FREELAND COOPER & FOREMAN LLP

150 Spear Street, Suite 1800

1	Stewart H. Foreman (CSB #61149) Daniel T. Bernhard (CSB #104229) FREELAND COOPER & FOREMAN LLP 150 Spear Street, Suite 1800	
2		
3	San Francisco, California 94105 Telephone: (415) 541-0200	
4	Facsimile: (415) 495-4332 Email: foreman@freelandlaw.com	
5	bernhard@freelandlaw.com	
6	Attorneys for Defendants Todd Dunning and Dunning Enterprise, Inc.	
7	Todd Dunning and Dunning Enterprise, Inc.	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	SAN JOSE DIVISION	
11		
12	EBAY, INC.,	CASE NO.: CV-08-4052 JF
<u>13</u>	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] ORDER
14 94	V.	DISMISSING PLAINTIFF'S FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT
Califor	DIGITAL POINT SOLUTIONS, INC., SHAWN	
San Francisco, California 94105 12 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 2	HOGAN, KESSLER'S FLYING CIRCUS, THUNDERWOOD HOLDINGS, INC.,	
15 Franc	TODD DUNNING, DUNNING ENTERPRISE, INC., BRIAN DUNNING, BRIANDUNNING.COM, and	
81 ^{Sa}	DOES 1-20,	
19	Defendants.	
20		
21	Defendants Todd Dunning and Dunning Enterprise, Inc.'s Motion to Dismiss pursuant	

Defendants Todd Dunning and Dunning Enterprise, Inc.'s Motion to Dismiss pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 12(b)(1), (3) and (6) came on for hearing before this Court on December 12, 2008. The matter was fully briefed and heard by the Court. The parties were represented by their respective counsel of record. Having fully considered the briefs and oral argument, the Court finds that Plaintiff has failed to state a claim on which relief can be granted in the First Amended Complaint for claims under the Federal Computer Fraud and Abuse Act, 18 U.S.C. Section 1030, California Penal Code Section 502, and the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organization Act, 18 U.S.C. Section 1962(c). In addition, the Court finds that this District is not the

1

proper venue for an action of the claims alleged in the First Amended Complaint. Accordingly, the Court finds that there is no federal question stated in the First Amended Complaint because the first, second and fourth claims for relief failed to state facts upon which relief can be granted.

Therefore, it is hereby ordered that the first, second and fourth claims for relief are dismissed. The remaining claims arise solely under California state law so there is no federal question or federal jurisdiction for the remaining claims. Therefore the First Amended Complaint is dismissed.

Dated:

The Honorable Jeremy Fogel Judge of the United States District Court