Case5:08-cv-04052-JF Document124-3 Filed09/22/09 Page1 of 7

EXHIBIT 3

Dockets.Justia.com

I	Case5:08-cv-04052-J	F Document12	24-3	Filed09/22/09 Page2 of 7		
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	RONALD RUS, #67369 rrus@rusmiliband.com LEO J. PRESIADO, #16672 lpresiado@rusmiliband.com RUS, MILIBAND & SMITH A Professional Corporation Seventh Floor 2211 Michelson Drive Irvine, California 92612 Telephone: (949) 752-7100 Facsimile: (949) 252-1514 Attorneys for Defendants THUNDERWOOD HOLDIN	Ι		NENY & HENY & HE		
8 9	BRIAN DUNNING, and BRIANDUNNING.COM					
9 10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA					
12	SAN JOSE DIVISION					
13	EBAY INC.,) CASE NO. C 08-4052 JF					
14	Plaintif	Ť,))) RESPONSES OF DEFENDANT BRIANDUNNING.COM TO FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES		
15	VS.)			
16	DIGITAL POINT SOLUTIONS, INC.;)) PROPOUNDED BY PLAINTIFF BAY INC.		
17 18	SHAWN HOGAN; KESSLER's FLYING) CIRCUS; THUNDERWOOD HOLDINGS,) INC.; TODD DUNNING; DUNNING)					
18 19	ENTERPRISES, INC.; BRIAN DUNNING;) BRIANDUNNING.COM; and DOES 1-20,)					
20	Defend	Defendants.				
21)				
22	PROPOUNDING PARTY:	PLAINTIFF EB	BAY I	NC.		
23	RESPONDING PARTY:	DEFENDANT	BRIA	NDUNNING.COM		
24	SET NO.:	ONE (1)				
25	Defendant BrianDunning.com ("Defendant" and/or "Responding Party") hereby					
26	responds to the First Set of Interrogatories (Nos. 1-2) propounded by Plaintiff eBay Inc.					
27	("Plaintiff" and/or "Propounding Party") as follows:					
28	///		1			
			1 RES	PONSES OF BRIANDUNNING.COM TO FIRST SET OF		
	357918v1 dd 2/26/09 3 (2785-0002)	INTERROGATOR		ROPOUNDED BY EBAY INC CASE NO. C 08-4052 JF		

٠.

;

1

GENERAL STATEMENT

2 Defendant's Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint was granted by Order entered February 24, 2009. As such, Plaintiff's Complaint has been 3 dismissed with leave to amend. No amended complaint has been filed and/or served in 4 this action. As such, there is no pending claim against Defendant and Defendant is not 5 required to respond to Plaintiff's discovery request. In this regard, Defendant requested 6 that Plaintiff acknowledge that no response is required or, in the alternative, grant 7 8 Defendant an extension of time to respond to the discovery requests until some time after Plaintiff files an amended complaint against Defendant, if at all. Plaintiff refused 9 Defendant's request without explanation. Defendant serves these responses out of an 10 abundance of caution in the event it is determined that such responses are required despite 11 the dismissal of Plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant. Otherwise, Defendant reserves 12 the right to withdraw these responses in total and otherwise object to the use, reference to, 13 or disclosure of these responses in any manner for any purpose. 14

Brian Dunning ("Mr. Dunning") has invoked his privilege against
self-incrimination pursuant to the Fifth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution, *Lefkowitz v. Turley*, 414 U.S. 70, 77 (1973), Federal Rules of Evidence Rule 501, Article 1, Section 15 of
the California Constitution, and California Evidence Code section 940. Since Mr. Dunning is
the sole authorized representative of Responding Party, and the only person who can verify
discovery responses on behalf of Responding Party, Responding Party cannot provide any
verified responses without compromising Mr. Dunning's right against self-incrimination.

Should Mr. Dunning determine that there is no longer the threat of potential
 criminal prosecution and elect to withdraw his privilege against self-incrimination in the future,
 Responding Party expressly reserves the right to supplement its responses.

Furthermore, the Federal Bureau of Investigation has seized all documents and
computers, disk drives, hard drives, cell phones and servers containing information potentially
related to this matter. Assistant United States Attorney Kyle F. Waldinger in charge of this
investigation has refused all requests to provide Defendants with a copy of the material seized

2

RESPONSES OF BRIANDUNNING.COM TO FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES PROPOUNDED BY EBAY INC. - CASE NO. C 08-4052 JF by the FBI. Those items and records may contain information responsive to the requests
 below, but those items and records are not in the possession, custody or control of Defendants. Without waiving any of the foregoing, Responding Party responds to the
 interrogatories below:

RESPONSES

6 SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 1:

7 Identify all persons or entities with knowledge regarding BrianDunning.com's
8 participation, manipulation or interaction in any Affiliate Marketing Program including eBay's
9 Affiliate Marketing Programs including, but not limited to, all methods, techniques and
10 technologies, software, source code, Javascript and HTML code, used by BrianDunning.com
11 to obtain revenue from, or otherwise interact with, participate in or manipulate any Affiliate
12 Marketing Program.

13

5

RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 1:

Responding Party objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it violates 14 Mr. Dunning's privilege against self-incrimination pursuant to the Fifth Amendment to the 15 U.S. Constitution, Lefkowitz v. Turley, 414 U.S. 70, 77 (1973), Federal Rules of Evidence 16 Rule 501, Article 1, Section 15 of the California Constitution, and California Evidence Code 17 section 940. Responding Party further objects on the ground that this interrogatory is vague 18 and ambiguous, overbroad, unduly burdensome and oppressive. Responding Party further 19 objects on the grounds that the term "manipulation" and "manipulate" are vague, argumentative 20 and conclusory. Responding Party further objects that to the extent this interrogatory seeks 21 information related to programs other than eBay's Affiliate Marketing Program, the 22 interrogatory is neither relevant to the subject matter of this action, nor reasonably calculated 23 to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Responding Party further objects to this 24 interrogatory on the ground that it seeks production of trade secrets or other confidential 25 26 information.

3

27 || / / /

28 || / / /

RESPONSES OF BRIANDUNNING.COM TO FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES PROPOUNDED BY EBAY INC. - CASE NO. C 08-4052 JF

1 SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 2:

18

Identify all Internet Forums at, within or through which BrianDunning.com
discussed any aspect of their participation in, manipulation of or interaction with eBay's
Affiliate Marketing Programs, or any other Affiliate Marketing Program.

5 <u>RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 2</u>:

6 Responding Party objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it violates 7 Mr. Dunning's privilege against self-incrimination pursuant Fifth Amendment to the U.S. 8 Constitution, Lefkowitz v. Turley, 414 U.S. 70, 77 (1973), Federal Rules of Evidence Rule 9 501, Article 1, Section 15 of the California Constitution, and California Evidence Code section 10 940. Responding Party further objects on the ground that this interrogatory is vague and 11 ambiguous, overbroad, unduly burdensome and oppressive. Responding Party further objects 12 that to the extent this interrogatory seeks documents related to programs other than eBay's 13 Affiliate Marketing Program, the interrogatory is neither relevant to the subject matter of this 14 action, nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Responding 15 Party further objects on the grounds that the term "manipulation" is vague, argumentative and 16 conclusory. Responding Party further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that it seeks 17 production of trade secrets or other confidential information.

19 20	DATED: February 26, 2009	RUS, MILIBAND & SMITH A Professional Corporation
21		By: Les L
22 23		LEO J. PEESIADO Attorneys for Defendants Thunderwood Holdings, Inc., Brian Dunning
24		and BrianDunning.com
25		
26		
27		
28		
		4
	357918v1 dd 2/26/09 3 (2785-0002)	RESPONSES OF BRIANDUNNING.COM TO FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES PROPOUNDED BY EBAY INC CASE NO. C 08-4052 JF

	Case5:08-cv-04052-JF Document124-3 Filed09/22/09 Page6 of 7					
1	PROOF OF SERVICE					
2	eBay, Inc. v. Digital Point Solutions, Inc., et al.					
- 3	Northern District of California, San Jose Division Case No. C 08-4052 JF					
4	STATE OF CALIFORNIA)					
5	COUNTY OF ORANGE)					
. 6 7	I am employed in the County of Orange, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action; my business address is 2211 Michelson Drive, Seventh Floor, Irvine, California 92612.					
8						
9	On February 26, 2009, I served the foregoing documents described as RESPONSES OF DEFENDANT BRIANDUNNING.COM TO FIRST SET OF					
10	INTERROGATORIES PROPOUNDED BY PLAINTIFF EBAY, INC. on the interested parties in this action by placing a copy thereof enclosed in sealed envelopes addressed as					
11	follows:					
12	SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST					
13	As follows: I am "readily familiar" with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice, it would be deposited with U.S.					
14	Postal Service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid at Irvine, California in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served,					
15	service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.					
16 17	(By E-Mail) As follows: I caused the above-referenced document(s) to be transmitted to the above-named persons.					
18	(By Facsimile) As follows: I caused the above-referenced document(s) to be transmitted to the above-named persons by facsimile.					
19 20	(By Hand Delivery) As follows: I caused the above-referenced document(s) to be hand delivered to the above-named persons.					
21	(By Overnight Delivery) As follows: By overnight delivery via Overnite Express					
22	and/or Federal Express to the office of the addressee noted on the attached service list.					
23	Executed on February 26, 2009, at Irvine, California.					
24	(Federal) I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the her that is sound					
25	(Federal) I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this court at whose direction the service was made.					
26	XXXXX					
27	RHONDA RADFORD					
28						
	1					

.

PROOF OF SERVICE - CASE NO. C 08-4052

1	SERVICE LIST					
2	eBay, Inc. v. Digital Point Solutions, Inc., et al. Northern District of California, San Jose Division Case No. C 08-4052					
3						
4	David R. Eberhart Sharon M. Bunzel					
5	Colleen M. Kennedy O'Melveny & Myers					
6	Two Embarcadero, 20 th Floor					
7	San Francisco, CA 94111 Tel: (949) 984-8700					
8	Fax: (949) 984-8701 Email: deberhart@omm.com, sbunzel@omm.com					
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff e Boy Inc					
10	Stewart H. Foreman Freeland, Cooper & Foreman, LLP					
11	150 Spear Street, Suite 1800 San Francisco, CA 94105					
12	Tel: (949) 541-0200					
13						
14	4 Attorneys for Defendants Todd Dunning and Dunning Enterprises, Inc.					
15	Seyamack Kouretchian Coast Law Group. LLP					
16	169 Saxony Road . Suite 204					
17	Encinitas, CA 92024 Tel: (760) 942-8505					
18	Fax: (760) 942-8515 Email: seyamack@coastlawgroup.com					
19	Attorneys for Digital Point Solutions, Inc. and Shawn Hogan					
20	Patrick K. McClellan Law Offices of Patrick K. McClellan					
21	2211 Michelson Drive, Suite 700 Irvine, California 92612					
22	Tel: (949) 261-7615 Fax: (949) 851-2772					
23	Email: pkellymc@pacbell.net Attorney for Kessler's Flying Circus					
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						
	2					
	4					