants Kessler's Flying Circus, Thunderwood Holdings, 19 Inc., Brian Dunning and BrianDunning.com pray as follows: 20 That Plaintiff take nothing by its Complaint; 1. 21 That the Complaint be dismissed with prejudice; 2. 22 That Defendants be awarded his costs of suit, including reasonable 3. 23 attorneys' fees; 24 25 111 26 111 27 111 28 111 31 ANSWER TO SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT

CV 08-4052 JF (PVT)

| 1 | | 4. T | hat Defendants have | e such other, further and different relief as this |
|------------|-----------|----------------|---------------------|--|
| 2 | Court dee | ms just and pr | roper. | |
| 3 | | | | |
| 4 | DATED: | September 9 | , 2009 | Respectfully submitted, |
| 5 | | | | RUS, MILIBAND & SMITH A Professional Corporation |
| 6 | | | | A Troicssional Corporation |
| 7 | | | | By: |
| 8 | | | | RONALD RUS Attorneys for Defendants |
| 9 | | | | THUNDERWOOD HOLDINGS, INC., BRIAN DUNNING and BRIANDUNNING.COM |
| 10 | | | | BRIANDUNNING.COM |
| 11 | 5 / TEE | 9 | 2000 | LAW OFFICES OF PATRICK K. McCLELLAN |
| 12 | DATED: | September 2 | _, 2009 | // |
| 13 | | | | By Leo Q for PKM |
| 14 | | | | PATRICK K. McCLELLAN |
| 15 | | | | Attorney for Defendant KESSLER'S FLYING CIRCUS |
| 16 | | | | |
| 17 | | | | |
| 18 | | | | |
| 19 | | | | |
| 20 | | | | |
| 21 22 | | | | |
| 23 | | | | |
| 23 24 | | | | |
| 25 25 | | | | |
| 26 26 | | | | |
| 27 | | | | |
| 28 | | | | |
| ~ U | I | | | |

Case5:08-cv-04052-JF Document119 Filed09/09/09 Page33 of 33

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that the foregoing:

ANSWER TO SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT

was filed with the Court's Electronic Filing System on September 9, 2009 and may be accessed electronically.

> s/ Leo J. Presiado Leo J. Presiado Rus, Miliband & Smith, APC 2211 Michelson Drive, Seventh Floor Irvine, California 92612 Tel: (949) 752-7100

Fax: (949) 252-1514

Email: lpresiado@rusmiliband.com
Attorneys for Defendants Thunderwood Holdings, Inc., Brian Dunning and Briandunning.com