1	ROBERT P. VARIAN (STATE BAR NO. 1074	1 59)	
2	rvarian@orrick.com JAMES N. KRAMER (STATE BAR NO. 154709) jkramer@orrick.com JUSTIN M. LICHTERMAN (STATE BAR NO. 225734) jlichterman@orrick.com JOSHUA D. WATTS (STATE BAR NO. 240977) jwatts@orrick.com		
3			
4			
5	ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLF The Orrick Building		
6	405 Howard Street San Francisco, CA 94105-2669		
7	Telephone: +1-415-773-5700 Facsimile: +1-415-773-5759		
8	Attorneys for Defendant		
9	NVIDIA CORPORATION		
10	UNITED STATES	S DISTRICT COURT	
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION		
12			
13			
14		Case No. C 08-04312 JW	
15	The NVIDIA GPU Litigation	STIPULATION REGARDING	
16 17		NVIDIA'S RESPONSE TO SECOND AMENDED CONSOLIDATED COMPLAINT	
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	OHS West:260802971.1	STIPULATION REGARDING NVIDIA'S RESPONSE TO SECOND AMENDED CONSOLIDATED COMPLAINT C 08-04312 JW	

1	WHEREAS, this Court in its Order Granting In Part and Denying In Part Defendant's	
2	Motion to Dismiss dated November 19, 2009 directed Plaintiffs to file their Second Amended	
3	Consolidated Complaint on or before December 14, 2009;	
4	WHEREAS, Plaintiffs filed the Second Amended Consolidated Complaint on December	
5	14, 2009;	
6	WHEREAS, NVIDIA Corporation's ("NVIDIA's") response to the Second Amended	
7	Consolidated Complaint must currently be filed by December 31, 2009;	
8	WHEREAS, the parties are actively engaged in mediation as a possible resolution to this	
9	action and participated in their first mediation session on December 16, 2009;	
10	WHEREAS, the parties currently have scheduled on January 8, 2009 a follow-up call with	
11	their mediator, the Honorable Layn R. Philips (Ret.), in order to further such discussions;	
12	WHEREAS, in light of the holiday season, NVIDIA has scheduling issues which impact	
13	its ability to respond to the Second Amended Consolidated Complaint by December 31, 2009;	
14	WHEREAS, an extension until January 15, 2010 for NVIDIA's response to the Second	
15	Amended Consolidated Complaint would allow the parties further opportunities to explore	
16	mediation as a means of resolving this action before responding to the Second Amended	
17	Consolidated Complaint; and	
18	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between Plaintiffs and NVIDIA,	
19	through their counsel of record and Interim Lead Class Counsel for Plaintiffs, that NVIDIA may	
20	respond to the Second Amended Consolidated Complaint by January 15, 2010.	
21		
22	Dated: December 30, 2009 ROBERT P. VARIAN JUSTIN M. LICHTERMAN	
23	JOSHN M. LICHTERMAN JOSHUA D. WATTS Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP	
24	Offick, Herrington & Sutchiffe LLF	
25	/s/ Joshua D. Watts	
26	JOSHUA D. WATTS	
27	Attorneys for Defendant NVIDIA CORPORATION	
28		

1	Dated: December 30, 2009 JEFF S. WESTERMAN SABRINA S. KIM
2	Millberg LLP
3	
4	/s/ Jeff S. Westerman JEFF S. WESTERMAN
5	Interim Lead Class Counsel for Plaintiffs and All Others Similarly Situated
6	Traintins and An Others Similarly Situated
7	The filer attests that concurrence in the filing of the document has been obtained from
8	each of the other signatories, or from the single signatory (in the case, e.g., of a declaration)
9	which shall serve in lieu of their signature(s) on the document.
10	
11	
12	IT IS SO ORDERED:
13	DATE: February 3, 2010
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	