Case5:08-cv-04052-JF Document152-11 Filed10/30/09 Page1 of 12

EXHIBIT K

Doc. 152 Att. 10

1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
2	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
3	SAN JOSE DIVISION	
4	EBAY, INC.,) CV-08-4052-JF	
5)	
6	PLAINTIFF,) SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA	
7	VS.) AUGUST 14, 2009	
8	DIGITAL POINT SOLUTIONS,) INC. ET AL,)	
9) PAGES 1-11 DEFENDANT.)	
10		
11	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE HONORABLE JEREMY FOGEL UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
12		
13		
14	APPEARANCES:	
15	FOR THE PLAINTIFF: O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP	
16	EBAY BY: DAVID EBERHART JEAN NIEHAUS	
17	TWO EMBARCADERO CTR, 28TH FL SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111	
18		
19	FOR THE DEFENDANT: COAST LAW GROUP, LLP	
20	DIGITAL POINT BY: ROSS CAMPBELL SOLUTIONS, HOGAN 169 SAXONY RD, STE204	
21	ENCINITAS, CA 92024	
22		
23	(APPEARANCES CONTINUED ON THE NEXT PAGE)	
24		
25	OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER: SUMMER CLANTON, CSR, CERTIFICATE NUMBER 13185	

1	RUS, MILIBAND & SMITH, APC BY: LEO PRESIADO 2211 MICHELSON DR, 7TH FL IRVINE, CA 92612
2	
3	
4	
5	FREELAND COOPER & FOREMAN, LLP
6	150 SPEAR ST., STE 1800 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105
7	
8	
9	LAW OFFICE OF PATRICK MCCLELLAN
10	BY: PATRICK MCCLELLAN 2211 MICHELSON DR., #700
11	IRVINE, CA 92612
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	2

7	SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA AUGUST 14, 2009	
2	PROCEEDINGS	
3	(WHEREUPON, COURT CONVENED AND THE	
4	FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD:)	
5	THE COURT: EBAY VERSUS DIGITAL POINT	
6	SOLUTIONS.	
7	MR. EBERHART: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR.	
8	DAVID EBERHART ON BEHALF OF EBAY WITH MY COLLEAGUE	
9	JEAN NIEHAUS.	
10	MS. NIEHAUS: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR.	
11	THE COURT: WE SHOULD HAVE A NUMBER OF	
12	TELEPHONIC APPEARANCES ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANTS IN	
13	THIS MATTER.	
14	MR. PRESIADO: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR.	
15	LEO PRESIADO, RUS MILIBAND & SMITH, ON BEHALF OF	
16	DEFENDANTS THUNDERWOOD HOLDINGS, INC., BRIAN	
17	DUNNING AND BRIANDUNNING.COM.	
18	MR. FOREMAN: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR.	
19	STEWART FOREMAN ON BEHALF OF TODD DUNNING AND	
20	DUNNING ENTERPRISES.	
21	MR. MCCLELLAN: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR.	
22	PATRICK MCCLELLAN ON BEHALF OF KESSLER'S FLYING	
23	CIRCUS.	
24	MR. CAMPBELL: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR.	
25	ROSS CAMPBELL ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANTS SHAWN HOGAN	

AND DIGITAL POINT SOLUTIONS, INC. 1 2 THE COURT: OKAY. YOU HAVE A RULING ON THE MOTIONS THAT 3 WERE PENDING. IT WAS JUST POSTED THIS WEEK. AND 4 IT IS GOING TO INVOLVE, I GUESS AT THE MINIMUM, 5 SOME DISCOVERY THAT MAY LEAD TO A RENEWAL OF THE 6 FORUM SELECTION CLAUSE ISSUE. BUT I'M WONDERING 7 WHAT COULD BE DONE IN THE MEANTIME. 8 9 I DON'T KNOW WHETHER THE PARTIES HAVE ATTEMPTED ANY TYPE OF SETTLEMENT. I DON'T KNOW 10 11 WHETHER IT'S TIME NOW TO GET THE PARTIES AND DO AN 12 ADR PROCESS OR WHAT YOU WANT TO DO IN LIGHT OF THE RULING THAT THE COURT ISSUED. 13 SO LET ME GET SOME INPUT FIRST FROM EBAY 14 15 AND THEN THE DEFENDANTS. 16 MR. EBERHART: I THINK FROM EBAY'S 17 PERSPECTIVE, YOUR HONOR, UNTIL WE HAVE SOME 18 DISCOVERY AND IN PARTICULAR UNTIL THERE'S SOME 19 RESOLUTION OF WHAT'S HAPPENING WITH THE CRIMINAL INVESTIGATION, I THINK IT'S PREMATURE TO GO TO ADR. 20 21 EBAY IS NOT OPPOSED TO GOING ADR AT SOME 22 POINT AFTER THOSE ISSUES HAVE BEEN RESOLVED BUT I 23 DO BELIEVE IT'S PREMATURE RIGHT NOW. 24 THE COURT: DO YOU HAVE A MOTION TO 25 COMPEL OR ANYTHING LIKE THAT PENDING BEFORE THE

MAGISTRATE JUDGE WITH REGARD TO ANY OF THE 1 2 MATERIALS OF THE DEFENDANTS HAVE NOT PRODUCED? 3 MR. EBERHART: WE DO NOT YET, YOUR HONOR, BECAUSE WE WERE AWAITING THE COURT'S DECISION AS TO 4 5 WHETHER THIS WOULD BE THE ACTUAL PLACE TO BRING THE 6 MOTION OR WHETHER WE SHOULD BRING IT IN ANOTHER 7 COURT. 8 NOW THAT WE UNDERSTAND WE WILL BE HERE, 9 WE WILL PROCEED WITH THE MAGISTRATE ON IT. THE COURT: AND I TAKE THE DEFENDANT'S 10 POSITION IS THAT SOME OF THE DISCOVERY EBAY WANTS 11 12 IS PRIVILEGED BECAUSE THERE'S STILL A PENDING CRIMINAL MATTER? 13 14 MR. PRESIADO: YOUR HONOR, THIS IS 15 LEO PRESIADO. I WAS UNAWARE OF THE RULING ON THE 16 MOTION. I HAVEN'T RECEIVED IT. THE COURT: IT SHOULD HAVE BEEN 17 18 INSTANTANEOUSLY AVAILABLE. MR. EBERHART: I WILL CONCEDE WE HAVE NOT 19 20 SEEN IT EITHER. THE ECF SYSTEM DID NOT SEND IT 21 OUT. 22 THE COURT: THAT'S TOO BAD. I'M SORRY. 23 I'M TRYING TO REMEMBER WHAT DAY IT WENT UP. IT WAS EARLIER THIS WEEK, MONDAY OR TUESDAY. AND THAT'S A 24 GLITCH WE SHOULD CORRECT. 25

MR. FOREMAN: YOUR HONOR, THIS IS STEWART 1 2 FOREMAN. WE HAVEN'T RECEIVED IT EITHER. THE COURT: I'M REALLY SORRY. I JUST 3 ASSUMED YOU HAD ALL SEEN IT. 4 MR. FOREMAN: YOUR HONOR --5 THE COURT: HOLD ON JUST A SECOND, 6 7 COUNSEL, IF YOU WOULD. 8 THE CLERK: I SEE THE LAST --9 THE COURT: OH, YOU KNOW WHAT, I KNOW WHERE IT IS. YEAH. I MISSPOKE. I'M DONE WITH IT, 10 11 MY LAW CLERK NEEDS TO MAKE MY LAST EDITORIAL 12 CHANGES, SO IT WILL PROBABLY BE POSTED LATER TODAY. I'M SORRY FOR THE CONFUSION. 13 14 BOTTOM LINE IS THE COURT DECIDED THAT 15 SOME OF THE CLAIMS, THE CFAA CLAIM, THE RICO CLAIM 16 ARE PROPERLY VENUED HERE. THE QUESTION OF WHETHER THE FORUM 17 SELECTION CLAUSE AND PSA REQUIRES THAT AT LEAST 18 19 THOSE CLAIMS AND THOSE THAT EMANATE FROM IT BE HEARD IN A DIFFERENT COURT WAS ONE THAT THE COURT 20 21 FELT CANNOT BE RESOLVED WITHOUT DISCOVERY BECAUSE 22 OF THE DISPUTE AS TO WHETHER THE PSA IS EVEN IN 23 PLAY. 24 THAT'S A VERY ROUGH SUMMARY OF WHAT'S IN 25 THE RULING. I DON'T WANT TO DISCUSS IT. I WILL

1 TELL YOU THAT'S WHAT IT IS. 2 SO AT LEAST FOR THE TIME BEING THE CASE 3 WILL REMAIN HERE, BUT THAT'S WITHOUT PREJUDICE TO 4 THAT ISSUE BEING REVISITED. 5 OKAY. SO MR. FOREMAN, YOU WERE STARTING TO SAY SOMETHING? 6 7 MR. FOREMAN: YES. THANK YOU, 8 YOUR HONOR. 9 I JUST WANTED TO EXPRESS A DISAGREEMENT 10 ON THE ISSUE I BELIEVE COUNSEL FOR EBAY MENTIONED 11 ABOUT THE -- NO VALUE IN ATTEMPTING TO HAVE SOME 12 MEDIATION PROCESS. 13 AND MY COMMENT ABOUT THAT IS THAT, NUMBER 14 ONE, WITH THIS PENDING FEDERAL MATTER, WE HAVE NO 15 CONTROL OVER ITS TIMING. NOTHING HAS HAPPENED IN 16 THE LAST TWO YEARS, AND THERE'S NO INDICATION THAT 17 WE'RE AWARE THAT ANYTHING IS GOING TO HAPPEN IN THE 18 NEAR FUTURE. 19 AND IT SEEMS TO ME WE SHOULDN'T BE 20 WAITING FOR SOMETHING THAT WE HAVE ABSOLUTELY NO 21 CONTROL OVER BEFORE WE TRY AND SEE IF THERE'S A 22 RESOLUTION. 23 THE COURT: ARE YOU WILLING TO PROVIDE DISCOVERY -- OBVIOUSLY, I'M NOT ASKING FOR CLIENTS 24 TO WAIVE ANY FIFTH AMENDMENT PRIVILEGE, BUT ARE YOU 25

1 WILLING TO PROVIDE ENOUGH DISCOVERY THAT THERE 2 COULD BE SOME TRACTION IN THOSE DISCUSSIONS? 3 HAS THERE BEEN ANY CONVERSATION AS TO WHAT KIND OF DISCOVERY COULD BE PROVIDED? 4 5 MR. FOREMAN: AT LEAST ON BEHALF OF MY 6 CLIENTS THERE'S VERY LIMITED DISCOVERY. 7 I HAD TOLD EBAY PENDING YOUR DECISION ON 8 THIS MATTER THAT THERE WAS A LIMITED AMOUNT OF 9 ADDITIONAL SUPPLEMENTAL DISCOVERY THAT MY CLIENTS 10 COULD GIVE AND WE WILL NOW DO THAT IN LIGHT OF WHAT 11 YOU'VE DESCRIBED YOUR ORDER TO BE. 12 QUITE FRANKLY, IT'S EXTREMELY LIMITED AND 13 MY CLIENT DOESN'T HAVE CONTROL OVER THE DOCUMENTS. 14 THEY HAVE BEEN TAKEN BY THE F.B.I. AND THEY WON'T 15 LET US COPY THEM, SO WE HAVE NOTHING TO GIVE. 16 THAT'S A PROBLEM WE ARE CONTINUALLY FACED WITH. 17 I THINK THE RELEVANT DISCOVERY, FOR 18 PURPOSES OF BEING ABLE TO SIT DOWN AND TALK, IT'S 19 IN THE HANDS OF ALL THE PARTIES. IT'S PRIMARILY 20 FROM A COMMISSION JUNCTION. AND THAT DISCOVERY HAS 21 BEEN MADE AVAILABLE. I THINK ALL THE PARTIES KNOW 22 WHAT THE COMMISSIONS WERE THAT WERE PAID. 23 I GOT, I BELIEVE EBAY WITH ITS COMPUTING 24 POWER KNOWS EXACTLY WHAT'S GOING ON. IN FACT, I 25 THINK THE DEFENDANT IS REALLY THE ONLY ONE -- THEY

DON'T HAVE THE DOCUMENTS AND WE ARE NOT LIKELY TO 1 2 GET THEM. 3 THE COURT: MAYBE I SHOULD ASK EBAY'S COUNSEL TO EXPLAIN WHAT YOU NEED IN ORDER TO HAVE A 4 5 MEANINGFUL DISCUSSION. MR. EBERHART: CERTAINLY, YOUR HONOR. 6 FIRST OF ALL, LET ME SAY IT IS OUR 7 UNDERSTANDING THAT THERE'S MATERIAL IN THE HANDS OF 8 9 THIRD PARTIES, COMPANIES NAMED RACKSPACE AND 10 NETHERE, THAT MAY BE DUPLICATIVE OF WHAT WAS SEIZED BY THE F.B.I. 11 AND EBAY HAS SUBPOENAED THAT MATERIAL 12 FROM RACKSPACE AND NETHERE. THE DEFENDANTS HAVE 13 OPPOSED PRODUCTION OF THAT MATERIAL. PERHAPS WE 14 COULD HAVE A BREAKTHROUGH IN LIGHT OF THE COURT'S 15 RULING AND MOVE FORWARD THERE. 16 THAT MATERIAL IS THE SPECIFIC CODE THAT 17 18 WAS USED BY THE DEFENDANTS TO DEFRAUD EBAY. AND WE 19 BELIEVE STRONGLY WE NEED TO SEE THAT, HAVE THE 20 CONFIRMATION THAT IT DID WHAT WE BELIEVE IT WAS DOING, AND THAT WILL ALLOW US TO HAVE A MEANINGFUL 21 22 SETTLEMENT DISCUSSION. 23 THE COURT: YOU COULD GET A BETTER 24 OBJECTIVE SENSE OF THE MERITS OF YOUR CASE?

MR. EBERHART: CORRECT, YOUR HONOR.

25

7	THE COURT: LET ME MAKE A SUGGESTION
2	SINCE I BELIEVED YOU HAD THE RULING IN HAND AND
3	EVIDENTLY NOW IT'S CLEAR THAT YOU DON'T. SO LET ME
4	GET YOU THE RULING FIRST BECAUSE IT'S PRETTY
5	DETAILED, AS YOU MIGHT IMAGINE.
6	THEN LET ME SUGGEST YOU HAVE A MEET AND
7	CONFER ABOUT DISCOVERY IN LIGHT OF THE RULING. IF
8	THERE ARE MOTIONS, GET THEM BEFORE JUDGE TRUMBULL
9	SO THEY CAN BE HEARD AND I WILL SUGGEST WE
10	RECONVENE IN ABOUT 45 DAYS.
11	SO THAT WILL TAKE US TO SEPTEMBER 25TH IF
12	EVERYONE IS AVAILABLE AT THAT TIME.
13	HEARING NO OBJECTIONS, SEPTEMBER 25TH AT
14	10:30 FOR CMC AND CASE PLANNING.
15	AND I'M GOING TO SPECIFICALLY DIRECT
16	COUNSEL TO MEET AND CONFER ABOUT DISCOVERY SO THEY
17	CAN REDUCE THE AMOUNT OF MOTION PRACTICE.
18	THANKS VERY MUCH.
19	(WHEREUPON, THE PROCEEDINGS IN THIS
20	MATTER WERE CONCLUDED.)
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER I, THE UNDERSIGNED OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, 280 SOUTH FIRST STREET, SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA, DO HEREBY CERTIFY: THAT THE FOREGOING TRANSCRIPT, CERTIFICATE INCLUSIVE, CONSTITUTES A TRUE, FULL AND CORRECT TRANSCRIPT OF MY SHORTHAND NOTES TAKEN AS SUCH OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER OF THE PROCEEDINGS HEREINBEFORE ENTITLED AND REDUCED BY COMPUTER-AIDED TRANSCRIPTION TO THE BEST OF MY ABILITY. SUMMER A. CLANTON, CSR, RPR CERTIFICATE NUMBER 13185